Agenda - Final<br>County Council - Work Session

Denise Derkacs, Council Chair; Theresa Cull, Vice-Chair;
Melanee Hand; Suzie Havemann; Keith Lepsch; David Reagor; and Randall Ryti, Councilors

6:00 PM
Council Chambers - 1000 Central Avenue

NOTE: This meeting is in person and open to the public. However, for convenience, the following Zoom meeting link and/or telephone call in numbers may be used for public viewing and participation:
https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82683176848

## Or Telephone:

Dial(for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location):
US: +1 2532158782 or +1 3462487799 or +1 6699006833 or +1 3017158592 or +1 3126266799 or +1 9292056099

Webinar ID: 82683176848

1. OPENING/ROLL CALL
2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
3. PUBLIC COMMENT

This section of the agenda is reserved for comments from the public on items that do not require action by the Council or are not otherwise on the agenda.
4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
5. PRESENTATIONS, PROCLAMATIONS AND RECOGNITIONS
A. $\quad$ 17426-23 LANL Presentation on Growth and Mission Support

Presenters: County Council - Regular Session
Attachments: $\quad$ A - LANL Presentation on Growth and Mission Support
B. 17361-23 Presentation on the Performance of the Trinity Drive Road Diet Between Diamond Drive and Oppenheimer Drive

# Presenters: Eric Ulibarri, County Engineer and Juan Rael, Public Works Director <br> Attachments: A - Road Diet Presentation <br> B - Resolution No. 20-05 

## 6. BUSINESS



## 7. PUBLIC COMMENT

## 8. ADJOURNMENT

If you are an individual with a disability who is in need of a reader, amplifier, qualified sign language interpreter, or any other form of auxiliary aid or service to attend or participate in the hearing or meeting, please contact the County Human Resources Division at 662-8040 at least one week prior to the meeting or as soon as possible. Public documents, including the agenda and minutes can be provided in various accessible formats. Please contact the personnel in the Office of the County Manager at $663-1750$ if a summary or other type of accessible format is needed.

# County of Los Alamos 

Agenda No.:
Index (Council Goals):
Presenters: County Council - Regular Session
Legislative File:
A.

17426-23

## Title

LANL Presentation on Growth and Mission Support

## Body

Kelly Beierschmitt, Deputy Laboratory Director, Operations will provide an update on LANL Growth and Mission Support.

## Attachments

A - LANL Presentation on Growth and Mission Support

## Growth and mission support Presentation to the Los Alamos County Council and Town Hall

Kelly Beierschmitt
Deputy Laboratory Director Operations

July 18, 2023
LA-UR-23-27744


## Delivering the Laboratory's mission is a result of extensive listening and close collaboration



## A rapidly growing workforce and LANL mission help drive the region's economy



17,244
total employees


## $\$ 4.4$ billion

total Laboratory budget for fiscal year 2023

61.2\%
of FY22 new hires are from New Mexico


## $\$ 1.76$ billion

Annual salary total YTD for fiscal year 2023

Salary distribution by main counties:

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Los Alamos | $\$ 751,243,751$ |
| Santa Fe | $\$ 446,376,954$ |
| Rio Arriba | $\$ 195,454,037$ |
| Bernalillo | $\$ 97,487,279$ |
| Sandoval | $\$ 72,918,192$ |
| Taos | $\$ 23,019,491$ |
| Other NM |  |
|  | $\$ 30,779,651$ |

## Regional capacity challenges and opportunities



Attachment A

## Exploring federal off-site campus options

## Move work closer to housing

- Robust main campus in Los Alamos will remain
- Possible capabilities for off-site campus
- Low hazard, light laboratory space
- R\&D offices
- Business and support services offices
- Classified office activities
- Warehousing
- Keep hazardous and specialized operations in Los Alamos
- Reduces traffic congestion and housing demand in Los Alamos County, more accessible to larger population base


Acquiring permanent off-site locations focuses on-site investments on specialized operations (nuclear mission, stockpile security, high explosive, LANSCE, magnet lab, etc.)

## Exploring transit alternatives

Transportation study concluded mass transit options are critical to meet the needs of our current and projected workforce

- LANL transportation plan aligns with area strategies
- Express bussing from surrounding communities to augment other regional services
- Integration and support for existing NMDOT Park n' Ride to optimize cost-effectiveness
- Transit centers in LA County and surrounding regions
- Expanding on-site shuttle and regional vanpools
- Use incentives to encourage employees to change current transportation behavior
- Overall goal reduces number of employee vehicles onsite and road bottlenecks


Regional transit solutions enable Laboratory and partner missions.

## Bussing with off-site Park n' Ride

- Remote parking locations with shuttles in lieu of parking development on-site
- Pojoaque (300): in operation now
- San Felipe (500-1,000): pilot coming
- San Ildefonso (1,000-1,500 vehicles)
- Provides a convenient location for rideshare formation
- Leverage existing NMDOT Park n' Ride and vanpool programs to increase options for employees
- Expanded Los Alamos transit center in partnership with NMDOT/LAC


## Solid support in Washington, D.C.

FY24 budget request, if approved, puts us close to \$5B

- Keep spornding andihing

We're watching budget negotiations to get an ideal of when FY24 Glidget for LANL will be anproveds

LOS Alamos

## We now have 17,244 employees; on track to hire ~2K more

Growing capacity key to meeting our mission

Investing in staff
(b) Revamping management systems

Modernizing the site and increasing geographical scope


## Site modernization in full swing

~\$588M in estimated FY23 construction costs
Pajarito corridor work ramping up:

- Work spans eight technical areas
- LAP4 (largest line-item project)
- Six D\&D projects underway
- Hundreds of additional projects ongoing

Net-zero (\$500K NNSA Green Fleet Award for more ZEVs)

Supercomputing progress, CHIPS and Science, Inflation Reduction Act

Regional development plan: to be successful on-site, we

## Much more to come ...

 also need support from the surrounding aftea
## Expanded childcare

## In response to employee needs, Triad/UC providing financial support for care center and new UNM-LA childhood education program at no cost to taxpayers

Agreement reached with expert local childcare provider and women- and minority-owned small business, Bilingual Montessori School of White Rock to operate new childcare center in Los Alamos

- UC is funding a $\$ 2 \mathrm{M}$ remodel of 3500 Trinity Drive offices
- Fall 2023 opening anticipated
- Services provided at market-competitive rates
- Intended to serve approximately 100 children, with priority given to Lab employees, especially those whose children presently lack care, including infants
New UNM-LA program investment:
- Triad, in concert with the Regional Development Corporation (RDC), is making a $\sim \$ 25 \mathrm{~K}$ investment in a new Early Childhood Education Certificate Program
- Supports broader pipeline of educators locally, regionally


## Student programs of all kinds are essential to our work

- Specialized programs prepare high schoolers for craft work via the New Mexico Building \& Construction Trades Council
- Partnerships with Northern NM College, Santa Fe Community College and UNM-LA/UNM
- Funding 11 multi-year minority-serving institutions to expand/create programs to meet LANL's nuclear security needs


Questions?

Agenda No.:
Index (Council Goals):
B.

Quality Excellence - Infrastructure Asset Management; Quality of Life Mobility

Eric Ulibarri, County Engineer and Juan Rael, Public Works Director
17361-23

## Title

Presentation on the Performance of the Trinity Drive Road Diet Between Diamond Drive and Oppenheimer Drive

## Body

The road diet design option was specifically evaluated at the request of the Transportation Board on January 3, 2019 during evaluation of the Trinity Drive and 35th/36th Streets design alternatives for "The Hills" development. An engineering consultant was hired to evaluate the feasibility of a road diet on Trinity from Diamond to Oppenheimer. On May 2, 2019, the design consultant presented its findings to the Transportation Board showing that the road diet configuration provides for improved multi-modal use of roadway, and supports growth through a 10-year horizon in 2032. The Transportation Board recommended the road diet alternative for consideration.

The NMDOT reviewed and supported the traffic analysis data supporting a road diet as a viable design option. However, the NMDOT requested a Council resolution to document and ensure there is community involvement and support for the road diet per their 2020 NMDOT Design Manual Road Diet Guide.

In June of 2020, the NMDOT performed a pavement preservation project and would re-stripe this segment of Trinity Drive as a road diet, if Los Alamos County provided the Council resolution.

On June 9, 2020 Council approved Resolution 20-05, a Resolution of Support for the New Mexico Department of Transportation to Implement a Road Diet on Trinity Drive from Diamond Drive to Oppenheimer Drive. As part of that approval, Council requested staff provide a report to Council after periods of one and two years. The Road Diet was implemented on June 11, 2020. Due to impacts related to the COVID pandemic followed by construction impacts during Canyon Road reconstruction, earlier presentations were delayed allowing staff time to gather appropriate data. This presentation will illustrate the full impact of the 2022 closure of Canyon Road, when the road diet was tested to its max traffic volumes.

## Attachments

A - Road Diet Presentation
B - Resolution No. 20-05

## TRINITY DRIVE/NM 502 ROAD DIET

Diamond Dr. to Oppenheimer Dr. Incorporated County of Los Alamos

Public Works Department

July 18, 2023

## TRINITY DRIVE/NM 502 ROAD DIET



LS ALAM因S

## TRINITY DRIVE/NM 502 ROAD DIET

## Background:

- Improvements associated with an NMDOT State Grant for Pedestrian and ADA Improvements, NMDOT Pavement Preservation Project, and "The Hill" Apartment Housing Development.
- January 3, 2019 - Transportation Board requested analysis of a Road Diet alternative as part of "The Hill" off-site traffic study.
- May 2, 2019 - Transportation Board recommended a Road Diet on Trinity Drive following review of all alternatives from "The Hill" off-site traffic study.
- June 25, 2019 - Council presentation on findings of "The Hill" off-site traffic study. No Council Action taken.
- May 26, 2020 - Council voted against (4-3) adopting Resolution No.20-05 supporting implementation of the Road Diet.
- June 9, 2020 - Council reconsidered and voted (4-3) to adopt Resolution No.20-05 supporting implementation of the Road Diet.
- June 11, 2020 - Road Diet Pavement Markings Implemented.



