



LOS ALAMOS

County of Los Alamos

1000 Central Avenue
Los Alamos, NM 87544

BCC Agenda - Final

Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

*Stephanie Haaser, Acting-Chair; Anna Buckthorpe; Tim Foley;
Sandy Jones; and Jasmine Stephans, Members*

Thursday, April 25, 2024

5:30 PM

1000 Central Avenue, Suite 110
Los Alamos, NM 87544

Public participation:

In person or via zoom: <https://us06web.zoom.us/j/87212342101>

Please click the link below to join the webinar: <https://us06web.zoom.us/j/87212342101>

Or One tap mobile :

+17193594580,,87212342101# US

+12532050468,,87212342101# US

Or Telephone:

Dial(for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location):

+1 719 359 4580 US

+1 253 205 0468 US

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. PUBLIC COMMENT

3. BUSINESS

A. [18697-24](#) Approval of Minutes from the March 28, 2024 Meeting

Recommendation: I move that the Board approve the Minutes from the March 25, 2024 Meeting.

Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

Attachments: [A - Minutes from March 28, 2024 Meeting](#)

B. [18719-24](#) Welcome and introduction of new APP Board member

Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

C. [18723-24](#) Election of Officers

Recommendation: I move that the Board elect member ____ to serve as Arts in Public Places Board Chair and member ____ to serve as Arts in Public Places Board Vice-chair.

Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

- D. [18718-24](#) Manhattan Project Sign Replacement Presentation
Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board
Attachments: [A - Manhattan Project Sign Presentation](#)
- E. [18724-24](#) Jeff Segler Discussion on "The Boys of Pajarito Plateau" Painting
Recommendation: I move that the APP Board recommend to Council the purchase and location for the Jeff Segler painting.
Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board
Attachments: [A - Boys of Pajarito Painting Print](#)
[B - 2020 Council Minutes Segler Painting](#)
[C - APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey](#)
[D - APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey 2](#)
[E - APP Minutes from September 23, 2021](#)
[F - APP Minutes from November 19, 2020](#)
- F. [18698-24](#) APP Budget
Presenters: Stephanie Haaser
Attachments: [A - APP Budget Report](#)
- G. [18725-24](#) Call for Art Update and Discussion
Recommendation: I move to approve _____ for the special meeting date to review art submission and select finalist(s).
Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board
Attachments: [A - Call for Art Timeline for Golf Course Wall](#)
- H. [18720-24](#) Pending Items List
Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

4. BOARD/STAFF COMMUNICATIONS

- A. [18721-24](#) Chairman's Report
Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board
- B. [18722-24](#) Staff Liaison Report
Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

5. COUNCIL COMMUNICATIONS

6. PREVIEW OF NEXT MEETING - May 23, 2024

7. ADJOURNMENT

If you are an individual with a disability who is in need of a reader, amplifier, qualified sign language interpreter, or any other form of auxiliary aid or service to attend or participate in the hearing or meeting, please contact the County Human Resources Division at 662-8040 at least one week prior to the meeting or as soon as possible. Public documents, including the agenda and minutes can be provided in various accessible formats. Please contact the personnel in the Community Services Administration Office at 662-8241 if a summary or other type of accessible format is needed.



County of Los Alamos

Staff Report

April 25, 2024

Los Alamos, NM 87544
www.losalamosnm.us

Agenda No.: A.

Index (Council Goals):

Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

Legislative File: 18697-24

Title

Approval of Minutes from the March 28, 2024 Meeting

Recommended Action

I move that the Board approve the Minutes from the March 25, 2024 Meeting.

Attachments

A - Minutes from March 28, 2024 Meeting



County of Los Alamos

BCC Meeting Minutes

Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

1000 Central Avenue
Los Alamos, NM 87544

Stephanie Haaser, Acting-Chair; Anna Buckthorpe; Tim Foley; Sandy Jones; and Jasmine Stephens, Members

Thursday, March 28, 2024

5:30 PM

1000 Central Avenue, Suite 110
Los Alamos, NM 87544

Public Participation: In person or Zoom: <https://us06web.zoom.us/j/87212342101>

Please click the link below to join the webinar: <https://us06web.zoom.us/j/87212342101>

Or One tap mobile :

+1 719 359 4580,,87212342101# US

+1 253 205 0468,,87212342101# US

Or Telephone:

Dial(for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location):

+1 719 359 4580 US

+1 253 205 0468 US

+1 253 215 8782 US (Tacoma)

+1 346 248 7799 US (Houston)

+1 669 444 9171 US

1. CALL TO ORDER

Present 3 - Board Member Buckthorpe, Board Member Haaser and Board Member Foley

Absent 1 - Board Member Stephens

Remote 1 - Board Member Jones

2. PUBLIC COMMENT

Public comment was made by Irene Powell regarding Jeff Segler's painting.

3. BUSINESS

A. [18551-24](#) Approval of Minutes from the February 22, 2024 Meeting

Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

Attachments: [A - Minutes from February 22, 2024 Meeting](#)

Member Buckthorpe motioned to approve the minutes. Member Foley seconded. Minutes approved 4-0.

B. [18552-24](#) Update on New APP Board Member and Council Liaison

Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

Councilor Havemann and Member Foley were welcomed to the Board. Vice Chair Haaser was congratulated on her 2nd term. Outgoing Chair Abby Moss was recognized with a Certificate of Appreciation for her dedication to the Board for serving four years.

C. [18553-24](#) Art in Public Places Work Plan

Presenters: Abigayle Cutting-Smith

Attachments: [A - 2024 Draft Work Plan](#)

Member Buckthorpe made a motion to approve the 2024 draft work plan with updates to the member roster. Vice Chair Haaser seconded. Motion approved 4-0.

D. [18554-24](#) Pending Items List

Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

- Presentation from County concerning damage to Opie and Groves plaque.
- Will provide report on recommended Pacific Coast repairs.
- Review Call for Art next steps on Golf Course project.
- Update on the Board budget at the May 23, 2024 meeting (will add to pending items list)
- Rose garden fence in White Rock (will add to pending items list)

4. **BOARD/STAFF COMMUNICATION**

A. [18555-24](#) Chairman's Report

Presenters: Abigayle Cutting-Smith

Outgoing Chair Abigayle Moss was unable to attend the Chair Luncheon. Future dates are May 9th, September 12th, and November 14th.

B. [18556-24](#) Staff Liaison Report

Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

Items discussed were updated as pending items discussion.

5. **COUNCIL COMMUNICATIONS**

Councilor Havemann gave a brief summary of the last two Council meetings. Discussion was had regarding potential for art on DP Road and at the White Rock Firehouse.

6. PREVIEW OF NEXT MEETING - April 25, 2024

7. ADJOURNMENT

If you are an individual with a disability who is in need of a reader, amplifier, qualified sign language interpreter, or any other form of auxiliary aid or service to attend or participate in the hearing or meeting, please contact the County Human Resources Division at 662-8040 at least one week prior to the meeting or as soon as possible. Public documents, including the agenda and minutes can be provided in various accessible formats. Please contact the personnel in the Community Services Administration Office at 662-8241 if a summary or other type of accessible format is needed.



County of Los Alamos

Staff Report

April 25, 2024

Los Alamos, NM 87544
www.losalamosnm.us

Agenda No.: B.

Index (Council Goals):

Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

Legislative File: 18719-24

Title

Welcome and introduction of new APP Board member

Body

The Board will welcome and introduce Jasmine Bounds (Stephens), new Board member in attendance.



County of Los Alamos

Staff Report

April 25, 2024

Los Alamos, NM 87544
www.losalamosnm.us

Agenda No.: C.

Index (Council Goals):

Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

Legislative File: 18723-24

Title

Election of Officers

Recommended Action

I move that the Board elect member ____ to serve as Arts in Public Places Board Chair and member ____ to serve as Arts in Public Places Board Vice-chair.

Body

Arts in Public Places Board will discuss and vote on vacant Board positions. In the event of a tie, a motion can only be passed upon the affirmative vote of the majority of the total number of appointed voting members of a board or commission. Therefore, for a board of 5 members, 3 members must vote in the affirmative for it to pass.



County of Los Alamos

Staff Report

April 25, 2024

Los Alamos, NM 87544
www.losalamosnm.us

Agenda No.: D.

Index (Council Goals):

Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

Legislative File: 18718-24

Title

Manhattan Project Sign Replacement Presentation

Body

Wendy Parker, Parks Superintendent, will present a request to APP for replacement of a damaged Oppenheimer/Groves plaque.

Attachments

A - Manhattan Project Sign Presentation

Manhattan Project Sign



April 25, 2024

Wendy Parker, Parks Superintendent

Manhattan Project Sign

- Sign was struck by a vehicle or equipment in early December 2023. Staff is not sure how the damage occurred.
- LAPD removed the sign and stored it until the Parks Division retrieved the sign.
- Parks attempted to repair the sign and due to the bend, is unable to make the repair.
- The sign is bent which will require a sign company to repair, if possible. It may be too damaged for repairs.

Photos of Sign Damage





Sign Placement Option #1

Placement marker is indicated in blue.

This is our first choice for placement. Visitors can view the plaque while viewing Fuller Lodge and the statues. This location is suitable for wheelchair accessibility and may allow for the sign to be placed at the correct height (40-60" high – 40" off the ground).

Sign Placement Option #2

Placement marker is indicated in blue.

This is our second choice for
placement.
Still a nice viewing area of the lodge
and statues.



Sign Placement Option #3

Placement marker is indicated in blue.

The placement would be between the two statues, which would take away from the ambiance.

Next Step

- Guidance from APP - Repair or replace the sign
 - If repaired, who takes ownership of the process
 - If replaced, will this be an APP initiative
- Select location to install the Manhattan sign at one of the suggested locations.
 - Three options provided with focus on ADA compliance
- The Parks Division will install the sign

Questions?

Thank you!



County of Los Alamos

Staff Report

April 25, 2024

Los Alamos, NM 87544
www.losalamosnm.us

Agenda No.: E.

