



Community Development—Planning

1000 Central Ave, Suite 150 Los Alamos, NM 87544 505.662.8120 planning@lacnm.us

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION

PROJECT INFORMATION				
Title:				
Project Address:				
Description:				
Check all application types if applicable: existing fire station to	remain fully operational during construction of the new fire station, and increase health, to users and the community. Site Plan* \$500 plus \$75 per/Million \$ estimated construction cost Estimated Construction Cost:			
* Application reviews require a pre-application meeting.				

PROPERTY & OW	NER INFORMATION			
Property Address:	4401 Diamond Drive	Los Alamos 	NM 	87544
Zoning District:	INS	Lot Size - Acres / S		(100,234sf re-plat)
Existing Structure		+	15%	(100,20 10110 plat)
	s) Name: Los Alamos County, Anr			
	anne.laurent@lacnm.us	<u> </u>		
	5)#: 505.662.8400			
Owner's Addr	ess same as Property Address			
Owner(s) Address:	1000 Central Ave.	Los Alamos County	NM State	87544
APPLICANT / OW	/NER'S AGENT INFORMATION			
✓ Applicant is sa	ame as Owner			
Applicant Name:				
Applicant Address:	Address	City	State	ZIP
Applicant Email:				
Applicant Phone(s)#:			
ASSOCIATED APP	PLICATONS			
Application Type:	Minor Zone Map Amendment &	Comprehensive Plan	Amendment	
Case Number:				
	d affirm, under penalty of perjury, t st of my knowledge, information, ar	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	pplication is true and ተዛ
Signature:	Anne Laurent	Date:	3/13/2025	
STAFF USE ONLY				
Date Received:		Staff:		
Case No.#:		Meeting Date:		
SUBMITTALS				
	rship or rization from Owner ociated App l ication Checklist		ration – Date:oted upon verification o	



Community Development—Planning

1000 Central Ave, Suite 150 Los Alamos, NM 87544 505.662.8120 planning@lacnm.us

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN CHECKLIST

Applicants for all development application reviews must complete this checklist and submit it with the Development Application. Refer to the referenced code sections for additional information. Contact the Planning Division with questions regarding these requirements: planning@lacnm.us.

PRE-APPLICATION MEETING
Date Held:
DEVELOPMENT TEXT
Copy of the existing code to be amended – using strikeouts to show text to be removed and underline for new language proposed.
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE
Will the text amendment require changes to the Comprehensive Plan? No Yes Please specify:
ADDITIONAL SUBMITTALS
Based on staff's review and Interdepartmental Review Committee's recommendation – additional submittals may be required and will be communicated to the applicant by the assigned Case Manager.

/ AMENDMENT **Pre-Application Meeting Submit Application to Community Development Department (CDD)** Application CDD Application Review deemed incomplete Application deemed complete **Public Notice by CDD** 21 days Planning and Zoning Hearing For recommendation to Council County Council Hearing
For final decision **Appeal Period** Comprehensive Plan Issued Plan made publicly available on County website

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN ADOPTION

See Reverse.

Revision-Jan2023 Code Reference: 16-75(a)

DECISION CRITERIA 16-75-(a)(3)		
	ith the overall vision, policies and o County Council, and other adopted C	other parts of the Comprehensive Plan, the county policies and plans. Explain.
Ctaff finds that this critorian has been		
☐ Staff finds that this criterion has been ☐ Staff finds that this criterion has not be		
	ated information in the Comprehens h is not included in the Comprehens	ive Plan, responds to changed conditions, or ive Plan. Explain.
Staff finds that this criterion has been	net	

Revision-Jan2023 Code Reference: 16-75(a)


continued application of the existing Comprehensive Plan. Explain.	welfare better than retention of the
Staff finds that this criterion has been met	
Staff finds that this criterion has not been met – more information is needed	
d. The adoption or amendment will result in general benefits to a large port in the County. Explain.	ion of the residents or property owners
☐ Staff finds that this criterion has been met	

Attach additional sheets, if needed.

Revision-Jan2023 Code Reference: 16-75(a)



SUMMARY PLAT EXHIBIT B





ATTACHMENT A

Response Time Analysis

EXHIBIT C



Golf Course

Site B

Performance
89.89% [+0.19%]

Drive Time [90th]
05:33 [+00:01]

Loma Linda

Site C

Performance
89.98% [+0.27%]

Drive Time [90th]
05:33 [+00:01]

0.27% for Sites B and C respectively, compared to the current location. Given these findings, our model indicates that While Site C shows the highest performance, the differences are minimal, with marginal improvements of 0.19% and Our analysis suggests that relocating Station 4 to either the Golf Course (Site B) or Loma Linda (Site C) would offer any of the three sites would be suitable candidates for the station, with limited additional advantage to relocation. limited significant benefits. Performance across all three locations, including the current site, is generally high.