## TRINITY DRIVE/NM 502 ROAD DIET

## Road Diet - Evaluation

## Pros:

- Continuous Center Lane
- Left turn storage
- Two stage crossing availability (gap acceptance)
- Emergency access availability
- Traffic Calming
- Conflict Points Reduced (Less rear-end, and right-angle crashes)
- Community-Focused Complete Streets
- Bike Lanes (both directions)
- Pedestrian Buffer from Vehicular Traffic (both directions)
- Pedestrian Crossing - Median Refuge Availability
- Pedestrian - Fewer Lanes to Cross
- Transit Bus Bay Proposed Near 36th Street


## Cons:

- Does not solve capacity problems at major intersections Diamond Drive / Oppenheimer
- Driver Expectation - Motorists accustomed to 4 lanes, Public Perception
- Continuous Center Lane Conflict where multiple, closely spaced driveways exist
- Median Pedestrian Refuges can Restrict Emergency Access
- Left Turn Lane Provided to Hospital East Entrance


## TRINITY DRIVE/NM 502 ROAD DIET

## Challenges: Canyon Road Reconstruction Project

- Canyon Road Closed to Through Traffic - July 5, 2022 (Approx 7,000 to 10,000 vehicles per day detoured to Trinity Drive)
- Caused significant delays at Diamond Drive/Trinity Drive Intersection during peak periods
- Caused periodic slowdowns along Trinity Drive during peak periods
- Canyon Road Reopened to Traffic - October 24, 2022



## TRINITY DRIVE/NM 502 ROAD DIET

TRAFFIC COUNT DATA - TRINITY DRIVE, WEST OF 36 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ STREET


## TOTAL Average Weekday Traffic Volumes

- July 2022* $=23,681$ vehicles per day
- September 2022* $=23,900$ vehicles per day
- October 2022* $=24,432$ vehicles per day
- November $2022=13,892$ vehicles per day
- May $2023=17,638$ vehicles per day
*     - Traffic Counts conducted during Canyon Road Closure


## TRINITY DRIVE/NM 502 ROAD DIET

TRAVEL TIME DATA COLLECTION METHODOLOGY

- Floating Car Method
- Speed Tracker App used to record speed and travel times
- Collection Periods: AM (7:00 - 9:00), Midday (11:00-1:00), PM (4:00-6:00)
- $8-11$ runs per direction completed during each collection period (Between Central Ave Roundabout \& Diamond Dr.)
- Extracted data between $20^{\text {th }}$ St and Diamond Dr for Road Diet Evaluation (1.05 Miles)
- Maximum Free Flow Speed Set at 40 mph



# TRINITY DRIVE/NM 502 ROAD DIET 

TRAVEL TIME DATA - Between Diamond Drive and 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street

EASTBOUND

| Trinity Drive - Average AM Peak Period Travel Times <br> EASTBOUND (Diamond Dr. to 20th St.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Run Date | Total Travel Time | Moving Time | Stop Time | Ave. Speed | Max Speed |
| Sept 29,2022 | 1 min 58 sec | 1 min 55 sec | 4 sec | 31.7 MPH | 38.5 MPH |
| Oct 13,2022 | 2 min 2 sec | 1 min 59 sec | 3 sec | 30.8 MPH | 38.9 MPH |
| Nov 17,2022 | 1 min 52 sec | 1 min 48 sec | 4 sec | 33.5 MPH | 40.4 MPH |

Trinity Drive - Average Midday Peak Period Travel Times EASTBOUND (Diamond Dr. to 20th St.)

| Trinity Drive - Average Midday Peak Period Travel Times <br> EASTBOUND (Diamond Dr. to 20th St.) <br> Run Date Total Travel Time |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Moving Time | Stop Time | Ave. Speed | Max Speed |  |  |
| Sept 29, 2022 | 2 min 19 sec | 2 min 13 sec | 6 sec | 26.9 MPH | 37.4 MPH |
| Oct 13,2022 | 2 min 9 sec | 2 min 6 sec | 3 sec | 29.1 MPH | 37.5 MPH |
| Nov 17,2022 | 1 min 52 sec | 1 min 51 sec | 1 sec | 33.5 MPH | 39.5 MPH |


| Trinity Drive - Average PM Peak Period Travel Times |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EASTBOUND (Diamond Dr. to 20th St.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Date | Total Travel Time | Moving Time | Stop Time | Ave. Speed | Max Speed |
| Sept 29, 2022 | 3 min 4 sec | 2 min 49 sec | 15 sec | 20.4 MPH | 37 MPH |
| Oct 13, 2022 | 3 min 15 sec | 2 min 42 sec | 33 sec | 19.2 MPH | 38.1 MPH |
| Nov 17, 2022 | 2 min 12 sec | 2 min 7 sec | 5 sec | 28.4 MPH | 38.4 MPH |

## WESTBOUND

| Trinity Drive - Average AM Peak Period Travel Times <br> WESTBOUND (20th St. to Diamond Dr.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Run Date | Total Travel Time | Moving Time | Stop Time | Ave. Speed | Max Speed |
| Sept 29, 2022 | 2 min 53 sec | 2 min 13 sec | 40 sec | 21.6 MPH | 39.1 MPH |
| Oct 13,2022 | 3 min 9 sec | 2 min 17 sec | 52 sec | 19.8 MPH | 37.9 MPH |
| Nov 17, 2022 | 2 min 35 sec | 1 min 59 sec | 35 sec | 24.2 MPH | 39.9 MPH |


| Trinity Drive - Average Midday Peak Period Travel Times <br> WESTBOUND (20th St. to Diamond Dr.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Run Date | Total Travel Time | Moving Time | Stop Time | Ave. Speed | Max Speed |
| Sept 29, 2022 | $3 \min 30 \mathrm{sec}$ | 2 min 27 sec | 1 min 2 sec | 17.8 MPH | 36 MPH |
| Oct 13, 2022 | $3 \min 6 \mathrm{sec}$ | 2 min 20 sec | 46 sec | 20.1 MPH | 36.5 MPH |
| Nov 17, 2022 | $2 \min 52 \mathrm{sec}$ | $2 \min 7 \mathrm{sec}$ | 45 sec | 21.8 MPH | 38.4 MPH |


| Trinity Drive - Average PM Peak Period Travel Times <br> WESTBOUND (20th St. to Diamond Dr.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Run Date | Total Travel Time | Moving Time | Stop Time | Ave. Speed | Max Speed |
| Sept 29, 2022 | 2 min 50 sec | 2 min 9 sec | 42 sec | 22 MPH | 38.6 MPH |
| Oct 13, 2022 | 3 min 10 sec | 2 min 11 sec | 59 sec | 19.7 MPH | 38.3 MPH |
| Nov 17, 2022 | 2 min 47 sec | 1 min 57 sec | 51 sec | 22.4 MPH | 39.9 MPH |

## TRINITY DRIVE/NM 502 ROAD DIET <br> TRAVEL TIME DATA - Eastbound Between Diamond Drive and 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street

| Trinity Drive - Average PM Peak Period Travel Times <br> EASTBOUND (Diamond Dr. to 20th St.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Run Date | Total Travel Time | Moving Time | Stop Time | Ave. Speed | Max Speed |
| Sept 29, 2022 | 3 min 4 sec | 2 min 49 sec | 15 sec | 20.4 MPH | 37 MPH |
| Oct 13, 2022 | 3 min 15 sec | 2 min 42 sec | 33 sec | 19.2 MPH | 38.1 MPH |
| Nov 17,2022 | 2 min 12 sec | 2 min 7 sec | 5 sec | 28.4 MPH | 38.4 MPH |



## TRINITY DRIVE/NM 502 ROAD DIET



## TRINITY DRIVE/NM 502 ROAD DIET

## Crash Data - Trinity Drive, Between Diamond Drive and Oppenheimer Drive

| Year | Total \# Crashes | Property <br> Damage Only | Injury | Single <br> Vehicle | Multiple Vehicle | Pedestrian Involved | Bicycle <br> Involved | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2015 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2016 | 12 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 |
| 2017 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 2019 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2020 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2021 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 2022 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 0 | (3) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Totals | 70 | 50 | 20 | 2 | 68 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 4 |

Road Diet Implemented
June 11, 2020

## TRINITY DRIVE/NM 502 ROAD DIET

## Conclusions

- During Worst Case Scenario (Canyon Road Closure), Road Diet segment continued to flow with only a reduction in speed.
- The Primary Constraint affecting capacity is the Signalized intersection at Diamond and Oppenheimer
- Under normal traffic conditions no prolonged traffic flow issues
- Improvements to Safety
- Reported improvements to Pedestrians and Bicyclist comfort
- Public Safety and Emergency Management
- No Impact to evacuation due to multiple routes available.
- Emergency response is enhanced due to available center lane.