Index (Council Goals):

Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

Legislative File: 18724-24

Title

Jeff Segler Discussion on "The Boys of Pajarito Plateau" Painting

Recommended Action

I move that the APP Board recommend to Council the purchase and location for the Jeff Segler painting.

Body

The Board will discuss "The Boys of Pajarito Plateau" painting and whether to pursue purchasing and future placement of the painting by Jeff Segler. "The Boys of Pajarito Plateau" painting by Jeff Segler was returned to the APP Board by Council on Sept. 29, 2020 and was not approved for purchase. County Council asked the APP Board to seek an alternate location for the painting and to seek public comment on the purchase of the painting.

Attachments

- A - Boys of Pajarito Painting Print
- B - 2020 Council Minutes Segler Painting
- C - APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey 1
- D - APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey 2
- E - APP Minutes from September 23, 2021
- F - APP Minutes from November 19, 2020



THE BOYS OF BALABITO PLATEAU



County of Los Alamos

Minutes

County Council - Regular Session

Los Alamos, NM 87544
www.losalamosnm.us

Tuesday, September 29, 2020

6:00 PM

Due to COVID-19 concerns, meeting will
be conducted remotely.
Public can view proceedings at
[http://losalamos.legistar.com/Calendar.a
spx](http://losalamos.legistar.com/Calendar.aspx),
<https://zoom.us/j/97444186876>
or locally on cable channel 8

1. OPENING/ROLL CALL

The following Councilors were in attendance:

Present 6 - Councilor Maggiore, Councilor Izraelevitz, Councilor Sheehey,
Councilor Robinson, Councilor Rytty and Councilor Scott

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Led by: All.

Councilor Scott made opening remarks regarding the procedure of the meeting
due to COVID-19.

Mr. Harry Burgess, County Manager, spoke.

3. PUBLIC COMMENT

None.

4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Councilor Scott stated there are two changes in the agenda. Consent Item B
has been removed and Item 9 has been postponed.

A motion was made by Councilor Izraelevitz, seconded by Councilor Robinson,
that the agenda be approved, as amended.

The motion passed with the following vote:

Yes: 7 - Councilor Maggiore, Councilor Izraelevitz, Councilor Sheehey,
Councilor Robinson, Councilor Rytty, Councilor Scott and Councilor
Martin

5. PRESENTATIONS, PROCLAMATIONS AND RECOGNITIONS

- A. [13133-20](#) Art in Public Places Board Recommendation for Public Artwork in Fuller Lodge, "The Boys of Pajarito Plateau", by Jeff Segler.

Ms. Jennifer Baker, Arts in Public Places Advisory Board Member, presented on behalf of Ms. Ozment.

Ms. Katherine Hudspeth, Senior Management Analyst & Staff Liaison, spoke.

Mr. Alvin Leaphart, County Attorney, spoke.

Public Comment:

None.

A motion was made by Councilor Sheehey, seconded by Councilor Ryti, that Council approve the use of Art in Public Places funds and the APPB's recommendation for public art in Fuller Lodge, including the purchase, delivery and installation over the secondary fireplace of Fuller Lodge of the painting entitled, "The Boys of Pajarito Plateau" by artist Jeff Siegler, to include contingencies, such as lighting and plaques with a total proposed budget not to exceed \$70,000.

After further discussion Councilor Sheehey withdrew his motion.

A motion was made by Councilor Sheehey, that Council return this consideration of purchase to the Art in Public Places Board with a request that they consider a better location, if indeed they can find a location that seems appropriate then re-approach this about purchasing.

Councilor Maggiore offered to second the motion, with the following amendment, that the public feedback received on the location of the painting must be 80/ 20% in favor. Councilor Sheehey accepted the amendment.

After further discussion Councilor Maggiore withdrew his amendment.

After further discussion Councilor Sheehey withdrew his motion.

A motion was made by Councilor Sheehey, seconded by Councilor Martin, that Council return this issue of consideration of purchase to the Arts in Public Places Board with a request to consider a better location not in Fuller Lodge.


After further discussion the motion was amended as follows:

A motion was made by Councilor Sheehey, seconded by Councilor Maggiore, that Council return this issue of consideration of purchase to the Arts in Public Places Board with a request to consider a better location not in Fuller Lodge and for further outreach regarding this purchase.

The motion passed with the following vote:

Q1 The painting is a watercolor painting, 20.5" x 28.5" tall, do you feel like this art work would be a good fit at the Los Alamos County Golf Course?

Answered: 278 Skipped: 14



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	80.58%	224
No	19.42%	54
TOTAL		278

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	I think it looks generic and won't be noticed.	8/16/2021 2:50 PM
2	I don't go to the golf course	8/4/2021 2:58 PM
3	Not a golfer, never use the course, wouldn't appreciate it. Rather not spend the money there.	8/3/2021 5:41 AM
4	It's ugly	8/2/2021 6:05 AM
5	Sandoval seems to be very, very popular here. I think it would depend on whether the county has other Sandoval paintings. They should have.	8/1/2021 8:55 PM
6	APP serves to celebrate the arts & the community. It does neither of them. Besides, we already have Sandoval in the County collection. There are several local artists APP could tap, or better – get an outdoors work - appropriate for a golf course all (even non golfers) can enjoy!	8/1/2021 1:11 AM
7	Not enough people go to no the golf course	7/31/2021 11:32 PM
8	It's a nice painting, but I don't think it's needed.	7/31/2021 9:57 PM
9	The painting seems fairly nice, but perhaps the view from the golf course and the wildlife that frequent the golf course area could be featured more prominently.	7/31/2021 9:52 PM
10	Maybe	7/30/2021 6:26 PM
11	Amateurish	7/30/2021 5:17 PM
12	Very bland and generic looking. Like a framed piece from a discount store.	7/30/2021 5:08 PM
13	This is underwhelming but appropriate for the location	7/30/2021 2:15 PM
14	Don't know	7/28/2021 8:06 PM

Q2 The price of this piece is listed for \$2,400 + tax from the Karen Wray Gallery. Do you have any comments about it's cost?

Answered: 174 Skipped: 118

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Reasonable	8/19/2021 9:33 PM
2	A bit high, but not outrageously so.	8/19/2021 8:45 AM
3	Nope	8/19/2021 5:56 AM
4	No too bad of a price for an original piece.	8/18/2021 1:34 PM
5	I don't think it's a good use of the money because it's not an interesting piece.	8/16/2021 2:50 PM
6	No	8/16/2021 12:23 PM
7	Sounds reasonable	8/16/2021 9:55 AM
8	The gallery will get a large portion of the cost. Ask for a reduced commission before considering the purchase.	8/14/2021 4:37 PM
9	Far too expensive for such a piece and the County should be tax exempt so who, so uninformed, is negotiating this?	8/11/2021 3:04 PM
10	Yes: Good grief, its 2400.00? Is it consignment? Who actually owns it? I know that Mr. Sandoval enjoys quite a reputation around here, but this painting is boring...	8/11/2021 1:43 PM
11	Sounds fair	8/8/2021 7:47 PM
12	No	8/8/2021 2:27 PM
13	No	8/8/2021 12:38 PM
14	Over priced	8/8/2021 11:37 AM
15	I think that is a reasonable price for a framed original. Sec Sandoval is a beloved artist in Los Alamos and many people would enjoy the image	8/7/2021 9:37 PM
16	no - I'm happy we are supporting local businesses	8/7/2021 11:22 AM
17	Just not really interested in the painting or what it would add to the public environment	8/6/2021 9:36 PM
18	That seems quite steep but I have not kept up with the art market. I don't think the piece reflects some of his best work, however. I have an original by him of aspens in the snow that is much more skillfully executed. This one looks a bit amateurish for some reason, sadly. I know he's a well respected local artist.	8/6/2021 9:31 PM
19	This doesn't seem unreasonable IF there aren't other 'material' uses for the same funds. Material might include maintenance, relocation or other management of existing art or relevant property.	8/4/2021 10:25 PM
20	No comments	8/4/2021 7:40 PM
21	No	8/4/2021 2:58 PM
22	It's difficult to comment because I have no idea how much is in this fund. It's a relative question. If there is 1 million dollars in the fund, then this is noise. If there is 5 thousand dollars in the fund then this is a lot of money.	8/4/2021 1:21 PM
23	It seems appropriate.	8/4/2021 12:41 PM
24	Too high for something only some will see. Plus I'm not a big fan of golf.	8/3/2021 5:41 AM
25	Seems fair	8/2/2021 9:01 PM

APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey

26	No	8/2/2021 8:26 PM
27	No	8/2/2021 3:16 PM
28	Tax money for art? I guess the county has too much money. But I guess a tax cut is off the table?	8/2/2021 1:53 PM
29	That's a lot of money	8/2/2021 1:08 PM
30	Sounds reasonable.	8/2/2021 12:22 PM
31	N/A	8/2/2021 11:29 AM
32	No	8/2/2021 5:07 AM
33	That's a lot	8/2/2021 4:08 AM
34	Seems reasonable	8/2/2021 12:53 AM
35	It seems reasonable	8/1/2021 11:03 PM
36	Reasonable for his work	8/1/2021 10:55 PM
37	Sounds reasonable.	8/1/2021 10:51 PM
38	Seems a bit high	8/1/2021 10:21 PM
39	Karen Wray should donate it to the county unless it is a consignment item.	8/1/2021 9:49 PM
40	No	8/1/2021 8:55 PM
41	Fair price	8/1/2021 5:21 PM
42	Very reasonable	8/1/2021 5:17 PM
43	Good	8/1/2021 5:14 PM
44	None	8/1/2021 4:32 PM
45	That is a fair price and supports a local artist and local art	8/1/2021 4:05 PM
46	Sec Sandoval I'd a priceless man with priceless talent. He is the clear choice ♥	8/1/2021 3:40 PM
47	Price is fine.	8/1/2021 3:34 PM
48	Ugh	8/1/2021 3:34 PM
49	Reasonable	8/1/2021 3:14 PM
50	Seems a bit pricey, but like it is from a local artist	8/1/2021 2:49 PM
51	No	8/1/2021 1:54 PM
52	Sounds good	8/1/2021 1:38 PM
53	Probably reasonable. I have no idea how art works are priced.	8/1/2021 12:30 PM
54	Seems about right	8/1/2021 11:53 AM
55	Seems like a lot, when we can't afford to hire or train enough County staff to maintain our infrastructure in a timely manner.	8/1/2021 11:51 AM
56	*Its Too much for a very boring painting.	8/1/2021 11:16 AM
57	Too much money for the county to be wasting on art.	8/1/2021 10:42 AM
58	Pricey	8/1/2021 10:32 AM
59	Is that price comparable for Sandoval's other painting of that size? If so, the price is ok with me.	8/1/2021 9:52 AM
60	Sounds very reasonable.	8/1/2021 9:44 AM
61	It seems a bit high but okay	8/1/2021 9:43 AM
62	No seems reasonable	8/1/2021 9:43 AM

APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey

63	No	8/1/2021 9:31 AM
64	It's appropriate	8/1/2021 9:16 AM
65	Too much!	8/1/2021 8:58 AM
66	No comment	8/1/2021 8:54 AM
67	Can you buy a generic golf print for cheaper?	8/1/2021 8:53 AM
68	Sounds reasonable! I love Sec!	8/1/2021 8:32 AM
69	no	8/1/2021 8:30 AM
70	No	8/1/2021 8:29 AM
71	Very fair, supports a local artist and business. Love Sec Sandoval, he is a LA treasure.	8/1/2021 8:21 AM
72	Very reasonable	8/1/2021 8:11 AM
73	Ouch	8/1/2021 8:04 AM
74	Reasonable	8/1/2021 8:02 AM
75	Supports a beloved local artist!	8/1/2021 7:56 AM
76	No comment	8/1/2021 7:54 AM
77	Worth the price	8/1/2021 7:49 AM
78	Seems reasonable	8/1/2021 7:44 AM
79	Seems decent if framed.	8/1/2021 7:39 AM
80	Seems reasonable, as long as the price was determined competitively.	8/1/2021 7:33 AM
81	Do you have the money? It is a federal grant, correct? If you don't have other options, this is fine.	8/1/2021 7:29 AM
82	That's quite expensive for something most of us will never see	8/1/2021 7:22 AM
83	Seems a little bit high but as long as it's professionally framed it seems ok.	8/1/2021 7:02 AM
84	No	8/1/2021 7:01 AM
85	Seems really affordable	8/1/2021 6:26 AM
86	Worth ever penny the artist is a treasure to this town.	8/1/2021 6:26 AM
87	Stop wasting county money	8/1/2021 6:19 AM
88	No	8/1/2021 6:03 AM
89	Good price	8/1/2021 5:51 AM
90	It seems very expensive for one piece.	8/1/2021 5:33 AM
91	Cost to much and is ugly	8/1/2021 3:55 AM
92	no	8/1/2021 1:11 AM
93	No	8/1/2021 12:58 AM
94	No it's fine	7/31/2021 11:32 PM
95	That sounds about right.	7/31/2021 11:20 PM
96	No	7/31/2021 11:18 PM
97	No	7/31/2021 11:05 PM
98	Original work and local so worth it	7/31/2021 11:01 PM
99	Too expensive	7/31/2021 10:41 PM

APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey


100	No	7/31/2021 10:37 PM
101	No	7/31/2021 10:35 PM
102	That's a fair price.	7/31/2021 10:33 PM
103	Seems fair for a lovely piece from a local artist	7/31/2021 10:32 PM
104	Ridiculous	7/31/2021 10:31 PM
105	Seems somewhat reasonable	7/31/2021 10:25 PM
106	It's okay	7/31/2021 10:22 PM
107	Too much	7/31/2021 10:22 PM
108	Don't waste taxpayer dollars on art	7/31/2021 10:22 PM
109	No	7/31/2021 10:14 PM
110	Way more affordable than the other piece, avid supporter of the arts but would not spend more than \$5000 for a painting	7/31/2021 10:08 PM
111	A bit expensive	7/31/2021 10:06 PM
112	it's reasonable and supports a local business and artist	7/31/2021 9:58 PM
113	It's a huge waste of money. The county has no business buying this	7/31/2021 9:58 PM
114	I'd rather the cost go straight to the artist than through a gallery owner.	7/31/2021 9:57 PM
115	Local artist...ok.	7/31/2021 9:53 PM
116	Seems reasonable.	7/31/2021 9:52 PM
117	I don't think a piece such as that is with even a quarter of the listed price.	7/31/2021 9:52 PM
118	Is the artist local to Los Alamos?	7/31/2021 9:51 PM
119	A bit pricey for an "unknown " local artist. Especially when going to be used for public art.	7/31/2021 9:46 PM
120	Expensive	7/31/2021 9:45 PM
121	No	7/31/2021 9:43 PM
122	No	7/31/2021 9:41 PM
123	That seems reasonable for the quality of painting.	7/31/2021 9:37 PM
124	No	7/31/2021 9:36 PM
125	Seems a bit high for the level of achievement.	7/31/2021 9:32 PM
126	No	7/31/2021 6:54 PM
127	I thought it would cost more than that. I really like the painting.	7/31/2021 6:02 PM
128	Seems like a good price.	7/31/2021 4:47 PM
129	no	7/31/2021 4:04 PM
130	Too high	7/31/2021 4:03 PM
131	Out of my range but probably worth it!	7/31/2021 12:22 PM
132	Way too much	7/31/2021 6:30 AM
133	Seems expensive.	7/30/2021 10:42 PM
134	buy it!	7/30/2021 8:32 PM
135	No	7/30/2021 6:57 PM
136	Not sure	7/30/2021 6:26 PM
137	No as long as Secundino gets some too.	7/30/2021 6:21 PM

APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey

138	No	7/30/2021 5:45 PM
139	No way!	7/30/2021 5:17 PM
140	Just Not worth it.	7/30/2021 5:08 PM
141	Its expensive	7/30/2021 4:25 PM
142	That's a huge fucking waste of money and I object to that amount of public funds being spent on a location where such a small % of people in town actually go	7/30/2021 2:15 PM
143	No, it's a reasonable price.	7/30/2021 11:02 AM
144	No	7/30/2021 7:53 AM
145	Great price	7/30/2021 1:12 AM
146	High	7/29/2021 10:59 PM
147	Very expensive	7/29/2021 8:47 PM
148	Sounds like a bargain	7/29/2021 8:29 PM
149	The piece is a little small compared to other pieces that are around that price at the Gallery.	7/29/2021 1:36 PM
150	No	7/29/2021 1:01 PM
151	Sounds like a good deal	7/28/2021 10:16 PM
152	Seems fair	7/28/2021 9:50 PM
153	Way too much	7/28/2021 9:49 PM
154	Secundo Sandoval gas a long history in Los Alamos and a deep loyalty.	7/28/2021 9:46 PM
155	No	7/28/2021 8:38 PM
156	no	7/28/2021 8:10 PM
157	No.	7/28/2021 7:07 PM
158	No.	7/28/2021 7:05 PM
159	No	7/28/2021 5:28 PM
160	No	7/28/2021 5:01 PM
161	No.	7/28/2021 4:56 PM
162	Seems high for a Sec painting.	7/28/2021 3:42 PM
163	The county should not buy art that Karen Wray can not sell.	7/28/2021 1:55 PM
164	No	7/28/2021 1:38 PM
165	That is a very reasonable cost	7/28/2021 12:24 PM
166	seems expensive	7/28/2021 11:50 AM
167	None. It's a great deal for one of Secundino's paintings. Mr. Sandoval is one of Los Alamos's best loved artists and is a local icon.	7/28/2021 11:39 AM
168	This seems like the average cost of an original S. Sandoval painting.	7/28/2021 11:32 AM
169	No	7/28/2021 11:32 AM
170	I think that is a good price for the ability to secure another Sec Sandoval piece.	7/28/2021 11:10 AM
171	For a public golf course, a cut in the price would be nice.	7/28/2021 11:05 AM
172	That seems to be a reasonable cost for the piece.	7/28/2021 9:31 AM
173	Is the artist local?	7/28/2021 9:31 AM
174	This is a steal compared to the price of the other painting.	7/28/2021 9:17 AM

Q3 Do you like this piece?

Answered: 268 Skipped: 24



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	68.28%	183
No	31.72%	85
TOTAL		268


#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	It's okay. I feel like the artistry is marginal.	8/16/2021 9:55 AM
2	Depressing colors - terrible for promoting a Community Asset Facility	8/11/2021 3:04 PM
3	See above.	8/11/2021 1:43 PM
4	See comments above. It's just not evocative of his better work and I'm not moved by this one.	8/6/2021 9:31 PM
5	No opinion	8/4/2021 2:58 PM
6	no complaints, but doesn't really speak to me	8/3/2021 8:50 AM
7	Not my style	8/2/2021 12:47 PM
8	Meh. It's kinda washed out. Art in public spaces should pop so people stop & look at ot.	8/2/2021 12:53 AM
9	I like other pieces better by this painter	8/1/2021 10:21 PM
10	He is a local artist that knows this town.	8/1/2021 9:49 PM
11	It's O.K. It doesn't particularly move me but, I'm not a golfer. I like others of his paintings better.	8/1/2021 8:55 PM
12	It's okay. I wouldn't buy it, but it seems good for the golf course. Sec Sandoval is local and well known. Probably a living treasure if that program still exists.	8/1/2021 12:30 PM
13	Costs to much	8/1/2021 8:58 AM
14	Expensive and bland	8/1/2021 8:53 AM
15	Kind of boring. Two men golfing.	8/1/2021 7:39 AM
16	To much and ugly	8/1/2021 3:55 AM
17	Same comment as above.	8/1/2021 1:11 AM
18	I don't love it, but I am not a golfer. It seems "dated" style to me.	7/31/2021 10:41 PM

APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey

19	The colors are bland for me, and the subject boring.	7/31/2021 10:32 PM
20	Looks old	7/31/2021 10:06 PM
21	Somewhat	7/31/2021 9:52 PM
22	It captures the exact feeling I have when I walk around in that area, especially the trails that go along slide it. This is near my home. I love the painting.	7/31/2021 6:02 PM
23	Where are women?	7/30/2021 6:26 PM
24	Generic	7/30/2021 5:08 PM
25	If it were a free donation/cheap it would not be objectionable, but I don't really like it aesthetically and even though I value public art I don't see a purpose/message in this	7/30/2021 2:15 PM
26	Also has true local ties	7/29/2021 8:29 PM
27	It is just ok. It is really a bit boring.	7/28/2021 9:50 PM
28	Maybe, it's hard to really see it well online	7/28/2021 8:38 PM
29	Not for the golf course it's more of a mountain scene. I'd put it up at the ski hill	7/28/2021 12:34 PM
30	I love his work and having this piece at the golf course sounds like an excellent fit	7/28/2021 12:24 PM
31	The colors are nice - I just hate golf.	7/28/2021 11:50 AM
32	Not my cup of tea, but I can appreciate it regardless	7/28/2021 11:32 AM
33	It's not to my taste because I don't care for golf. However, that doesn't mean that others won't enjoy or appreciate it.	7/28/2021 9:17 AM

Q4 Do you think "Golf Course" would be a good fit within the Los Alamos County Art Collection?

