4.H. Approval of Board Expenses

There were no Board expenses.

4.I. Preview of Upcoming Agenda Items

4.I.1 <u>11475-18</u> Tickler File for the Next 3 Months

Presenters: Board of Public Utilities

In addition to the items already listed in the tickler provided in the agenda packet, the following items were identified for the tickler for upcoming meetings:

1) TBD - Follow-up Meeting to Finish the Board Self-Evaluation (Jeff Johnson)

5. PUBLIC HEARING(S)

There were no public hearings scheduled for this meeting.

6. CONSENT AGENDA

Mr. McLin moved that the Board of Public Utilities approve the items on the Consent Agenda as presented and that the motions contained in the staff reports be included in the minutes for the record. The motion passed by the following vote:

- Yes: 4 Board Member Johnson, Vice-chair Walker, Board Member Frederickson and Board Member McLin
- Absent: 1 Board Member Taylor
- 6.A <u>11468-18</u> Approval of Board of Public Utilities Meeting Minutes

Presenters: Board of Public Utilities

I move that the Board of Public Utilities approve the meeting minutes of November 20th, 2018 as presented.

7. BUSINESS

7.A <u>11464-18</u> Annual Board of Public Utilities Self-evaluation for 2018

Presenters: Board of Public Utilities

The Board conducted its annual self-evaluation using the same questionnaire from the 2017 evaluation. Rather than scoring, prioritizing and assigning action for every question, the Board focused only on those questions where action or improvements might be necessary. The members identified those questions they wished to discuss. If a question was not discussed, it was assumed that the members felt the Board was already doing well in those areas.

Prior to conducting the evaluation, the Board discussed whether the questionnaire format was still useful in its current form and if the questions were still valid. The Board also

FINAL - APPROVED

Board of Public Utilities

Minutes

discussed whether or not they should have solicited more staff input. After discussion, it was decided that the Board would conduct the evaluation as planned, but a follow-up meeting would be scheduled to discuss ways to possibly improve the self-evaluation and get more input. Mr. Johnson will also document the comments and possible actions and will discuss with Mr. Glasco when staff might be able to provide more input.

The following questions were discussed and actions identified for follow-up:

1) QUESTION I.E Is the length of Board meetings appropriate?

ACTION - Some members felt that some meetings are still too long, but overall, the efficiency and length of the meetings has improved. The Board considered if some of the routine agenda items could be removed to save more time, but none were specifically identified for removal. Perhaps when setting the agenda, the Chair and Utilities Manager could consider which items are flexible and could be moved when agendas are long. The Board could also consider scheduling more special meetings as necessary.

2) QUESTION I.G. - Does the Board receive sufficient information to make good decisions?

QUESTION I.H - Are decisions made in a timely manner?

ACTION - A member felt that sometimes they do not receive sufficient information to make informed decisions, even after requesting it several times. The member also felt that sufficient time is not always given to digest information on complex issues before being asked to make a decision or gather adequate public input. Other members acknowledged that this does happen in some cases; however, they did not necessarily feel that this is always a pervasive problem. It was recommended that when members feel they have not received sufficient information or time to make a decision at a meeting, they should move to table the item until the requested information is provided, and if the other members concur, more time can be given. The Utilities Manager will be asked to provide input.

3) QUESTION II.A.1 - Does the Board understand its obligation to see the organization acts in the best interests of DPU customers and citizens of the County?

ACTION - This discussion was also related to #2. A member felt that some requests and arguments made by the Department don't always demonstrate that they are acting in the best interest of the customers and citizens, especially if data is lacking to justify a course of action. While it was acknowledged that this could appear to be true in some cases, no other members expressed a concern in this general area.

4) QUESTION II.B.2 - Do Board members understand the difference between their policy role and management's administrative role?

ACTION - No specific examples have been identified, but the Board wants to continue to ensure that it does not inadvertently set policy in violation of the Charter. For a time, two Board members and two Councilors were meeting regularly to discuss issues of mutual concern and would report back to their respective bodies. Members felt this was very constructive, and it was recommended that the Board reach out to Council to revive that practice. It was also suggested by the Assistant County Attorney that it might be helpful to think about what is actually called a policy and what is called a procedure, such as the Board's Policies and Procedures Manual.