## Questions?



LWS ALAM*S

# Appendix <br> Additional Data Collected 

LS ALAM因S

## TRINITY DRIVE/NM 502 ROAD DIET

TRAFFIC COUNT DATA - TRINITY DRIVE, WEST OF $36^{\text {th }}$ STREET
July, September and October 2022 Traffic Counts conducted during Canyon Road Closure


## TRINITY DRIVE/NM 502 ROAD DIET

TRAFFIC COUNT DATA - TRINITY DRIVE, WEST OF 36 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ STREET
September and October 2022 Traffic Counts conducted during Canyon Road Closure


## TRINITY DRIVE/NM 502 ROAD DIET

## TRAVEL TIME DATA - Eastbound Between Diamond Drive and 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street

September and October 2022 Travel Time Runs conducted during Canyon Road Closure

## Trinity Drive - Average AM Peak Period Travel Times EASTBOUND (Diamond Dr. to 20th St.)



## LS ALAM因S

## TRINITY DRIVE/NM 502 ROAD DIET

TRAVEL TIME DATA - Westbound Between $20^{\text {th }}$ Street and Diamond Drive
September and October 2022 Travel Time Runs conducted during Canyon Road Closure

| Trinity Drive - Average AM Peak Period Travel Times <br> WESTBOUND (20th St. to Diamond Dr.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Run Date | Total Travel Time | Moving Time | Stop Time | Ave. Speed | Max Speed |  |  |
| Sept 29, 2022 | 2 min 53 sec | 2 min 13 sec | 40 sec | 21.6 MPH | 39.1 MPH |  |  |
| Oct 13, 2022 | 3 min 9 sec | 2 min 17 sec | 52 sec | 19.8 MPH | 37.9 MPH |  |  |
| Nov 17,2022 | 2 min 35 sec | 1 min 59 sec | 35 sec | 24.2 MPH | 39.9 MPH |  |  |





## TRINITY DRIVE/NM 502 ROAD DIET

## TRAVEL TIME DATA - Eastbound Between Diamond Drive and 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street

September and October 2022 Travel Time Runs conducted during Canyon Road Closure

| Trinity Drive - Average Midday Peak Period Travel Times <br> EASTBOUND (Diamond Dr. to 20th St.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Run Date | Total Travel Time | Moving Time | Stop Time | Ave. Speed | Max Speed |
| Sept 29, 2022 | 2 min 19 sec | 2 min 13 sec | 6 sec | 26.9 MPH | 37.4 MPH |
| Oct 13, 2022 | 2 min 9 sec | 2 min 6 sec | 3 sec | 29.1 MPH | 37.5 MPH |
| Nov 17,2022 | 1 min 52 sec | 1 min 51 sec | 1 sec | 33.5 MPH | 39.5 MPH |





LS ALAM因S

## TRINITY DRIVE/NM 502 ROAD DIET

TRAVEL TIME DATA - Westbound Between $\mathbf{2 0}^{\text {th }}$ Street and Diamond Drive
September and October 2022 Travel Time Runs conducted during Canyon Road Closure

## Trinity Drive - Average Midday Peak Period Travel Times WESTBOUND (20th St. to Diamond Dr.)

| Run Date | Total Travel Time | Moving Time | Stop Time | Ave. Speed | Max Speed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sept 29, 2022 | 3 min 30 sec | 2 min 27 sec | 1 min 2 sec | 17.8 MPH | 36 MPH |
| Oct 13, 2022 | 3 min 6 sec | 2 min 20 sec | 46 sec | 20.1 MPH | 36.5 MPH |
| Nov 17, 2022 | 2 min 52 sec | 2 min 7 sec | 45 sec | 21.8 MPH | 38.4 MPH |





## TRINITY DRIVE/NM 502 ROAD DIET

TRAVEL TIME DATA - Westbound Between $\mathbf{2 0}^{\text {th }}$ Street and Diamond Drive
September and October 2022 Travel Time Runs conducted during Canyon Road Closure

| Trinity Drive - Average PM Peak Period Travel Times <br> WESTBOUND (20th St. to Diamond Dr.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Run Date | Total Travel Time | Moving Time | Stop Time | Ave. Speed | Max Speed |
| Sept 29, 2022 | 2 min 50 sec | 2 min 9 sec | 42 sec | 22 MPH | 38.6 MPH |
| Oct 13, 2022 | 3 min 10 sec | 2 min 11 sec | 59 sec | 19.7 MPH | 38.3 MPH |
| Nov 17, 2022 | 2 min 47 sec | 1 min 57 sec | 51 sec | 22.4 MPH | 39.9 MPH |





# INCORPORATED COUNTY OF LOS ALAMOS RESOLUTION NO. 20-05 

## A RESOLUTION OF SUPPORT FOR THE NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TO IMPLEMENT A ROAD DIET ON TRINITY DRIVE FROM DIAMOND DRIVE TO OPPENHEIMER DRIVE

WHEREAS, On November 14, 2017, the Council of the Incorporated County of Los Alamos ("Council") approved Ordinance No.673, authorizing the sale of County-owned real property within Site A-13-2 and A-12 to LAH Investors, LLC.; and

WHEREAS, on January 8, 2018, the Council approved a Purchase, Sale and Development Agreement ("Agreement") with LAH Investors, LLC to develop a Class A market rate apartment community to support the community's need for residential housing; and

WHEREAS, as part of the Agreement, the Incorporated County of Los Alamos ("County") is responsible for performing the engineering analysis and design associated with off-site improvements for the development that includes access improvements to the intersection of Trinity Drive and $35^{\text {th }} / 36^{\text {th }}$ Streets; and

WHEREAS, on January 3, 2019, several intersection improvement alternatives were presented to and considered by the County Transportation Board ("Board"), whereby the Board recommended a Road Diet configuration also be considered as a "complete streets" alternative, a concept to improve the transportation system for all users; and

WHEREAS, a Road Diet is a concept that transforms a four lane road to a three lane road consisting of a center turn lane, two vehicular lanes and two bicycle lanes, which also enhances adjacent pedestrian facilities and provides for crossing opportunities; and

WHEREAS, on May 2, 2019, the Road Diet analysis and configuration was presented to the Board and recommended the Road Diet configuration be considered for consideration; and

WHEREAS, on June 25, 2019, staff presented the findings of the engineering analysis and proposed intersection and road improvements to Council; and

WHEREAS, County was awarded Fiscal Year 2020 Local Government Transportation Project Funds for pedestrian and ADA improvements to this portion of Trinity Drive scheduled for implementation in the Summer of 2020; and

WHEREAS, Trinity Drive, also known as NM 502, is a State Road and the New Mexico Department of Transportation (NMDOT) is scheduled to repave the roadway in 2020; and

WHEREAS, after roadway repaving is complete, NMDOT shall apply new pavement markings and lane striping, and requested a County resolution of support to implement the Road Diet configuration with this effort.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the Incorporated County of Los Alamos supports NMDOT's efforts to repave NM 502 and implement the Road Diet configuration from Diamond Drive to Oppenheimer Drive.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this $9^{\text {th }}$ day of June, 2020.

## COUNCIL OF THE INCORPORATED COUNTY OF LOS ALAMOS



Sara C. Scott, Council Chair
ATTEST:


Naomi D. Maestas, Los Alamos County Clerk


Agenda No.:
Index (Council Goals):

## Presenters:

Legislative File:
A.

Quality Governance - Fiscal Stewardship
Steven Lynne, County Manager and Helen Perraglio, Chief Financial Officer
17602-23

## Title

## Discussion Regarding Assumptions for the Long Range Financial Projection <br> Body

The purpose of this item is to discuss and re-examine the assumptions in the current Long Range Financial Projection (LRFP).

During the Fiscal Year (FY) 2024 budget hearings, Council considered and unanimously approved the budget and the LRFP. In addition, Council also directed staff to "...return with a proposed ordinance for Council consideration to increase the County's Gross Receipts Tax (GRT) rate by $0.5 \%$, with 2 options, effective either Jan 1, 2024, or July 1, 2024." However, during the hearings to enact one of the GRT options, neither option was enacted. Having no new GRT option enacted contradicts the previous assumptions in the LRFP. In order for staff to operate effectively over the next year in accordance with our financial plan, this discussion is to examine this contradiction and all assumptions in the LRFP, with the goal of eventually having Council approved amended assumptions at a future meeting.

The FY 2024 Adopted LRFP assumptions are included as Attachment A. Since there are a large number of potential variables, staff is suggesting that for purposes of this discussion, the focus be on 3 areas:

1. Assumptions regarding future GRT revenue;
2. assumptions regarding new GRT increment; and
3. assumptions regarding expenditures.

For each of these areas, the current assumptions will be discussed, potential alternative assumptions will be outlined, and possible alternative financial outlooks associated with each potential alternative assumption will be demonstrated.

## 1. Future GRT Revenue

a. Current Assumptions - FY2024, +5\%; FY2025, +5\%; FY2026, +3\%; FY2027, -10\%; FY2028, $-5 \%$; FY2029, $0 \%$; and then $+3 \%$ per year thereafter. The $15 \%$ decrease currently projected in FYs 2027 and 2028 was one of the factors driving a proposed new GRT.
b. Potential Alternative Assumptions
i. Replace the $-10 \%$ and $-5 \%$ in FYs 2027 and. 2028 with 0\% (Attachment D)
ii. Other potential alternatives assumptions could be considered, such as different timing and different magnitude of changes.

## 2. New GRT Increment

a. FY 2024 Adopted Assumption - new 0.5\% increment effective Jan 1, 2024. (Attachment B)
b. Potential Alternative Assumptions
i. No new GRT - current status (Attachment C)
ii. New $0.5 \%$ GRT increment effective July 1,2024
iii. New $0.5 \%$ GRT increment effective July 1, 2025 (Attachment E)
iv. New $0.25 \%$ increment effective July 1,2025 (Attachment F)
v. Other potential alternative assumptions could be considered.
3. Expenditures
a. Current Assumptions - 3\% projected inflation FY 2025 and all future years
b. Potential Alternative Assumptions - these potential alternative assumptions will, in part, be driven by the potential alternative revenue assumptions in items 1 and 2 above.
i. Assume 0\% growth for a number of specific years (Attachment G)
ii. Assume $-2 \%$ (or another \%) decrease for a number of specific years
iii. Defer or eliminate specific capital projects and / or alternatively increase capital related debt to replace some or all eliminated revenue.