Answered: 261 Skipped: 31



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	68.58%	179
No	31.42%	82
TOTAL		261

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Golf Course is a reasonable locale, although not sure where ... in the entry hall to the building?	8/18/2021 1:34 PM
2	Maybe - lots of the collection is below mediocre in quality	8/11/2021 3:04 PM
3	I'm a little suspicious of who actually owns this piece and why it is priced at \$2400.00 and why you are dealing with a local art gallery.	8/11/2021 1:43 PM
4	Just not very interesting, thought provoking ororiginal.	8/6/2021 9:36 PM
5	For the reasons specified. I wish there had been an opportunity to buy a different work by him.	8/6/2021 9:31 PM
6	No opinion	8/4/2021 2:58 PM
7	I'm not sure, there isn't any information provided about it other than who painted it. So, it is hard to determine how it fits into the mission and charge of the Arts in Public Places board.	8/4/2021 1:21 PM
8	I don't know about the Los Alamos County Art Collection.	8/2/2021 3:16 PM
9	don't have a strong feeling - just feel we've sent a bazillion dollars there already for a small part of our community.	8/2/2021 12:31 PM
10	Also ugly	8/2/2021 6:05 AM
11	Only a very small fraction of Los Alamos residents actually golf.	8/2/2021 12:53 AM
12	I am not certain	8/1/2021 10:21 PM
13	Local artist	8/1/2021 9:49 PM
14	I'm neutral. I wouldn't spend much time in front of it. I think he's done better ones.	8/1/2021 8:55 PM
15	Unsure	8/1/2021 4:14 PM
16	Probably. It looks local. See comments to question #3	8/1/2021 12:30 PM

APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey

17	Boring.	8/1/2021 11:16 AM
18	Sure it is a local scene	8/1/2021 8:53 AM
19	Maybe. How does it balance with what else is in the collection? Again, two men golfing.	8/1/2021 7:39 AM
20	Sec Sandoval is a wonderful local artist and his work is worth having for the community.	8/1/2021 7:02 AM
21	Unsure	8/1/2021 7:01 AM
22	APP should promote art in - Public places, not indoors - restricted viewing - areas.	8/1/2021 1:11 AM
23	Not sure	7/31/2021 10:41 PM
24	Local artist rendition of a local attraction, seems like an easy fit	7/31/2021 10:32 PM
25	Meh. I'm not a huge fan of this artist.	7/31/2021 10:22 PM
26	No. While the subject of the piece is fitting for Los Alamos, the cost is much too high.	7/31/2021 9:52 PM
27	It is perfect.	7/31/2021 6:02 PM
28	Well it's only specific to the golf course of which I don't golf....	7/31/2021 12:48 PM
29	Secundino Sandoval is a local artist. His work would be a good fit in the County art collection.	7/31/2021 12:26 PM
30	Maybe	7/30/2021 6:26 PM
31	He has much better work.	7/29/2021 9:37 PM
32	There has got to be something better than this.	7/28/2021 9:50 PM
33	Possibly	7/28/2021 8:38 PM
34	I looks like the golfers in the painting we added as an afterthought.	7/28/2021 1:55 PM
35	I suppose people here do not hate golf as much as I do.	7/28/2021 11:50 AM
36	Depends on how many pieces of this particular artist we already have in the county collection	7/28/2021 11:32 AM
37	I don't think that LAC should be buying art with public money. It's a waste.	7/28/2021 9:31 AM
38	It seems reasonably priced and does look like something others would enjoy.	7/28/2021 9:17 AM

Q5 Any additional comments you would like to provide about "Golf Course", by Secundino Sandoval

Answered: 91 Skipped: 201

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Put it somewhere else. How many people in the community go to the golf course?	8/19/2021 9:33 PM
2	Nope	8/19/2021 5:56 AM
3	I'd prefer a different piece. Los alamos has a lot of work by SS, it looks dated and uninteresting.	8/16/2021 2:50 PM
4	No	8/16/2021 12:23 PM
5	No	8/16/2021 9:55 AM
6	Skip it	8/11/2021 3:04 PM
7	N/A	8/11/2021 1:43 PM
8	No	8/8/2021 12:38 PM
9	na	8/8/2021 11:37 AM
10	Is there a choice of not purchasing it?	8/6/2021 9:31 PM
11	This piece is mesmerizing and captures the beauty and peaceful nature of the golf course.	8/4/2021 7:40 PM
12	I Like it.	8/4/2021 12:41 PM
13	It just feels very bland. Blah.	8/2/2021 9:01 PM
14	No	8/2/2021 8:26 PM
15	None	8/2/2021 1:08 PM
16	Much prefer this one to the Pajarito Boyd one.	8/2/2021 12:22 PM
17	Boring	8/2/2021 9:04 AM
18	N/A	8/2/2021 12:53 AM
19	No	8/1/2021 10:55 PM
20	Beautiful painting!	8/1/2021 10:51 PM
21	I've seen this painting and really like it.	8/1/2021 9:49 PM
22	No	8/1/2021 5:21 PM
23	Many Los Wlamos residents have something by seconding Sandoval in their home. He is part of the local art environment.	8/1/2021 5:14 PM
24	None	8/1/2021 4:32 PM
25	None	8/1/2021 4:05 PM
26	This painting means something to the citizens of Los Alamos.	8/1/2021 3:40 PM
27	My Uncle Sec donates many of his paintings to his community and fundraisers. He's a pillar to Los Alamos. His paintings in my opinion are worth more than he charges.	8/1/2021 3:24 PM
28	No	8/1/2021 3:14 PM
29	No	8/1/2021 1:54 PM
30	It looks dated	8/1/2021 11:53 AM

APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey


31	How many people in Los Alamos actually golf?	8/1/2021 10:42 AM
32	The artist is well known in Los Alamos county and therefore would be a good representative artist for us.	8/1/2021 9:52 AM
33	no	8/1/2021 9:44 AM
34	It is kind of generic looking.	8/1/2021 9:43 AM
35	Booring	8/1/2021 9:31 AM
36	Seems silly to spend that much public money on one painting. I would rather see that much money fund a golf program like the First Tee	8/1/2021 8:53 AM
37	Best choice by far	8/1/2021 8:21 AM
38	It's nice	8/1/2021 8:02 AM
39	The piece is a good fit for APP to acquire for display at the golf course.	8/1/2021 7:56 AM
40	No comment	8/1/2021 7:54 AM
41	Nope	8/1/2021 7:44 AM
42	No	8/1/2021 6:03 AM
43	No	8/1/2021 5:33 AM
44	Personally I like & respect Sandoval. However, he is already in the County collection. AAP mission is to be open and all inclusive. There are several local artists significant to the history of the community worth looking into.	8/1/2021 1:11 AM
45	Np	8/1/2021 12:58 AM
46	No	7/31/2021 11:18 PM
47	No	7/31/2021 11:05 PM
48	The painting is a bit blah. Flat and not engaging as a viewer. It doesn't draw you in.	7/31/2021 10:37 PM
49	No	7/31/2021 10:35 PM
50	Sec Sandoval is local and has a rich history here.	7/31/2021 10:33 PM
51	Don't buy it	7/31/2021 10:31 PM
52	Not in my taste, seems like a basic painting, nothing special	7/31/2021 10:14 PM
53	Very fitting for the location	7/31/2021 10:08 PM
54	great choice!	7/31/2021 9:58 PM
55	None	7/31/2021 9:51 PM
56	Local artist very talented.	7/31/2021 9:49 PM
57	No	7/31/2021 9:43 PM
58	None	7/31/2021 9:41 PM
59	I like that it is a local artist and purchased from a local business	7/31/2021 9:36 PM
60	No	7/31/2021 6:54 PM
61	I think any works by Sec Sandoval should be in the County collection. This one is unique, I hadnt seen it before and would like it in the golf course bldg	7/31/2021 4:04 PM
62	Secundino Sandoval is a local artist - well know in the region. This piece is well done and not overly priced like the other piece you are proposing..... this is more pleasant to the eye/ and won't be controversial like the other....	7/31/2021 12:48 PM
63	Love his work, have some early pieces & have visited his studio.	7/31/2021 12:22 PM
64	No	7/30/2021 6:57 PM

APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey

65	Realizing who artist is caused me to be more interested	7/30/2021 6:26 PM
66	History artist in historical building...perfect.	7/30/2021 6:21 PM
67	No	7/30/2021 5:45 PM
68	Very nice painting. I think people would enjoy seeing it at the golf course.	7/30/2021 5:19 PM
69	No mystery or interest. No in-vocative.	7/30/2021 5:08 PM
70	It looks cool	7/30/2021 4:25 PM
71	It's an average painting in some ways. But, for a county collection that documents its history and surrounding features and landscape, it is quite suitable for that.	7/30/2021 11:02 AM
72	Amazing artist, well known locally. Great fit.	7/30/2021 7:53 AM
73	I don't relate to it	7/29/2021 11:43 PM
74	I think you should have a call for artists to paint the golf course.	7/29/2021 9:37 PM
75	It's generic and doesn't speak to the history or culture of Los Alamos.	7/29/2021 9:35 AM
76	Secundino is a local treasure. We would be lucky to have any of his work displayed in town	7/29/2021 7:40 AM
77	It reminds me of cañada bonito	7/28/2021 10:16 PM
78	If this is the only one to pick, then fine. It just seems like a really boring piece of art....and I love art!	7/28/2021 9:50 PM
79	He is affordable and has a large NM following.	7/28/2021 9:46 PM
80	I love all Sec Sandoval works!	7/28/2021 8:26 PM
81	No.	7/28/2021 7:07 PM
82	No	7/28/2021 7:05 PM
83	Sec Sandovals art needs to be EVERYWHERE	7/28/2021 5:28 PM
84	No	7/28/2021 5:01 PM
85	No	7/28/2021 4:56 PM
86	I would like a more dramatic piece of art. I would walk right past this. It would be nice to have something unusual.	7/28/2021 3:53 PM
87	We already have two Sec Sandoval's in the collection. Are there any other local artists that we could use?	7/28/2021 3:42 PM
88	No	7/28/2021 1:38 PM
89	no	7/28/2021 11:50 AM
90	I love art by local artists.	7/28/2021 11:32 AM
91	None	7/28/2021 9:17 AM

Q6 Los Alamos County Council recommended that this painting be placed in an alternative location, other than Fuller Lodge. Do you support that decision?

Answered: 270 Skipped: 22



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	29.26%	79
No	70.74%	191
TOTAL		270

#	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:	DATE
1	Fuller Lodge is the right place to put this historic piece.	8/18/2021 1:34 PM
2	Above the fireplace sounds like an appropriate location. I can't compare to an alternate without knowing where the alternate location. Is.	8/16/2021 2:50 PM
3	If the piece is purchased for display, it should be displayed in Fuller Lodge in a prominent location, as it honors the youth of the Ranch School	8/16/2021 12:23 PM
4	What would be a better place for this subject matter other than Fuller Lodge? Something this costly should also be somewhere with high traffic.	8/16/2021 9:55 AM
5	I'm not sure where is a good location. Maybe the Historical Society?	8/14/2021 4:37 PM
6	Leave it with the seller. NOT worth the price	8/11/2021 3:04 PM
7	Another "anglo" perspective of a place that has been inhabited by many people throughout the centuries. And valued at \$68,000.00! Seriously? Who actually is going to be getting the money. Your description does not specify who actually owns it.	8/11/2021 1:43 PM
8	I do not think this should be purchased for \$68,000. This is too much for the product.	8/8/2021 7:47 PM
9	I'd prefer it IN the space where the Ranch School was.	8/8/2021 2:27 PM
10	Not sure, what's the alternative location? It seems like the Lodge would be an appropriate location since it was part of the Ranch School.	8/7/2021 9:37 PM
11	I do not think 68000 of taxpayer money should be spent on this work	8/6/2021 9:36 PM
12	I'm not sure where it might go, but an option might be to place it in the History Museum under supervision and with good security hanging methods. It could be rotated to the Lodge with	8/6/2021 9:31 PM

APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey

	similar care provisions or in Mesa Public Library or even the Betty Ehart Senior Center on an occasional basis.	
13	Has anyone read Gore Vidal's biography? How is this even a consideration?	8/4/2021 11:12 PM
14	Boy Scouts as an organization has negative connotations as revealed in the last several years. A government acquisition of a compromised subject may be less favorable now more than ever.	8/4/2021 10:25 PM
15	I think it should be placed above the fireplace in the main Fuller Lodge Hall. What are the reasons for not?	8/4/2021 12:41 PM
16	have to say, the lodge seems like an ideal place	8/3/2021 8:50 AM
17	Think it fits fuller lodge theme nicely.	8/3/2021 5:41 AM
18	Open—should be connected to the ranch school somewhere but doesn't need to be over the fireplace	8/2/2021 9:01 PM
19	I think the history strongly supports the painting to be in Fuller Lodge.	8/2/2021 8:26 PM
20	Indifferent to the location.	8/2/2021 2:11 PM
21	I support placing it in another location, but I believe the originally proposed location was fine.	8/2/2021 1:12 PM
22	Really depends on where it goes to be displayed	8/2/2021 12:47 PM
23	I thought it had already been approved for Fuller Lodge. Seems the most logical choice.	8/2/2021 12:31 PM
24	Cost of the painting is way too much. Stick with the local artist and the well known location depicted in The Golf Course.	8/2/2021 12:22 PM
25	I guess it depends on where that location is.	8/2/2021 11:29 AM
26	Very ugly	8/2/2021 6:05 AM
27	I think The fire place needs a large painting and the subject of this piece is perfect, since Troop 22 was founded at the Boys School.	8/2/2021 12:53 AM
28	I do not support the purchase of this painting	8/1/2021 10:21 PM
29	I think Fuller Lodge is the most appropriate site for the painting. I'd like to know their reasoning.	8/1/2021 8:55 PM
30	I'm not a fan of historical Boy Scouts art. Pedophilia was rampant apparently revealed from lawsuits	8/1/2021 4:57 PM
31	It fits Fuller Lodge, but I'd be interested in hearing the alternative ideas.	8/1/2021 4:14 PM
32	Fuller lodge makes the most logical sense for this painting	8/1/2021 4:05 PM
33	Too expensive.	8/1/2021 3:34 PM
34	Fuller Lodge would be perfect.	8/1/2021 3:24 PM
35	This is too expensive	8/1/2021 3:14 PM
36	Where would it go?	8/1/2021 12:30 PM
37	I don't know why a move was suggested. I like it where it is.	8/1/2021 12:02 PM
38	It should not be placed anywhere. I do not appreciate my tax dollars being spent on such nonsense. If Los Alamos county has extra monies, spend it on affordable housing or something else for the poor.	8/1/2021 11:28 AM
39	This painting should not be purchased. The Boy Scouts have a long history of abuse and discriminatory practices. While I appreciate their role in Los Alamos history, the organization does not deserve to be idealized in any way, especially not at this price point!	8/1/2021 11:16 AM
40	This should not be purchased.	8/1/2021 10:42 AM
41	It really depends on the alternative location. For the exceptional price, would the painting be located somewhere that has good public access and is appropriate for the piece? The Lodge is appropriate, but so could the Bath Tub Row museum homes or government building on Central.	8/1/2021 9:52 AM

APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey

42	I think, if we buy it, that Fuller Lodge is the perfect place for it.	8/1/2021 9:44 AM
43	That is a ridiculous amount for a painting. They are cutting the arts offerings at the high school due to budget constraints - I can't see why a painting is worth more than educating children in the arts.	8/1/2021 9:43 AM
44	I like the Fuller Lodge idea	8/1/2021 9:43 AM
45	I don't think the county should buy it; therefore, no location	8/1/2021 9:32 AM
46	I don't go inside the lodge	8/1/2021 9:31 AM
47	Way too expensive	8/1/2021 9:16 AM
48	Fuller Lodge seems like the right place, but will it get the visibility it deserves?	8/1/2021 8:54 AM
49	Depends on where because it should be placed in a spot that reflects the history of the boy's ranch school.	8/1/2021 8:53 AM
50	It's perfect for the space and it's historical theme	8/1/2021 8:53 AM
51	Cost is too high. Not liking the subject only including boys.	8/1/2021 8:32 AM
52	It's a lovely painting, are the early scouts a big part of Los Alamos?	8/1/2021 8:02 AM
53	A Fuller Lodge location seems most most appropriate from a historic standpoint.	8/1/2021 7:56 AM
54	Fuller lodge seems like the only appropriate place for this painting.	8/1/2021 7:54 AM
55	The trash	8/1/2021 7:44 AM
56	Why was that recommendation made? Fuller Lodge seems like the only place it would be appropriate on display in the county.	8/1/2021 7:39 AM
57	This makes sense in a historic ranch school building. Also, it was approved by your board years ago. I'm unsure why this is still up for debate. There are many locations where this could go in Fuller Lodge choose any of them and move forward.	8/1/2021 7:29 AM
58	I don't support purchasing it	8/1/2021 7:22 AM
59	Should be in Fuller Lodge, part of the Ranch School. Perhaps a good alternative location would be the history museum.	8/1/2021 6:26 AM
60	Love the piece. The price is ridiculously high and this is coming from an art collector.	8/1/2021 5:51 AM
61	It's gross and artistically insignificant. APP mission is to celebrate art and educate. This is a fake image of a non-existing situation.	8/1/2021 1:11 AM
62	Would be nice at the Posse Lodge	8/1/2021 12:37 AM
63	This painting is hideous and does not belong within the Los Alamos collection.	7/31/2021 11:35 PM
64	I like this location better than the first. This is a beautiful painting by a local, nationally-recognized artist. It depicts a part of the history of this town.	7/31/2021 11:20 PM
65	Maybe the posse lodge	7/31/2021 11:01 PM
66	I think it represents the boys of Fuller Lodge school and is appropriate in that spot.	7/31/2021 10:41 PM
67	Cost is too much	7/31/2021 10:35 PM
68	It should not be purchased for that outrageous price.	7/31/2021 10:33 PM
69	Undecided, seems like a fair picture. Maybe a rotation schedule could be put in place?	7/31/2021 10:32 PM
70	Shouldn't be purchased at all	7/31/2021 10:31 PM
71	Alternate should be considered	7/31/2021 10:16 PM
72	Don't support it being anywhere due to ridiculous price tag	7/31/2021 10:08 PM
73	Please don't purchase or place anywhere in LA.	7/31/2021 10:00 PM
74	I don't support buying art that cost \$68000.	7/31/2021 9:57 PM

APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey


75	Yes. I think Fuller Lodge is already full of artistic and architectural interest. This painting should be showcased in a more visible place where it does not compete with other pieces.	7/31/2021 9:52 PM
76	This pricing is obscene. Use these funds to repair the potholes on residential streets	7/31/2021 9:51 PM
77	Fuller lodge seems like the most logical place for a scout painting	7/31/2021 9:46 PM
78	I don't think it should be purchased at all	7/31/2021 9:36 PM
79	I feel that Fuller Lodge would be the best place for this painting, but no matter where it goes I feel this is a great rendition and speaks to the history of Los Alamos.	7/31/2021 6:54 PM
80	What? Did Los Alamos County already purchase this awful painting?	7/31/2021 6:02 PM
81	Should not be placed in a public building in Los Alamos.	7/31/2021 4:31 PM
82	Because of its direct relationship to Fuller Lodge and the Boys Ranch School, I feel that Fuller Lodge is more appropriate than anywhere else in Los Alamos.	7/31/2021 12:32 PM
83	Although Fuller Lodge is the most appropriate location.	7/31/2021 9:30 AM
84	I do not support the purchase of this piece of art. It is not by a local artist and is way too expensive.	7/30/2021 8:32 PM
85	Not sure where?	7/30/2021 6:26 PM
86	Find a New Mexico artist or gallery.	7/30/2021 6:21 PM
87	If this painting is chosen, Fuller Lodge seems like the best fit for the style and era depicted.	7/30/2021 5:19 PM
88	If it is not appropriate there then I don't think it belongs anyplace else other than someplace in the lodge.	7/30/2021 5:08 PM
89	Fuller Lodge is an appropriate location for the painting, but the price is an inappropriate use of public funds	7/30/2021 2:15 PM
90	Should stay at Fuller lodge	7/30/2021 11:44 AM
91	Overpriced. Slight objection to subject depiction. Aren't Boy Scouts different than the the Ranch School boys? Why would the Council choose this at all? Too many issues.	7/30/2021 11:02 AM
92	No. This painting has no place anywhere in our public owned spaces. Are you trying to titillate the pedophiles? Do you want to give them a monument? For survivors, like myself, this is an affront against the very purpose of government and the community it putatively represents (to represent ALL of the people). If this is the representation that the Boy Scouts choose to put forward, then they've told us what they want to attract: nubile flesh. If the county of Los Alamos places this painting anywhere in our public places the message will be clear: We do not value those terrorized, molested, and victimized by this organization in our community. We cannot recognize history without re-traumatizing many of our most contributing citizens.	7/30/2021 12:12 AM
93	The colors, size, and subject make Fuller Lodge the perfect location.	7/29/2021 10:38 PM
94	I support it being placed in the trash bin of history. Why is this still being considered?	7/29/2021 8:29 PM
95	It depends where.	7/29/2021 1:36 PM
96	Fuller Lodge is the most appropriate place	7/28/2021 10:09 PM
97	I have no opinion on the location.	7/28/2021 9:50 PM
98	What in the hell is wrong with you wasting this much money on this crap?	7/28/2021 9:49 PM
99	Too expensive. Ridiculous!	7/28/2021 9:46 PM
100	Depends on where. The lodge seems like the logical place	7/28/2021 8:06 PM
101	I think Fuller Lodge is great since it was used by the Ranch School and is an ode to its history.	7/28/2021 7:05 PM
102	I believe that it should be in Fuller Lodge, which was built by the Ranch School.	7/28/2021 3:53 PM
103	Do not put that in Fuller Lodge.	7/28/2021 1:55 PM
104	It should not be in the Los Alamos county collection	7/28/2021 1:38 PM

APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey

105	No opinion	7/28/2021 11:32 AM
106	The Lodge is literally the last remaining public space where the Boy Scouts were based/born/home. It should be hung in the Fuller Lodge.	7/28/2021 11:10 AM
107	This needs to be in a main room where it can be seen. \$68000 is too much for a painting if it won't be seen by many people (because people don't go upstairs at Fuller Lodge.)	7/28/2021 9:31 AM

Q7 Do you believe Fuller Lodge is an appropriate location for this art piece?

Answered: 282 Skipped: 10



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	68.44%	193
No	31.56%	89
TOTAL		282

#	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:	DATE
1	I think the content is appropriate, but not at that price point	8/19/2021 8:45 AM
2	I feel an expense of that magnitude should be accessible. Seems like the Historical Society is a better fit.	8/14/2021 4:37 PM
3	Fuller Lodge is dusty and not well maintained	8/11/2021 3:04 PM
4	Why not find another perspective of the history of this place?	8/11/2021 1:43 PM
5	Yes, but it is still way too expensive. It will be a reminder of the excess of Los Alamos. I would rather see a more interactive piece for \$68,000.	8/8/2021 7:47 PM
6	Fuller lodge is a work of art in and of itself. It doesn't need this memory.	8/6/2021 9:36 PM
7	IF IT IS PROTECTED AND SECURED WITH THE BEST SECURITY HOOKS, ETC. I could look attractive over one of the fireplaces or on a wall of the Pajarito Room.	8/6/2021 9:31 PM
8	No. The Boy Scouts overlooked inappropriate sexual advances and interactions between leaders and boys -- interactions that have been suggested to have occurred at the Los Alamos Ranch School.	8/4/2021 11:12 PM
9	It's a public venue and there may be a chance that a victim of the Boy Scouts might encounter a government-sponsored piece of art on display. This would be a disservice to the community and the potential harm is preventable with a decision against this purchase.	8/4/2021 10:25 PM
10	It gives reference to the origins of fuller lodge and the LARS.	8/4/2021 12:41 PM
11	Yes I think it is quite appropriate. It depicts the very important history of the Los Alamos Ranch School in its earliest days before the government stepped in for the sake of our country. Fuller Lodge is the iconic landmark of our community and Segler's depiction of the boys who lived here visually pulls the whole story together. This was the boys Lodge. "Boys of Pajarito Plateau" belongs here.	8/3/2021 11:09 AM

APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey


12	Any ranch school connected building	8/2/2021 9:01 PM
13	This painting should not be purchased.	8/2/2021 3:16 PM
14	As a key building in our community, I believe Fuller lodge is the best place for a painting that recognizes the history and the group that gave us that building.	8/2/2021 1:12 PM
15	Seems historical and appropriate to tie into the lodges history	8/2/2021 12:47 PM
16	It has to do with the history of the community - and Fuller Lodge in particular.	8/2/2021 12:31 PM
17	It doesn't belong anywhere	8/2/2021 6:05 AM
18	I do not support this purchase	8/1/2021 10:21 PM
19	This painting should not be purchased. The Boy Scouts have a long history of abuse and discriminatory practices. While I appreciate their role in Los Alamos history, the organization does not deserve to be idealized in any way, especially not at this price point!	8/1/2021 11:16 AM
20	If you are going to do it, it seems the right place but that is still an outrageous price.	8/1/2021 9:43 AM
21	Not enough traffic	8/1/2021 9:31 AM
22	Way too expensive	8/1/2021 9:16 AM
23	Just not sure it will get the visibility it deserves.	8/1/2021 8:54 AM
24	Seems like much to expensive of a piece for the lodge. Security would be required.	8/1/2021 8:02 AM
25	Yes, because of the origins of the Lodge.	8/1/2021 7:56 AM
26	Fuller Lodge seems like the only place it would be appropriate on display in the county.	8/1/2021 7:39 AM
27	I don't support purchasing it	8/1/2021 7:22 AM
28	Would fit in well there.	8/1/2021 7:02 AM
29	It would be a disgrace for the existing art selected to grace the lodge over the years. Such painting done in the 1950s may have had some historical significance. Today, it is just a sad example of misuse of public funding by a board not fulfilling its primary mission well - celebrate the (outdoors) arts and today's (not yesterday's) community.	8/1/2021 1:11 AM
30	Or the Posse Lodge	8/1/2021 12:37 AM
31	Boy Scouts is a like the Catholic Church now. It's unfortunate. Not sure why money is going to their official artist. I would hope that none of the money for the sale would benefit the Boy Scout foundation.	7/31/2021 10:37 PM
32	Do not purchase this.	7/31/2021 10:33 PM
33	Don't buy it	7/31/2021 10:31 PM
34	Msybe	7/31/2021 10:16 PM
35	If it were purchased, that would be an appropriate location. At the exorbitant cost, it shouldn't be purchased by our County government.	7/31/2021 9:57 PM
36	This painting is NOT appropriate for ANY location on County property.	7/31/2021 6:02 PM
37	Ranch school boys belong in Fuller Lodge	7/31/2021 4:04 PM
38	Fuller Lodge was part of the Los Alamos Ranch School, so a painting of students of the school is appropriate.	7/31/2021 12:26 PM
39	Pajarito Room or the Ante Room is best.	7/31/2021 9:30 AM
40	I do not support the expenditure of over \$68000 to purchase this piece.	7/30/2021 8:32 PM
41	Possibly	7/30/2021 6:26 PM
42	I believe we should support NM, what about a Native artist from nearby Pueblos?	7/30/2021 6:21 PM
43	This should go to the Boy Scout camp in eastern New Mexico, not in Los Alamos.	7/30/2021 11:02 AM

APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey

44	As an artist (I've been painting in oils since I was eight) I know it's never too late to revisit a piece. Maybe the artist could be decent and provide us something worthy of such prominence.	7/30/2021 12:12 AM
45	We hold pride events at Fuller lodge...let's not be blatant hypocrites.	7/29/2021 8:29 PM
46	Fuller Lodge is ok, but the historical museum should also be considered	7/29/2021 8:50 AM
47	No comment.	7/28/2021 9:50 PM
48	The appropriate location is the garbage.	7/28/2021 9:49 PM
49	These are Boys from the Ranch School. We owe the Ranch School (and these boys) a debt of gratitude for building our most iconic Community Building, Fuller Lodge.	7/28/2021 8:10 PM
50	It should not be in the Los Alamos county collection	7/28/2021 1:38 PM
51	This shows a piece of Los Alamos history. I do not think it necessarily needs to be in Fuller Lodge.	7/28/2021 12:24 PM
52	I don't see where else it could possibly go.	7/28/2021 11:50 AM
53	Yes, but NOT in the Pajarito Room	7/28/2021 11:10 AM
54	I am uncomfortable with continuing to center white experiences in our community and historical outreach, including this particular art piece.	7/28/2021 9:31 AM

Q8 An alternative location within Fuller Lodge may have been identified; the first landing of the stairs off the lobby, leading to the Fuller Lodge Offices. Would you support this location as an alternative?