5) QUESTION II.C.2 - Does the Board give clear directions to management on the mission and goal of the organization?

ACTION - A member felt that while the Board does guide the Department through policy decisions, the direction to the department through the mission and goals is a little more ambiguous. The Utilities Manager will be asked to provide input.

6) QUESTION II.C.3 - Does the Board spend an appropriate time on policy consideration and direction versus operational issues?

ACTION - A member felt that the Board did well in this area, but wanted to know how others felt. There were no comments or concerns.

7) QUESTION II.D.2 - Are there systems for corrective action where performance is below standard or reward when performance is above standard?

ACTION - A member did observe that the Department does well commending people for good performance, but the Utilities Manager will be asked to provide input.

8) QUESTION II.D.3 - Are organizational goal setting and achievements taken into account during the DPU Manager's evaluation?

ACTION - It was felt that the Board does this in general, although the evaluations have recently been more of an assessment of the Utilities Manager's leadership across broad goals, which seems to be more appropriate for such a position. The Utilities Manager will be asked to provide input.

9) QUESTION II.E.2 - Does the Board communicate the value of the organizations to its stakeholders.

QUESTION II.E.5 - Does the Board communicate effectively with the County.

ACTION - This discussion was also related to #4. Several members felt that communication with Council had improved this last year and it was pointed out that they seem to appreciate it when members attend Council meetings. Overall, members felt that the Department does a very good job with communication and outreach and the Board's role should be to ensure that the Department continues to do a good job of public outreach on key issues.

10) QUESTION II.E.3 - Does the Board seek input and involve its stakeholders in policy considerations and decisions.

ACTION - Members felt that the Board generally does well in this area, especially through joint meetings with Council on important issues, but perhaps there is some room for improvement. There are some opportunities coming up in the near future for the Board to assess what kind of public and stakeholder input tools are available and how to filter the feedback to make decisions that are in the best interest of the whole County. The Utilities Manager will be asked to provide input.

11) QUESTION III.C.3 - Do Board members usually attend annual DPU strategy and planning meetings?

ACTION - Direction is given by the Board through the course of the year, which is always

FINAL - APPROVED

Board	of	Public	Utilities	
-------	----	--------	-----------	--

Minutes

considered during Department strategic planning. The Board considered assigning two members to attend those meetings as the dates get closer.

12) QUESTION III.D.9 - Does the Board provide overall human resources direction to the DPU Manager without becoming involved in specific personnel matters?

ACTION - The Board is involved in human resources direction in terms of monitoring staffing levels and approving collective bargaining agreements; however, it was felt that the Board has a very limited role in dealing with human resource issues and perhaps this question should be changed.

13) QUESTION III.D.10 - Is the Board explicit about the information it needs from the DPU Manager to fulfill its governance function?

ACTION - The Utilities Manager will be asked to provide input.

14) QUESTION III.F.1 - Do Board members participate in educational opportunities recommended and offered by the DPU or other entities that enhance their effectiveness as a Board member?

ACTION - One member expressed a hesitance to go on travel to learn more about Utilities related programs or projects because of public perception of possible travel abuse. No other members expressed this same concern, but discussed the importance of traveling or completing training when necessary to better serve the County. Members were asked to consider whether or not some sort of minimal training requirement should be imposed on members, and if so, how that might be enforced. This will be discussed again at the follow-up meeting.

8. STATUS REPORTS

8.A <u>11469-18</u> Status Reports

Presenters: Board of Public Utilities

The following informational status reports were provided to the Board in the agenda packet:

1) Electric Reliability Update

- 2) Accounts Receivables Report
- 3) Safety Report

9. PUBLIC COMMENT

Mr. Johnson opened the floor for public comment on any items. There were no comments.

<u>10.</u> <u>ADJOURNMENT</u>

The meeting adjourned at 6:56 p.m.

APPROVAL