Staff suggestion:
Given the prior Council discussion and actions to date, it appears that Council is comfortable enough with the assumptions regarding Lower GRT to approve the overall budget, but not yet comfortable enough to enact New GRT. So this could, in general, be characterized as an issue of timing. Therefore staff would suggest that the assumption "New 0.5\% GRT increment effective January or July 1, 2025" might be an appropriate adjusted assumption. This would allow approximately $12-15$ months to gain further insight to the Lower GRT assumption. If this is the selected assumption, this would represent a short-term or one-time loss of revenue, relative to the FY 2024 Adopted Budget assumptions. To offset the lower revenue, staff would recommend that a combination of adjusting unassigned fund balance downward (greater use of fund balance reserves), and considering either capital project deferral or elimination and / or higher capital debt be considered.

## Attachments

A - FY 2024 Adopted LRFP Assumptions
B - FY 2024 Adopted 10-yr General Fund projection and alternatives summary
C - 10-yr General Fund projection - no new GRT
D - 10-yr General Fund projection - assume GRT decreases are 0\%
E - 10-yr General Fund projection - new $0.5 \%$ GRT increase effective July 1, 2025
F - 10-yr General Fund projection - new 0.25\% GRT increase effective July 1, 2025
G-10-yr General Fund projection - 0\% expenditure growth FYs 2025-2027 and no new GRT

This Long Range Financial Projection (LRFP) is prepared in accordance with Financial Policies Section IX, Long Range Financial Projection. The purpose is to provide a longer-term context for the budget, to clarify and illustrate Council's long-range financial policy direction, and to integrate the estimated long-term operating impacts from capital projects into the operating budget projections.

The focus of the LRFP is on the general governmental operations of the County. The Joint Utilities and other proprietary funds are excluded from this analysis.

## Baseline Scenario

The key assumptions built into the baseline LRFP are the following:
Revenue Assumptions:

1. Charges for services, franchise taxes and interfund/interdepartmental charges are estimated using a simple forecast based upon past history. The primary assumptions for each of these lines was that the specific mix of revenues would remain stable over time and that there were no significant plans or other revenue interrelationships that would require a more refined projection model.
2. Grants - The detail for FY2024 was reviewed and those items that were one time in nature or declining were reduced in future years. The base estimate for expected recurring revenues in the General fund is an estimated $\$ 1,346,000$ in FY2024. The inflation rate was set at $3 \%$ thereafter.
3. Land Sales - The County has future plans that would involve sale of County land parcels. Land sales revenue totaling approximately $\$ 1,500,000$ is included in the CIP Fund for FY2024, and $\$ 2,200,000$ for FY2025. Any land sales will be subject to future development plans and subject to Council approval. Any land sales will be subject to future development plans and subject to Council approval.
4. GRT revenue - The two primary sources of input for GRT revenue projections are the federal budget projections and input from LANL regarding projected spending. While the first input drives the other, it is the spending subject to tax that generates GRT. There have been discussions with LANL about the timing of tax credits when their spending is subject to manufacturing tax exemptions which would decrease GRT received by the County. This is primarily reflected in projected declines starting in FY26. This is a partial driver for a proposed one-half cent (1/2) GRT increment.

The GRT revenues are estimated to change as follows (in \$millions):

| Actual |  |  | Projected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{2021}$ | $\underline{2022}$ | $\underline{2023}$ | $\underline{2024}$ | $\underline{2025}$ | $\underline{2026}$ | $\underline{2027}$ | $\underline{2028}$ | $\underline{2029}$ | $\underline{2030}$ | $\underline{2031}$ | $\underline{2032}$ | $\underline{2033}$ |
| 59 | 69 | 72 | 83 | 94 | 105 | 108 | 98 | 93 | 93 | 95 | 98 | 101 |
| 1.7\% | 17.0\% | 4.4\% | 15.3\% | 13.3\% | 11.7\% | 2.9\% | -9.3\% | -5.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 3.2\% | 3.1\% |

The increase projected beginning in FY2022 is consistent with higher LANL budgets, increased hiring and spending at LANL, and with YTD receipts in FY2023.
5. There are no specific property tax rate adjustments projected. However, if the County chooses to pursue General Obligation Debt for certain purposes, then the associated property tax rate adjustments would be discussed and proposed at that time. NOTE - the state's yield control formula will impact the calculated levied rate, so Council and the public should expect to see some minor rate changes from the state calculation when the rates are certified from the state in September and presented to the Council for adoption.
6. If not specifically addressed, revenues are projected to follow either historical trends or historical averages.

Operating Expenditure Assumptions:

1. In FY2025 and beyond, General Fund Expenditures are estimated to inflate annually at an average of $3 \%$.
2. Expenditures in other special revenue funds inflate at varying rates of $1 \%$ to $3 \%$ annually, or match projected revenues for specifically required spending by law.
3. The total of $\$ 1,600,000$ per year transfer from the General Fund to the Economic Development Fund is comprised of $\$ 400,000$ per year for the housing loan programs and $\$ 1,200,000$ in grants for the Los Alamos Public Schools and University of New Mexico - Los Alamos. Both transfers are projected to continue until 2033.
4. FY2024 and forward includes planned operational costs within Community Services and Public Works in the General Fund for capital projects under construction and for planned future projects.

|  |  | FY2024 Adopted | FY2025 Projected | FY2026 Projected | FY2027 Projected | FY2028 Projected | FY2029 Projected | FY2030 Projected | FY2031 Projected | FY2032 Projected | FY2033 Projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning Fund Balance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonspendable | \$ | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 |
| Restricted for Cash Requirements |  | 6,501,358 | 7,392,640 | 7,693,267 | 7,967,052 | 8,232,552 | 8,511,396 | 8,782,698 | 9,063,550 | 9,333,165 | 9,608,943 |
| Other Restricted/Assigned |  | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 |
| Unassigned |  | 40,583,504 | 45,263,443 | 51,253,656 | 55,630,100 | 57,003,061 | 54,117,094 | 48,628,545 | 43,022,531 | 37,545,230 | 32,216,537 |
| Total beginning fund balance |  | 56,964,361 | 62,535,582 | 68,826,422 | 73,476,651 | 75,115,112 | 72,507,989 | 67,290,742 | 61,965,580 | 56,757,894 | 51,704,979 |
| Revenues |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Receipts Taxes |  | 85,601,000 | 96,729,000 | 99,634,000 | 89,660,000 | 85,157,000 | 85,157,000 | 87,714,000 | 90,343,000 | 93,056,000 | 95,846,000 |
| Property Taxes |  | 8,865,961 | 9,131,940 | 9,405,898 | 9,688,075 | 9,978,717 | 10,278,079 | 10,586,421 | 10,904,014 | 11,231,134 | 11,568,068 |
| Interdepartmental Charges |  | 13,600,525 | 14,008,541 | 14,428,797 | 14,861,661 | 15,307,511 | 15,766,736 | 16,239,738 | 16,726,930 | 17,228,738 | 17,745,600 |
| Investment Income |  | 1,870,000 | 1,291,000 | 1,417,000 | 1,510,000 | 1,542,000 | 1,490,000 | 1,386,000 | 1,279,000 | 1,175,000 | 1,074,000 |
| Grants |  | 1,346,422 | 1,386,815 | 1,428,419 | 1,471,272 | 1,515,410 | 1,560,872 | 1,607,698 | 1,655,929 | 1,705,607 | 1,756,775 |
| User Charges |  | 2,365,105 | 2,415,105 | 2,465,105 | 2,515,105 | 2,565,105 | 2,615,105 | 2,665,105 | 2,715,105 | 2,765,105 | 2,815,105 |
| Other |  | 1,192,561 | 1,228,338 | 1,265,188 | 1,303,144 | 1,342,238 | 1,382,505 | 1,423,980 | 1,466,699 | 1,510,700 | 1,556,021 |
| Total Revenues |  | 114,841,574 | 126,190,739 | 130,044,407 | 121,009,257 | 117,407,981 | 118,250,297 | 121,622,942 | 125,090,677 | 128,672,284 | 132,361,569 |
| Transfers from other funds |  | 4,846,934 | 3,426,000 | 3,529,000 | 3,176,000 | 3,016,000 | 3,016,000 | 3,107,000 | 3,200,000 | 3,296,000 | 3,395,000 |
| Transers from other funds |  | 4,846, 334 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total sources of funds | \$ | 176,652,869 | 192,152,321 | 202,399,829 | 197,661,908 | 195,539,093 | 193,774,286 | 192,020,684 | 190,256,257 | 188,726,178 | 187,461,548 |
| Expenditures |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County Council | \$ | 421,189 | 433,825 | 446,840 | 460,245 | 474,052 | 488,274 | 502,922 | 518,010 | 533,550 | 549,557 |
| County Assessor |  | 677,507 | 697,832 | 718,767 | 740,330 | 762,540 | 785,416 | 808,978 | 833,247 | 858,244 | 883,991 |
| County Clerk |  | 1,099,135 | 1,132,109 | 1,166,072 | 1,201,054 | 1,237,086 | 1,274,199 | 1,312,425 | 1,351,798 | 1,392,352 | 1,434,123 |
| County Sheriff |  | 17,242 | 17,759 | 18,292 | 18,841 | 19,406 | 19,988 | 20,588 | 21,206 | 21,842 | 22,497 |
| Municipal Court |  | 736,164 | 758,249 | 780,996 | 804,426 | 828,559 | 853,416 | 879,018 | 905,389 | 932,551 | 960,528 |
| Probate Court |  | 6,436 | 6,629 | 6,828 | 7,033 | 7,244 | 7,461 | 7,685 | 7,916 | 8,153 | 8,398 |
| County Manager |  | 6,922,321 | 7,129,991 | 7,343,891 | 7,564,208 | 7,791,134 | 8,024,868 | 8,265,614 | 8,513,582 | 8,768,989 | 9,032,059 |
| County Attorney |  | 1,458,677 | 1,502,437 | 1,547,510 | 1,593,935 | 1,641,753 | 1,691,006 | 1,741,736 | 1,793,988 | 1,847,808 | 1,903,242 |
| Administrative Services |  | 13,143,066 | 13,537,358 | 13,943,479 | 14,361,783 | 14,792,636 | 15,236,415 | 15,693,507 | 16,164,312 | 16,649,241 | 17,148,718 |
| Community Development |  | 5,605,614 | 5,773,782 | 5,946,995 | 6,125,405 | 6,309,167 | 6,498,442 | 6,693,395 | 6,894,197 | 7,101,023 | 7,314,054 |
| Community Services |  | 16,998,933 | 17,515,901 | 18,041,378 | 18,582,619 | 19,140,098 | 19,714,301 | 20,305,730 | 20,914,902 | 21,542,349 | 22,188,619 |
| Fire |  | 9,507,440 | 10,018,833 | 10,835,250 | 11,478,170 | 12,204,922 | 12,762,594 | 13,353,919 | 13,727,041 | 14,088,243 | 14,629,031 |
| Police |  | 14,194,039 | 14,619,860 | 15,058,456 | 15,510,210 | 15,975,516 | 16,454,781 | 16,948,424 | 17,456,877 | 17,980,583 | 18,520,000 |
| Public Works |  | 17,923,917 | 19,174,635 | 19,749,874 | 20,342,370 | 20,952,641 | 21,581,220 | 22,228,657 | 22,895,517 | 23,582,383 | 24,289,854 |
| Total Expenditures |  | 88,711,680 | 92,319,200 | 95,604,628 | 98,790,629 | 102,136,754 | 105,392,381 | 108,762,598 | 111,997,982 | 115,307,311 | 118,884,671 |
| Transfers to other funds |  | 25,405,607 | 31,006,699 | 33,318,550 | 23,756,167 | 20,894,350 | 21,091,163 | 21,292,506 | 21,500,381 | 21,713,888 | 21,933,928 |
| Ending fund balance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonspendable |  | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 |
| Restricted for Cash Requirements |  | 7,392,640 | 7,693,267 | 7,967,052 | 8,232,552 | 8,511,396 | 8,782,698 | 9,063,550 | 9,333,165 | 9,608,943 | 9,907,056 |
| Other Restricted/Assigned |  | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 |
| Unassigned |  | 45,263,443 | 51,253,656 | 55,630,100 | 57,003,061 | 54,117,094 | 48,628,545 | 43,022,531 | 37,545,230 | 32,216,537 | 26,856,394 |
| Ending fund balance |  | 62,535,582 | 68,826,422 | 73,476,651 | 75,115,112 | 72,507,989 | 67,290,742 | 61,965,580 | 56,757,894 | 51,704,979 | 46,642,949 |
| Total uses of funds | \$ | 176,652,869 | 192,152,321 | 202,399,829 | 197,661,908 | 195,539,093 | 193,774,286 | 192,020,684 | 190,256,257 | 188,726,178 | 187,461,548 |
| ADOPTED BUDGET: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Surplus / (Shortfall) | \$ | 5,571,221 | 6,290,840 | 4,650,229 | 1,638,461 | $(2,607,123)$ | $(5,217,247)$ | $(5,325,162)$ | $(5,207,686)$ | $(5,052,915)$ | (5,062,030) |
| Unassigned as a \% of Revenue |  | 39.41\% | 40.62\% | 42.78\% | 47.11\% | 46.09\% | 41.12\% | 35.37\% | 30.01\% | 25.04\% | 20.29\% |
| Unassigned Target ( $20 \%$ of Rev) |  | 22,968,315 | 25,238,148 | 26,008,881 | 24,201,851 | 23,481,596 | 23,650,059 | 24,324,588 | 25,018,135 | 25,734,457 | 26,472,314 |
| \$ over (under) target |  | 22,295,128 | 26,015,508 | 29,621,219 | 32,801,210 | 30,635,498 | 24,978,486 | 18,697,943 | 12,527,095 | 6,482,080 | 384,080 |

GENERAL FUND 10 YEAR LONG RANGE FINANCIAL PLAN AS ADOPTED FY202


|  |  | FY2024 Adopted | FY2025 Projected | FY2026 Projected | FY2027 Projected | FY2028 Projected | FY2029 Projected | FY2030 Projected | FY2031 Projected | FY2032 Projected | FY2033 Projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning Fund Balance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonspendable | \$ | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 |
| Restricted for Cash Requirements |  | 6,501,358 | 7,392,640 | 7,693,267 | 7,967,052 | 8,232,552 | 8,511,396 | 8,782,698 | 9,063,550 | 9,333,165 | 9,608,943 |
| Other Restricted/Assigned |  | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 |
| Unassigned |  | 40,583,504 | 45,263,443 | 37,549,656 | 27,535,100 | 15,642,061 | $(134,906)$ | $(18,772,455)$ | $(38,154,469)$ | $(58,054,770)$ | $(78,479,463)$ |
| Total beginning fund balance |  | 56,964,361 | 62,535,582 | 55,122,422 | 45,381,651 | 33,754,112 | 18,255,989 | $(110,258)$ | $(19,211,420)$ | $(38,842,106)$ | (58,991,021) |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Receipts Taxes |  | 85,601,000 | 83,025,000 | 85,518,000 | 76,956,000 | 73,093,000 | 73,093,000 | 75,286,000 | 77,543,000 | 79,872,000 | 82,266,000 |
| Property Taxes |  | 8,865,961 | 9,131,940 | 9,405,898 | 9,688,075 | 9,978,717 | 10,278,079 | 10,586,421 | 10,904,014 | 11,231,134 | 11,568,068 |
| Interdepartmental Charges |  | 13,600,525 | 14,008,541 | 14,428,797 | 14,861,661 | 15,307,511 | 15,766,736 | 16,239,738 | 16,726,930 | 17,228,738 | 17,745,600 |
| Investment Income |  | 1,870,000 | 1,291,000 | 1,142,000 | 948,000 | 715,000 | 405,000 | 38,000 | $(344,000)$ | $(737,000)$ | $(1,140,000)$ |
| Grants |  | 1,346,422 | 1,386,815 | 1,428,419 | 1,471,272 | 1,515,410 | 1,560,872 | 1,607,698 | 1,655,929 | 1,705,607 | 1,756,775 |
| User Charges |  | 2,365,105 | 2,415,105 | 2,465,105 | 2,515,105 | 2,565,105 | 2,615,105 | 2,665,105 | 2,715,105 | 2,765,105 | 2,815,105 |
| Other |  | 1,192,561 | 1,228,338 | 1,265,188 | 1,303,144 | 1,342,238 | 1,382,505 | 1,423,980 | 1,466,699 | 1,510,700 | 1,556,021 |
| Total Revenues |  | 114,841,574 | 112,486,739 | 115,653,407 | 107,743,257 | 104,516,981 | 105,101,297 | 107,846,942 | 110,667,677 | 113,576,284 | 116,567,569 |
| Transfers from other funds |  | $4,846,934$ | 3,426,000 | 3,529,000 | 3,176,000 | 3,016,000 | 3,016,000 | 3,107,000 | 3,200,000 | 3,296,000 | 3,395,000 |
| Total sources of funds | \$ | 176,652,869 | 178,448,321 | 174,304,829 | 156,300,908 | 141,287,093 | 126,373,286 | 110,843,684 | 94,656,257 | 78,030,178 | 60,971,548 |
| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County Council | \$ | 421,189 | 433,825 | 446,840 | 460,245 | 474,052 | 488,274 | 502,922 | 518,010 | 533,550 | 549,557 |
| County Assessor |  | 677,507 | 697,832 | 718,767 | 740,330 | 762,540 | 785,416 | 808,978 | 833,247 | 858,244 | 883,991 |
| County Clerk |  | 1,099,135 | 1,132,109 | 1,166,072 | 1,201,054 | 1,237,086 | 1,274,199 | 1,312,425 | 1,351,798 | 1,392,352 | 1,434,123 |
| County Sheriff |  | 17,242 | 17,759 | 18,292 | 18,841 | 19,406 | 19,988 | 20,588 | 21,206 | 21,842 | 22,497 |
| Municipal Court |  | 736,164 | 758,249 | 780,996 | 804,426 | 828,559 | 853,416 | 879,018 | 905,389 | 932,551 | 960,528 |
| Probate Court |  | 6,436 | 6,629 | 6,828 | 7,033 | 7,244 | 7,461 | 7,685 | 7,916 | 8,153 | 8,398 |
| County Manager |  | 6,922,321 | 7,129,991 | 7,343,891 | 7,564,208 | 7,791,134 | 8,024,868 | 8,265,614 | 8,513,582 | 8,768,989 | 9,032,059 |
| County Attorney |  | 1,458,677 | 1,502,437 | 1,547,510 | 1,593,935 | 1,641,753 | 1,691,006 | 1,741,736 | 1,793,988 | 1,847,808 | 1,903,242 |
| Administrative Services |  | 13,143,066 | 13,537,358 | 13,943,479 | 14,361,783 | 14,792,636 | 15,236,415 | 15,693,507 | 16,164,312 | 16,649,241 | 17,148,718 |
| Community Development |  | 5,605,614 | 5,773,782 | 5,946,995 | 6,125,405 | 6,309,167 | 6,498,442 | 6,693,395 | 6,894,197 | 7,101,023 | 7,314,054 |
| Community Services |  | 16,998,933 | 17,515,901 | 18,041,378 | 18,582,619 | 19,140,098 | 19,714,301 | 20,305,730 | 20,914,902 | 21,542,349 | 22,188,619 |
| Fire |  | 9,507,440 | 10,018,833 | 10,835,250 | 11,478,170 | 12,204,922 | 12,762,594 | 13,353,919 | 13,727,041 | 14,088,243 | 14,629,031 |
| Police |  | 14,194,039 | 14,619,860 | 15,058,456 | 15,510,210 | 15,975,516 | 16,454,781 | 16,948,424 | 17,456,877 | 17,980,583 | 18,520,000 |
| Public Works |  | 17,923,917 | 19,174,635 | 19,749,874 | 20,342,370 | 20,952,641 | 21,581,220 | 22,228,657 | 22,895,517 | 23,582,383 | 24,289,854 |
| Total Expenditures |  | 88,711,680 | 92,319,200 | 95,604,628 | 98,790,629 | 102,136,754 | 105,392,381 | 108,762,598 | 111,997,982 | 115,307,311 | 118,884,671 |
| Transfers to other funds |  | 25,405,607 | 31,006,699 | 33,318,550 | 23,756,167 | 20,894,350 | 21,091,163 | 21,292,506 | 21,500,381 | 21,713,888 | 21,933,928 |
| Ending fund balance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonspendable |  | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 |
| Restricted for Cash Requirements |  | 7,392,640 | 7,693,267 | 7,967,052 | 8,232,552 | 8,511,396 | 8,782,698 | 9,063,550 | 9,333,165 | 9,608,943 | 9,907,056 |
| Other Restricted/Assigned |  | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 |
| Unassigned |  | 45,263,443 | 37,549,656 | 27,535,100 | 15,642,061 | $(134,906)$ | $(18,772,455)$ | $(38,154,469)$ | $(58,054,770)$ | $(78,479,463)$ | $(99,633,606)$ |
| Ending fund balance |  | 62,535,582 | 55,122,422 | 45,381,651 | 33,754,112 | 18,255,989 | $(110,258)$ | (19,211,420) | (38,842,106) | (58,991,021) | $(79,847,051)$ |
| Total uses of funds | \$ | 176,652,869 | 178,448,321 | 174,304,829 | 156,300,908 | 141,287,093 | 126,373,286 | 110,843,684 | 94,656,257 | 78,030,178 | 60,971,548 |
| Operating Surplus / (Shortfall) | \$ | 5,571,221 | $(7,413,160)$ | (9,740,771) | $(11,627,539)$ | $(15,498,123)$ | $(18,366,247)$ | (19,101,162) | $(19,630,686)$ | $(20,148,915)$ | $(20,856,030)$ |
| Unassigned as a \% of Revenue |  | 39.41\% | 33.38\% | 23.81\% | 14.52\% | -0.13\% | -17.86\% | -35.38\% | -52.46\% | -69.10\% | -85.47\% |
| Unassigned Target ( $20 \%$ of Rev) |  | 22,968,315 | 22,497,348 | 23,130,681 | 21,548,651 | 20,903,396 | 21,020,259 | 21,569,388 | 22,133,535 | 22,715,257 | 23,313,514 |
| \$ over (under) target |  | 22,295,128 | 15,052,308 | 4,404,419 | $(5,906,590)$ | $(21,038,302)$ | $(39,792,714)$ | $(59,723,857)$ | $(80,188,305)$ | $(101,194,720)$ | $(122,947,120)$ |


|  |  | FY2024 Adopted | FY2025 Projected | FY2026 Projected | FY2027 Projected | FY2028 Projected | FY2029 Projected | FY2030 Projected | FY2031 Projected | FY2032 Projected | FY2033 Projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning Fund Balance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonspendable | \$ | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 |
| Restricted for Cash Requirements |  | 6,501,358 | 7,392,640 | 7,693,267 | 7,967,052 | 8,232,552 | 8,511,396 | 8,782,698 | 9,063,550 | 9,333,165 | 9,608,943 |
| Other Restricted/Assigned |  | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 |
| Unassigned |  | 40,583,504 | 45,263,443 | 37,549,656 | 27,535,100 | 24,204,061 | 21,023,094 | 15,233,545 | 9,329,531 | 3,556,230 | $(2,068,463)$ |
| Total beginning fund balance |  | 56,964,361 | 62,535,582 | 55,122,422 | 45,381,651 | 42,316,112 | 39,413,989 | 33,895,742 | 28,272,580 | 22,768,894 | 17,419,979 |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Receipts Taxes |  | 85,601,000 | 83,025,000 | 85,518,000 | 85,518,000 | 85,518,000 | 85,518,000 | 88,084,000 | 90,721,000 | 93,440,000 | 96,242,000 |
| Property Taxes |  | 8,865,961 | 9,131,940 | 9,405,898 | 9,688,075 | 9,978,717 | 10,278,079 | 10,586,421 | 10,904,014 | 11,231,134 | 11,568,068 |
| Interdepartmental Charges |  | 13,600,525 | 14,008,541 | 14,428,797 | 14,861,661 | 15,307,511 | 15,766,736 | 16,239,738 | 16,726,930 | 17,228,738 | 17,745,600 |
| Investment Income |  | 1,870,000 | 1,291,000 | 1,142,000 | 948,000 | 886,000 | 828,000 | 718,000 | 605,000 | 495,000 | 388,000 |
| Grants |  | 1,346,422 | 1,386,815 | 1,428,419 | 1,471,272 | 1,515,410 | 1,560,872 | 1,607,698 | 1,655,929 | 1,705,607 | 1,756,775 |
| User Charges |  | 2,365,105 | 2,415,105 | 2,465,105 | 2,515,105 | 2,565,105 | 2,615,105 | 2,665,105 | 2,715,105 | 2,765,105 | 2,815,105 |
| Other |  | 1,192,561 | 1,228,338 | 1,265,188 | 1,303,144 | 1,342,238 | 1,382,505 | 1,423,980 | 1,466,699 | 1,510,700 | 1,556,021 |
| Total Revenues |  | 114,841,574 | 112,486,739 | 115,653,407 | 116,305,257 | 117,112,981 | 117,949,297 | 121,324,942 | 124,794,677 | 128,376,284 | 132,071,569 |
| Transfers from other funds |  | 4,846,934 <br> - | 3,426,000 | 3,529,000 | 3,176,000 | 3,016,000 | 3,016,000 | 3,107,000 | 3,200,000 | 3,296,000 | 3,395,000 |
| Total sources of funds | \$ | 176,652,869 | 178,448,321 | 174,304,829 | 164,862,908 | 162,445,093 | 160,379,286 | 158,327,684 | 156,267,257 | 154,441,178 | 152,886,548 |
| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County Council | \$ | 421,189 | 433,825 | 446,840 | 460,245 | 474,052 | 488,274 | 502,922 | 518,010 | 533,550 | 549,557 |
| County Assessor |  | 677,507 | 697,832 | 718,767 | 740,330 | 762,540 | 785,416 | 808,978 | 833,247 | 858,244 | 883,991 |
| County Clerk |  | 1,099,135 | 1,132,109 | 1,166,072 | 1,201,054 | 1,237,086 | 1,274,199 | 1,312,425 | 1,351,798 | 1,392,352 | 1,434,123 |
| County Sheriff |  | 17,242 | 17,759 | 18,292 | 18,841 | 19,406 | 19,988 | 20,588 | 21,206 | 21,842 | 22,497 |
| Municipal Court |  | 736,164 | 758,249 | 780,996 | 804,426 | 828,559 | 853,416 | 879,018 | 905,389 | 932,551 | 960,528 |
| Probate Court |  | 6,436 | 6,629 | 6,828 | 7,033 | 7,244 | 7,461 | 7,685 | 7,916 | 8,153 | 8,398 |
| County Manager |  | 6,922,321 | 7,129,991 | 7,343,891 | 7,564,208 | 7,791,134 | 8,024,868 | 8,265,614 | 8,513,582 | 8,768,989 | 9,032,059 |
| County Attorney |  | 1,458,677 | 1,502,437 | 1,547,510 | 1,593,935 | 1,641,753 | 1,691,006 | 1,741,736 | 1,793,988 | 1,847,808 | 1,903,242 |
| Administrative Services |  | 13,143,066 | 13,537,358 | 13,943,479 | 14,361,783 | 14,792,636 | 15,236,415 | 15,693,507 | 16,164,312 | 16,649,241 | 17,148,718 |
| Community Development |  | 5,605,614 | 5,773,782 | 5,946,995 | 6,125,405 | 6,309,167 | 6,498,442 | 6,693,395 | 6,894,197 | 7,101,023 | 7,314,054 |
| Community Services |  | 16,998,933 | 17,515,901 | 18,041,378 | 18,582,619 | 19,140,098 | 19,714,301 | 20,305,730 | 20,914,902 | 21,542,349 | 22,188,619 |
| Fire |  | 9,507,440 | 10,018,833 | 10,835,250 | 11,478,170 | 12,204,922 | 12,762,594 | 13,353,919 | 13,727,041 | 14,088,243 | 14,629,031 |
| Police |  | 14,194,039 | 14,619,860 | 15,058,456 | 15,510,210 | 15,975,516 | 16,454,781 | 16,948,424 | 17,456,877 | 17,980,583 | 18,520,000 |
| Public Works |  | 17,923,917 | 19,174,635 | 19,749,874 | 20,342,370 | 20,952,641 | 21,581,220 | 22,228,657 | 22,895,517 | 23,582,383 | 24,289,854 |
| Total Expenditures |  | 88,711,680 | 92,319,200 | 95,604,628 | 98,790,629 | 102,136,754 | 105,392,381 | 108,762,598 | 111,997,982 | 115,307,311 | 118,884,671 |
| Transfers to other funds |  | 25,405,607 | 31,006,699 | 33,318,550 | 23,756,167 | 20,894,350 | 21,091,163 | 21,292,506 | 21,500,381 | 21,713,888 | 21,933,928 |
| Ending fund balance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonspendable |  | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 |
| Restricted for Cash Requirements |  | 7,392,640 | 7,693,267 | 7,967,052 | 8,232,552 | 8,511,396 | 8,782,698 | 9,063,550 | 9,333,165 | 9,608,943 | 9,907,056 |
| Other Restricted/Assigned |  | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 |
| Unassigned |  | 45,263,443 | 37,549,656 | 27,535,100 | 24,204,061 | 21,023,094 | 15,233,545 | 9,329,531 | 3,556,230 | $(2,068,463)$ | $(7,718,606)$ |
| Ending fund balance |  | 62,535,582 | 55,122,422 | 45,381,651 | 42,316,112 | 39,413,989 | 33,895,742 | 28,272,580 | 22,768,894 | 17,419,979 | 12,067,949 |
| Total uses of funds | \$ | 176,652,869 | 178,448,321 | 174,304,829 | 164,862,908 | 162,445,093 | 160,379,286 | 158,327,684 | 156,267,257 | 154,441,178 | 152,886,548 |
| Operating Surplus / (Shortfall) | \$ | 5,571,221 | $(7,413,160)$ | (9,740,771) | $(3,065,539)$ | $(2,902,123)$ | $(5,518,247)$ | $(5,623,162)$ | (5,503,686) | $(5,348,915)$ | $(5,352,030)$ |
| Unassigned as a \% of Revenue |  | 39.41\% | 33.38\% | 23.81\% | 20.81\% | 17.95\% | 12.92\% | 7.69\% | 2.85\% | -1.61\% | -5.84\% |
| Unassigned Target ( $20 \%$ of Rev) |  | 22,968,315 | 22,497,348 | 23,130,681 | 23,261,051 | 23,422,596 | 23,589,859 | 24,264,988 | 24,958,935 | 25,675,257 | 26,414,314 |
| \$ over (under) target |  | 22,295,128 | 15,052,308 | 4,404,419 | 943,010 | $(2,399,502)$ | $(8,356,314)$ | $(14,935,457)$ | (21,402,705) | (27,743,720) | $(34,132,920)$ |



F - (DELAYED GRT INCREASE - 7/1/2025 and . 25 (1/4)\% GRT INCREMENT INCREASE)

|  |  | FY2024 Adopted | FY2025 Projected | FY2026 Projected | FY2027 Projected | FY2028 Projected | FY2029 Projected | FY2030 Projected | FY2031 Projected | FY2032 Projected | FY2033 Projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning Fund Balance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonspendable | \$ | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 |
| Restricted for Cash Requirements |  | 6,501,358 | 7,392,640 | 7,693,267 | 7,967,052 | 8,232,552 | 8,511,396 | 8,782,698 | 9,063,550 | 9,333,165 | 9,608,943 |
| Other Restricted/Assigned |  | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 |
| Unassigned |  | 40,583,504 | 45,263,443 | 37,549,656 | 34,593,100 | 29,193,061 | 19,719,094 | 7,510,545 | $(5,132,469)$ | $(17,972,770)$ | $(31,003,463)$ |
| Total beginning fund balance |  | 56,964,361 | 62,535,582 | 55,122,422 | 52,439,651 | 47,305,112 | 38,109,989 | 26,172,742 | 13,810,580 | 1,239,894 | (11,515,021) |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Receipts Taxes |  | 85,601,000 | 83,025,000 | 92,576,000 | 83,308,000 | 79,125,000 | 79,125,000 | 81,500,000 | 83,943,000 | 86,464,000 | 89,056,000 |
| Property Taxes |  | 8,865,961 | 9,131,940 | 9,405,898 | 9,688,075 | 9,978,717 | 10,278,079 | 10,586,421 | 10,904,014 | 11,231,134 | 11,568,068 |
| Interdepartmental Charges |  | 13,600,525 | 14,008,541 | 14,428,797 | 14,861,661 | 15,307,511 | 15,766,736 | 16,239,738 | 16,726,930 | 17,228,738 | 17,745,600 |
| Investment Income |  | 1,870,000 | 1,291,000 | 1,142,000 | 1,089,000 | 986,000 | 802,000 | 563,000 | 316,000 | 65,000 | $(190,000)$ |
| Grants |  | 1,346,422 | 1,386,815 | 1,428,419 | 1,471,272 | 1,515,410 | 1,560,872 | 1,607,698 | 1,655,929 | 1,705,607 | 1,756,775 |
| User Charges |  | 2,365,105 | 2,415,105 | 2,465,105 | 2,515,105 | 2,565,105 | 2,615,105 | 2,665,105 | 2,715,105 | 2,765,105 | 2,815,105 |
| Other |  | 1,192,561 | 1,228,338 | 1,265,188 | 1,303,144 | 1,342,238 | 1,382,505 | 1,423,980 | 1,466,699 | 1,510,700 | 1,556,021 |
| Total Revenues |  | 114,841,574 | 112,486,739 | 122,711,407 | 114,236,257 | 110,819,981 | 111,530,297 | 114,585,942 | 117,727,677 | 120,970,284 | 124,307,569 |
|  |  | - ${ }^{-}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers from other funds |  | 4,846,934 | 3,426,000 | 3,529,000 | 3,176,000 | 3,016,000 | 3,016,000 | 3,107,000 | 3,200,000 | 3,296,000 | 3,395,000 |
| Total sources of funds | \$ | 176,652,869 | 178,448,321 | 181,362,829 | 169,851,908 | 161,141,093 | 152,656,286 | 143,865,684 | 134,738,257 | 125,506,178 | 116,187,548 |
| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County Council | \$ | 421,189 | 433,825 | 446,840 | 460,245 | 474,052 | 488,274 | 502,922 | 518,010 | 533,550 | 549,557 |
| County Assessor |  | 677,507 | 697,832 | 718,767 | 740,330 | 762,540 | 785,416 | 808,978 | 833,247 | 858,244 | 883,991 |
| County Clerk |  | 1,099,135 | 1,132,109 | 1,166,072 | 1,201,054 | 1,237,086 | 1,274,199 | 1,312,425 | 1,351,798 | 1,392,352 | 1,434,123 |
| County Sheriff |  | 17,242 | 17,759 | 18,292 | 18,841 | 19,406 | 19,988 | 20,588 | 21,206 | 21,842 | 22,497 |
| Municipal Court |  | 736,164 | 758,249 | 780,996 | 804,426 | 828,559 | 853,416 | 879,018 | 905,389 | 932,551 | 960,528 |
| Probate Court |  | 6,436 | 6,629 | 6,828 | 7,033 | 7,244 | 7,461 | 7,685 | 7,916 | 8,153 | 8,398 |
| County Manager |  | 6,922,321 | 7,129,991 | 7,343,891 | 7,564,208 | 7,791,134 | 8,024,868 | 8,265,614 | 8,513,582 | 8,768,989 | 9,032,059 |
| County Attorney |  | 1,458,677 | 1,502,437 | 1,547,510 | 1,593,935 | 1,641,753 | 1,691,006 | 1,741,736 | 1,793,988 | 1,847,808 | 1,903,242 |
| Administrative Services |  | 13,143,066 | 13,537,358 | 13,943,479 | 14,361,783 | 14,792,636 | 15,236,415 | 15,693,507 | 16,164,312 | 16,649,241 | 17,148,718 |
| Community Development |  | 5,605,614 | 5,773,782 | 5,946,995 | 6,125,405 | 6,309,167 | 6,498,442 | 6,693,395 | 6,894,197 | 7,101,023 | 7,314,054 |
| Community Services |  | 16,998,933 | 17,515,901 | 18,041,378 | 18,582,619 | 19,140,098 | 19,714,301 | 20,305,730 | 20,914,902 | 21,542,349 | 22,188,619 |
| Fire |  | 9,507,440 | 10,018,833 | 10,835,250 | 11,478,170 | 12,204,922 | 12,762,594 | 13,353,919 | 13,727,041 | 14,088,243 | 14,629,031 |
| Police |  | 14,194,039 | 14,619,860 | 15,058,456 | 15,510,210 | 15,975,516 | 16,454,781 | 16,948,424 | 17,456,877 | 17,980,583 | 18,520,000 |
| Public Works |  | 17,923,917 | 19,174,635 | 19,749,874 | 20,342,370 | 20,952,641 | 21,581,220 | 22,228,657 | 22,895,517 | 23,582,383 | 24,289,854 |
| Total Expenditures |  | 88,711,680 | 92,319,200 | 95,604,628 | 98,790,629 | 102,136,754 | 105,392,381 | 108,762,598 | 111,997,982 | 115,307,311 | 118,884,671 |
|  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers to other funds |  | 25,405,607 | 31,006,699 | 33,318,550 | 23,756,167 | 20,894,350 | 21,091,163 | 21,292,506 | 21,500,381 | 21,713,888 | 21,933,928 |
|  |  | Ending fund balance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Restricted for Cash Requirements |  | 7,392,640 | 7,693,267 | 7,967,052 | 8,232,552 | 8,511,396 | 8,782,698 | 9,063,550 | 9,333,165 | 9,608,943 | 9,907,056 |
| Other Restricted/Assigned |  | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 |
| Unassigned |  | 45,263,443 | 37,549,656 | 34,593,100 | 29,193,061 | 19,719,094 | 7,510,545 | $(5,132,469)$ | $(17,972,770)$ | $(31,003,463)$ | $(44,417,606)$ |
| Ending fund balance |  | 62,535,582 | 55,122,422 | 52,439,651 | 47,305,112 | 38,109,989 | 26,172,742 | 13,810,580 | 1,239,894 | $(11,515,021)$ | $(24,631,051)$ |
| Total uses of funds | \$ | 176,652,869 | 178,448,321 | 181,362,829 | 169,851,908 | 161,141,093 | 152,656,286 | 143,865,684 | 134,738,257 | 125,506,178 | 116,187,548 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Surplus / (Shortfall) | \$ | 5,571,221 | (7,413,160) | (2,682,771) | (5,134,539) | (9,195,123) | (11,937,247) | (12,362,162) | (12,570,686) | (12,754,915) | (13,116,030) |
| Unassigned as a \% of Revenue Unassigned Target ( $20 \%$ of Rev) \$ over (under) target |  | 39.41\% | 33.38\% | 28.19\% | 25.55\% | 17.79\% | 6.73\% | -4.48\% | -15.27\% | -25.63\% | -35.73\% |
|  |  | 22,968,315 | 22,497,348 | 24,542,281 | 22,847,251 | 22,163,996 | 22,306,059 | 22,917,188 | 23,545,535 | 24,194,057 | 24,861,514 |
|  |  | 22,295,128 | 15,052,308 | 10,050,819 | 6,345,810 | $(2,444,902)$ | $(14,795,514)$ | $(28,049,657)$ | $(41,518,305)$ | $(55,197,520)$ | $(69,279,120)$ |


|  |  | FY2024 Adopted | FY2025 Projected | FY2026 Projected | FY2027 Projected | FY2028 Projected | FY2029 Projected | FY2030 Projected | FY2031 Projected | FY2032 Projected | FY2033 Projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning Fund Balance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonspendable | \$ | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 |
| Restricted for Cash Requirements |  | 6,501,358 | 7,392,640 | 7,435,256 | 7,503,291 | 7,556,868 | 7,815,441 | 8,065,864 | 8,325,211 | 8,572,676 | 8,825,639 |
| Other Restricted/Assigned |  | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 |
| Unassigned |  | 40,583,504 | 45,263,443 | 40,903,794 | 36,722,126 | 33,323,229 | 26,257,998 | 16,757,337 | 6,952,895 | $(2,912,384)$ | $(12,826,615)$ |
| Total beginning fund balance |  | 56,964,361 | 62,535,582 | 58,218,549 | 54,104,916 | 50,759,596 | 43,952,938 | 34,702,700 | 25,157,605 | 15,539,791 | 5,878,523 |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Receipts Taxes |  | 85,601,000 | 83,025,000 | 85,518,000 | 76,956,000 | 73,093,000 | 73,093,000 | 75,286,000 | 77,543,000 | 79,872,000 | 82,266,000 |
| Property Taxes |  | 8,865,961 | 9,131,940 | 9,405,898 | 9,688,075 | 9,978,717 | 10,278,079 | 10,586,421 | 10,904,014 | 11,231,134 | 11,568,068 |
| Interdepartmental Charges |  | 13,600,525 | 14,008,541 | 14,428,797 | 14,861,661 | 15,307,511 | 15,766,736 | 16,239,738 | 16,726,930 | 17,228,738 | 17,745,600 |
| Investment Income |  | 1,870,000 | 1,291,000 | 1,204,000 | 1,122,000 | 1,055,000 | 919,000 | 734,000 | 543,000 | 351,000 | 158,000 |
| Grants |  | 1,346,422 | 1,386,815 | 1,428,419 | 1,471,272 | 1,515,410 | 1,560,872 | 1,607,698 | 1,655,929 | 1,705,607 | 1,756,775 |
| User Charges |  | 2,365,105 | 2,415,105 | 2,465,105 | 2,515,105 | 2,565,105 | 2,615,105 | 2,665,105 | 2,715,105 | 2,765,105 | 2,815,105 |
| Other |  | 1,192,561 | 1,228,338 | 1,265,188 | 1,303,144 | 1,342,238 | 1,382,505 | 1,423,980 | 1,466,699 | 1,510,700 | 1,556,021 |
| Total Revenues |  | 114,841,574 | 112,486,739 | 115,715,407 | 107,917,257 | 104,856,981 | 105,615,297 | 108,542,942 | 111,554,677 | 114,664,284 | 117,865,569 |
| Transfers from other funds |  | $4,846,934$ | 3,426,000 | 3,529,000 | 3,176,000 | 3,016,000 | 3,016,000 | 3,107,000 | 3,200,000 | 3,296,000 | 3,395,000 |
| Total sources of funds | \$ | 176,652,869 | 178,448,321 | 177,462,956 | 165,198,173 | 158,632,577 | 152,584,235 | 146,352,642 | 139,912,282 | 133,500,075 | 127,139,092 |
| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County Council | \$ | 421,189 | 421,189 | 421,189 | 421,189 | 433,825 | 446,840 | 460,245 | 474,052 | 488,274 | 502,922 |
| County Assessor |  | 677,507 | 677,507 | 677,507 | 677,507 | 697,832 | 718,767 | 740,330 | 762,540 | 785,416 | 808,978 |
| County Clerk |  | 1,099,135 | 1,099,135 | 1,099,135 | 1,099,135 | 1,132,109 | 1,166,072 | 1,201,054 | 1,237,086 | 1,274,199 | 1,312,425 |
| County Sheriff |  | 17,242 | 17,242 | 17,242 | 17,242 | 17,759 | 18,292 | 18,841 | 19,406 | 19,988 | 20,588 |
| Municipal Court |  | 736,164 | 736,164 | 736,164 | 736,164 | 758,249 | 780,996 | 804,426 | 828,559 | 853,416 | 879,018 |
| Probate Court |  | 6,436 | 6,436 | 6,436 | 6,436 | 6,629 | 6,828 | 7,033 | 7,244 | 7,461 | 7,685 |
| County Manager |  | 6,922,321 | 6,922,321 | 6,922,321 | 6,922,321 | 7,129,991 | 7,343,891 | 7,564,208 | 7,791,134 | 8,024,868 | 8,265,614 |
| County Attorney |  | 1,458,677 | 1,458,677 | 1,458,677 | 1,458,677 | 1,502,437 | 1,547,510 | 1,593,935 | 1,641,753 | 1,691,006 | 1,741,736 |
| Administrative Services |  | 13,143,066 | 13,143,066 | 13,143,066 | 13,143,066 | 13,537,358 | 13,943,479 | 14,361,783 | 14,792,636 | 15,236,415 | 15,693,507 |
| Community Development |  | 5,605,614 | 5,605,614 | 5,605,614 | 5,605,614 | 5,773,782 | 5,946,995 | 6,125,405 | 6,309,167 | 6,498,442 | 6,693,395 |
| Community Services |  | 16,998,933 | 16,998,933 | 16,998,933 | 16,998,933 | 17,508,901 | 18,034,168 | 18,575,193 | 19,132,449 | 19,706,422 | 20,297,615 |
| Fire |  | 9,507,440 | 10,018,833 | 10,835,250 | 11,478,170 | 12,204,922 | 12,762,594 | 13,353,919 | 13,727,041 | 14,088,243 | 14,629,031 |
| Police |  | 14,194,039 | 14,194,039 | 14,194,039 | 14,194,039 | 14,619,860 | 15,058,456 | 15,510,210 | 15,975,516 | 16,454,781 | 16,948,424 |
| Public Works |  | 17,923,917 | 17,923,917 | 17,923,917 | 17,923,917 | 18,461,635 | 19,015,484 | 19,585,949 | 20,173,527 | 20,778,733 | 21,402,095 |
| Total Expenditures |  | 88,711,680 | 89,223,073 | 90,039,490 | 90,682,410 | 93,785,289 | 96,790,372 | 99,902,531 | 102,872,110 | 105,907,664 | 109,203,033 |
| Transfers to other funds |  | 25,405,607 | 31,006,699 | 33,318,550 | 23,756,167 | 20,894,350 | 21,091,163 | 21,292,506 | 21,500,381 | 21,713,888 | 21,933,928 |
| Ending fund balance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonspendable |  | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 | 1,739,299 |
| Restricted for Cash Requirements |  | 7,392,640 | 7,435,256 | 7,503,291 | 7,556,868 | 7,815,441 | 8,065,864 | 8,325,211 | 8,572,676 | 8,825,639 | 9,100,253 |
| Other Restricted/Assigned |  | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 | 8,140,200 |
| Unassigned |  | 45,263,443 | 40,903,794 | 36,722,126 | 33,323,229 | 26,257,998 | 16,757,337 | 6,952,895 | $(2,912,384)$ | $(12,826,615)$ | $(22,977,621)$ |
| Ending fund balance |  | 62,535,582 | 58,218,549 | 54,104,916 | 50,759,596 | 43,952,938 | 34,702,700 | 25,157,605 | 15,539,791 | 5,878,523 | $(3,997,869)$ |
| Total uses of funds | \$ | 176,652,869 | 178,448,321 | 177,462,956 | 165,198,173 | 158,632,577 | 152,584,235 | 146,352,642 | 139,912,282 | 133,500,075 | 127,139,092 |
| Operating Surplus / (Shortfall) | \$ | 5,571,221 | $(4,317,033)$ | (4,113,633) | $(3,345,320)$ | $(6,806,658)$ | (9,250,238) | $(9,545,095)$ | $(9,617,814)$ | $(9,661,268)$ | (9,876,392) |
| Unassigned as a \% of Revenue |  | 39.41\% | 36.36\% | 31.73\% | 30.88\% | 25.04\% | 15.87\% | 6.41\% | -2.61\% | -11.19\% | -19.49\% |
| Unassigned Target ( $20 \%$ of Rev) |  | 22,968,315 | 22,497,348 | 23,143,081 | 21,583,451 | 20,971,396 | 21,123,059 | 21,708,588 | 22,310,935 | 22,932,857 | 23,573,114 |
| \$ over (under) target |  | 22,295,128 | 18,406,446 | 13,579,045 | 11,739,778 | 5,286,602 | $(4,365,722)$ | $(14,755,693)$ | $(25,223,319)$ | $(35,759,472)$ | $(46,550,735)$ |