Answered: 279 Skipped: 13



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	43.73%	122
No	43.01%	120
Additional Comments:	13.26%	37
TOTAL		279

#	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:	DATE
1	If we are spending 70k, I'd like It to be front and center.	8/16/2021 2:50 PM
2	For the staff to look at? Ridiculous Perhaps there's space in a restroom (or several) as rotating exhibits? No need for this and who asked the artist to paint the LA troop? They can buy it for themselves	8/11/2021 3:04 PM
3	Has the piece already been purchased? Again, it is pretty boring piece. Photographs would have served.	8/11/2021 1:43 PM
4	For that much money, I'd hope for a prominent spot—one residents and tourists alike could view. Perhaps in the Manhattan Project NHP? Appropriate (although the current venue isn't ideal).	8/8/2021 2:27 PM
5	I do not think hhis painting should be purchased thus the location is irrelevant	8/6/2021 9:36 PM
6	It's a public venue and there may be a chance that a victim of the Boy Scouts might encounter a government-sponsored piece of art on display. This would be a disservice to the community and the potential harm is preventable with a decision against this purchase.	8/4/2021 10:25 PM
7	The best location for this painting would be in Fuller Lodge. Moreover, I believe this painting would best be located above the fireplace in Fuller Lodge.	8/4/2021 7:40 PM
8	This puts it out of normal traffic patterns and not generally viewable.	8/4/2021 12:41 PM
9	This is better than locating it outside of Fuller Lodge, but seems like a less appropriate location than the original proposal, given the scale and value of the painting. It seems like it is being	8/2/2021 1:12 PM


APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey

hidden away. Perhaps it would be better to locate the painting somewhere in the tiled section of Fuller lodge that is used by most people who enter the building.

10	But the original location over the fireplace would be better. Why was the decision changed to move it elsewhere? I don't understand why this county constantly flip flops on decisions.	8/2/2021 12:31 PM
11	I do not support the purchase of this painting	8/1/2021 10:21 PM
12	I'd have to go over and look first. But, that seems, in just thinking about it, that that would be a 2nd or 3rd best location. I can't remember what's over the fireplace now.	8/1/2021 8:55 PM
13	Hidden	8/1/2021 5:14 PM
14	Not art	8/1/2021 3:14 PM
15	Seems out of the way for such an expensive piece. I like the history it portrays.	8/1/2021 12:02 PM
16	For that price, it should be placed where the most people can see it as they pass through.	8/1/2021 11:51 AM
17	no comment, let someone knowledgeable decide.	8/1/2021 9:52 AM
18	Way too expensive.	8/1/2021 9:16 AM
19	For \$70k that painting better be displayed large and in charge	8/1/2021 8:53 AM
20	Either location within Fuller Lodge is appropriate historically but the fireplace location would be most appropriate and would showcase the piece the best. The staircase location is too close to the scout photo already on display in the lobby.	8/1/2021 7:56 AM
21	I guess but I doesn't seem like many people will see it there.	8/1/2021 7:54 AM
22	Maybe. But given the cost - exorbitant - why purchase it at all if only to stick it in a corner? Better to use the money on something more appropriate.	8/1/2021 7:39 AM
23	For the price, this painting should be in a prominent location where the public routinely can enjoy it.	8/1/2021 7:02 AM
24	As previously discussed, it would be a disgraceful addition to the meaningful art displayed at Fuller Lodge representing the County/Fuller lodge history, its best artists and craftspeople. Oppenheimer was there, so was Feynman and many others who made this place exceptional. How does that reflect on them - on us as a community?	8/1/2021 1:11 AM
25	Seems like we're trying to hide it?	7/31/2021 10:22 PM
26	Please don't purchase or place anywhere in LA.	7/31/2021 10:00 PM
27	Why are we EVEN discussing this? The painting depicts "boys" who are scouts and Not At All associated with the Boys School (scholars). There is a shirtless youth in the painting. Do you really want to draw attention to the boys and shirtless youth and the Boy Scouts of America \$850,000,000. settlement of tens of thousands of sexual abuse victims?! https://www.nbcnews.com/news/us-news/boy-scouts-reach-850-million-settlement-tens-thousands-sexual-abuse-n1272955	7/31/2021 6:02 PM
28	That location has poor lighting.	7/31/2021 12:32 PM
29	The stairwell location is fine. The original proposed location above the fireplace was not.	7/31/2021 12:26 PM
30	As last resort. The painting deserves a better location, it was requested and is currently on loan to the Medici Museum of Art in Ohio hanging next to over 60 Norman Rockwell originals.	7/31/2021 9:30 AM
31	As stated, this is not at all appropriate for Los Alamos, much less the Lodge.	7/30/2021 11:02 AM
32	There is no place in our public places that this painting is anything but wrong.	7/30/2021 12:12 AM
33	Absolutely not. We hold pride events at Fuller lodge this has no place in our county art collection.	7/29/2021 8:29 PM
34	Unsure	7/29/2021 9:35 AM
35	No comment on location	7/28/2021 9:50 PM
36	Seems like a lot of money not to display it prominently	7/28/2021 8:06 PM

Q9 Do you feel that this piece would be a good fit within the Los Alamos County Public Art Collection?

Answered: 283 Skipped: 9



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	56.18%	159
No	43.82%	124
TOTAL		283

#	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:	DATE
1	But not at that price	8/19/2021 8:45 AM
2	Depressing and faces are misshapen	8/11/2021 3:04 PM
3	Who owns it? There isn't enough information here.	8/11/2021 1:43 PM
4	Very much so.	8/8/2021 2:27 PM
5	Too expensive	8/7/2021 8:36 PM
6	Too expensive	8/7/2021 6:15 PM
7	It is quite a high price for an individual piece of art. Perhaps the County has the resources to make this kind of expenditure, but there could also be quite a public outcry if a majority thought it was more expensive than they are comfortable in supporting.	8/6/2021 9:31 PM
8	Not unless the County is interested in discussing publicly suggestions that Connell was touching the young men.	8/4/2021 11:12 PM
9	This would be a disservice to the community and the potential harm is preventable with a decision against this purchase.	8/4/2021 10:25 PM
10	Its definitely a historical reference for the community.	8/4/2021 12:41 PM
11	The connection to the unique history of los alamos is excellent	8/2/2021 9:01 PM
12	As a former Boy Scout, I think it is definitely too Boy Scout-y and just looks like contrived . I understand that it may be roughly how they dressed at the LA Boys' School, but this depiction is just too set up and not very natural. Almost like a propaganda poster.	8/2/2021 2:11 PM
13	70 grand for one painting! Maybe the golf course painting isn't as bad as I thought! Obviously the county is just pissing money away at this point!	8/2/2021 1:53 PM
14	No one will see this if you place it over the stairs. This piece definitely reflects the much-	8/2/2021 12:53 AM

APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey


	overlooked history of Los Alamos.	
15	It costs way too much!	8/1/2021 11:03 PM
16	Except for the cost	8/1/2021 10:55 PM
17	Not at all	8/1/2021 10:21 PM
18	Too expensive	8/1/2021 9:49 PM
19	This painting should reside in the Los Alamos community where it can be seen	8/1/2021 4:05 PM
20	This painting should not be purchased. The Boy Scouts have a long history of abuse and discriminatory practices. While I appreciate their role in Los Alamos history, the organization does not deserve to be idealized in any way, especially not at this price point!	8/1/2021 11:16 AM
21	Most local people would immediately identify the painting with our original school at the lodge.	8/1/2021 9:52 AM
22	Too expensive	8/1/2021 9:32 AM
23	Way too expensive	8/1/2021 9:16 AM
24	It's a regional piece	8/1/2021 8:53 AM
25	Way too expensive	8/1/2021 8:21 AM
26	Way too expensive.	8/1/2021 8:02 AM
27	This piece is beautiful but when I see it it makes me feel uncomfortable. It feels like a celebration of colonization.	8/1/2021 7:54 AM
28	Do not support this purchase.	8/1/2021 7:39 AM
29	\$68,500 is too expensive for a county art collection."	8/1/2021 6:45 AM
30	Custom piece by a local nationally renown artist including a subject of our local history. Hard to imagine anything more appropriate.	8/1/2021 6:26 AM
31	Don't purchase. Way too expensive!	8/1/2021 5:53 AM
32	Absolutely NOT (cc. previous comments). I apologize to JW Segler, in advance, this not personal. Our community has such rich inheritance and pool of talents - a fiction by a non local does serve APP or the community well.	8/1/2021 1:11 AM
33	This painting is hideous and does not belong within the Los Alamos collection.	7/31/2021 11:35 PM
34	Too expensive	7/31/2021 11:32 PM
35	Seriously, \$68K for a painting? That money can't be used anywhere else??	7/31/2021 10:31 PM
36	No! Spend the money on things we need such as broadband, infrastructure improvements, maintenance of Fuller lodge, library purchases or almost anything else. Spend it on two or three pieces that could be placed more prominently than on a stairwell or other "alternate location".	7/31/2021 9:57 PM
37	\$68,500? Some people in this county don't even earn that much money. When several businesses were lost to the pandemic, the county wants to spend this kind of money? Does not seem right!	7/31/2021 9:53 PM
38	Yes, but I cannot believe the high price.	7/31/2021 9:52 PM
39	The price is insane. Also, Santa Fe and Northern New Mexico has a rich artistic community. Why spend so much money and not support local artists	7/31/2021 9:46 PM
40	The cost is ridiculous. Surely we can find a local artist that can render something that ties to the Lodge....	7/31/2021 9:36 PM
41	Hell No! Who chose this? For the love of God, we are better than this.	7/31/2021 6:02 PM
42	It is Los Alamos history	7/31/2021 4:04 PM
43	It is too specific in subject matter to be blended into the general collection	7/31/2021 12:32 PM
44	It is not by a local artist and is way too expensive.	7/30/2021 8:32 PM

APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey

45	Very pricey	7/30/2021 5:28 PM
46	I'm really not sure. I understand the hesitation people have. If it bothers people, there are probably better choices.	7/30/2021 5:19 PM
47	no opinion.	7/30/2021 5:08 PM
48	Yes but the price is unforgivable	7/30/2021 2:15 PM
49	See previous statements regarding reservations. Overpriced. Objectionable depiction. Wrong type of scouts?	7/30/2021 11:02 AM
50	This has no place in our art collection, too idolatry and idolizing a terrible and abusive institution that has left a tortured scar across the entire country... fuck this piece the same way my scout leader fucked me and countless others	7/29/2021 8:29 PM
51	Very expensive	7/29/2021 1:01 PM
52	This painting is ridiculously expensive. So, no.	7/28/2021 9:50 PM
53	Way too expensive, plus we have too many Segler pieces in LA County. Please choose another artist, please.	7/28/2021 9:29 PM
54	Love it. Plus it's painted by a local.	7/28/2021 7:05 PM
55	Seems like an expensive piece that we are struggling to find a location	7/28/2021 11:32 AM
56	Again, we should consider de-centering the white experience when we accumulate our art.	7/28/2021 9:31 AM
57	This piece is cost prohibitive. How can we approve a purchase of a painting that costs this much when there are other needs around the county? The utility lines need to be updated. The county has been putting bandages on breaks in the lines for too long.	7/28/2021 9:17 AM

Q10 Do you believe that this piece would create controversy given the connection to the Boys Scouts of America?

Answered: 268 Skipped: 24



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	38.43%	103
No	61.57%	165
TOTAL		268

#	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:	DATE
1	Maybe for the price as well	8/13/2021 4:48 AM
2	County should not be supporting the pedophile associations Horrendous for our community to display and support	8/11/2021 3:04 PM
3	Oh, yeah, that too...	8/11/2021 1:43 PM
4	It's time to rethink what Los Alamos wants to be known for. There is proof of local history everywhere. I would recommend you rethink purchasing conventional art (painting) and look into a more modern art medium. Stick with the brand of the town, "Where discoveries are made" and let go of repetitive ranch school/Manhattan era art. It is dating the town which already looks dilapidated and out of touch with technology/retail development.	8/8/2021 7:47 PM
5	Someone will always find an objection, but I think people here are smart enough to separate past from present. The presence of Scouts in Los Alamos is fact. It's historical. It happened—separate from the BSA organization today. Nuclear weapons are more controversial, yet we have a museum about them here. And statues (rightly) of Oppenheimer and Groves.	8/8/2021 2:27 PM
6	Possibly, I was a Boy Scout in Los Alamos and there were many others in the community over the years. I am familiar with some of the issues with Scouting nationally, but it seems to have been part of Los Alamos since the Ranch School days	8/7/2021 9:37 PM
7	I'm happy we are engaging in these discussions but I think many folks will feel a connection to the LA than the Boy Scouts	8/7/2021 11:22 AM
8	That's hard to say. It reflects a time BEFORE the controversy became a deep cause for concern however, there were rumors even during the Ranch School days that certain staff had perhaps acted inappropriately. So there could be current objections. I'm not able to give a yes or no definitive response on this one.	8/6/2021 9:31 PM
9	From Gore Vidal's biography: "The boys were subject to weekly physical inspections in the nurse's office by two of the masters. Connell, though, was usually there --... Oscar Steege, the	8/4/2021 11:12 PM

APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey

history master, thought "there was an erotic element in Connell's touching the boys.... That he had a sexual interest in the boys was generally recognized by us at the school.""

10	Absolutely. There is no way to predict with certainty that no harm would be done to anyone who may have been a victim and therefore the prudent thing to do is to protect at the first opportunity by not purchasing or displaying it.	8/4/2021 10:25 PM
11	Some people create controversy over anything but there shouldn't be any reason to for this.	8/4/2021 12:41 PM
12	Do you think Los Alamos created controversy because of its mission? Sometimes you take the risk simply for the sake of truth. These boys are who lived here—that is a fact. The bulk of these boys went on to become corporate business owners, respected politicians, and leaders in their communities—those are facts. I was a cub scout, boy scout, and explorer scout here in Los Alamos. That painting represents a wonderful time in the history of Los Alamos. When I was involved in scouting here in our community, I looked up to those boys as something to be revered. Their rugged strength and commitment to that lifestyle was something I admired. Let's not revise history. Let's let that story be told because it is true.	8/3/2021 11:09 AM
13	Money isn't going to scouts, so it isn't supporting them directly. It is celebrating the legacy of the ranch school.	8/3/2021 8:50 AM
14	Why is Los Alamos buying an extremely expensive ugly painting of Boy Scouts? This is not a good choice.	8/2/2021 3:16 PM
15	Again, speaking as a former Boy Scout, I don't think the boys in Boy Scouts are the problem. However, I do think this is way too boy scout-y and could be seen as a propaganda piece for BSA. It's really just bad art.	8/2/2021 2:11 PM
16	Especially with the one kid without a shirt	8/2/2021 1:53 PM
17	Fuller Lodge itself, and arguably the entire town, is connect to the Boy Scouts of America. There is no reason this should be problematic.	8/2/2021 1:12 PM
18	Maybe but it's still part of the history of the town. BSA has made HUGE strides to protect it's youth going forward. The abuse is terrible and never should have happened but unfortunately it happened in almost every organization that had mixing of children and adults - schools, churches etc.	8/2/2021 12:31 PM
19	Not any worthwhile controversy.	8/2/2021 11:29 AM
20	BSA is a part of LAC's history	8/1/2021 10:55 PM
21	Most likely it would create too much controversy	8/1/2021 10:21 PM
22	I would have thought that it would have created controversy when they were discriminating against whole sections of society. But, I think they have moved on from their hateful policies. So, I wouldn't think it would cause controversy now.	8/1/2021 8:55 PM
23	Who cares	8/1/2021 5:14 PM
24	But the price would!!!	8/1/2021 3:34 PM
25	Quite possibly, but it is a piece of history so I'm not sure the controversy is meaning ful.	8/1/2021 12:30 PM
26	Maybe	8/1/2021 12:18 PM
27	I think most of us can handle truth.	8/1/2021 12:02 PM
28	This painting should not be purchased. The Boy Scouts have a long history of abuse and discriminatory practices. While I appreciate their role in Los Alamos history, the organization does not deserve to be idealized in any way, especially not at this price point!	8/1/2021 11:16 AM
29	The Boy Scouts are still a loved institution! And these boys aren't even identified as boy scouts.	8/1/2021 9:52 AM
30	Why on earth would that be controversial?	8/1/2021 9:44 AM
31	Regardless it is a part of history of the Boys Ranch School	8/1/2021 9:43 AM
32	No. Being associated with BSA is it's main attribute.	8/1/2021 9:16 AM
33	Only if the money benefits the Boy Scouts. If it does, I would not support the purchase.	8/1/2021 8:54 AM

APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey


34	Probably, everyone is offended	8/1/2021 8:53 AM
35	We need to get over political correctness and just deal with real history in this town...	8/1/2021 8:50 AM
36	Anything can be controversial- perhaps a teaching opportunity.	8/1/2021 8:11 AM
37	We love Boy Scouts themselves.	8/1/2021 8:02 AM
38	The piece highlights an important part of Los Alamos history, and one of the first BSA troops nationally.	8/1/2021 7:56 AM
39	The Boy Scouts were not the first people here. Why not a painting of the indigenous people who this land truly belongs to?	8/1/2021 7:54 AM
40	The painter was the official BSA painter, that's the only connection. It also means that the artist is excellent and went to painstaking work recreating this piece. If you haven't already done so, listen to him speak about the process and painstaking work he did to capture the detail. There is no controversy because there is no real connection to the BSA.	8/1/2021 7:29 AM
41	No, it's history.	8/1/2021 6:45 AM
42	I was a scout, and support the scouts to this day. I don't see any redeeming value in selecting such an artwork for Fuller Lodge that has a much richer and consequential history.	8/1/2021 1:11 AM
43	Whatever issues people have with the BSA are with that organization, not with the scout troop that is depicted here. People get uptight about a lot of things, but I still think this should be in our collection.	7/31/2021 11:20 PM
44	It's a part of history, that's what matters	7/31/2021 11:01 PM
45	The subsequent apparent donation of \$68k could	7/31/2021 10:32 PM
46	Is this some kind of joke? The very existence of Los Alamos is inextricably connected to BSA Troop 22 and the Ranch School.	7/31/2021 10:22 PM
47	BSA has a problematic history and we should not be supporting their "National Artist." The organization is trying to make changes, and after they continue on that path perhaps some county support would be viable.	7/31/2021 10:00 PM
48	Doesn't for me. It is our history.	7/31/2021 9:53 PM
49	The controversy is from the absurd price.	7/31/2021 9:46 PM
50	This is another valid concern.	7/31/2021 9:36 PM
51	I do not see visually a direct connection to BSA	7/31/2021 6:54 PM
52	Controversy is OK in art. This painting would cause PTSD, and it is not appropriate. Would you hang an image of Harvey Weinstein on the wall as public art in Los Alamos County? Hopefully not. But this would be equivalent.	7/31/2021 6:02 PM
53	Yes.	7/31/2021 4:31 PM
54	Jeff Segler's appointment as official BSA Artist in the company of Norman Rockwell is an honor that Los Alamos should be proud of and we should display that art. Current Scouts in Los Alamos County are not controversial, they work hard to serve the community and learn leadership.	7/31/2021 4:04 PM
55	Yes, even historical pieces are controversial these days....	7/31/2021 12:48 PM
56	Definitely not!	7/31/2021 12:32 PM
57	Uniforms are representative of the Los Alamos Ranch School, a part of Los Alamos history. A plaque can explain its historical significance.	7/31/2021 12:26 PM
58	This is a commemorative painting to the 100th Anniversary of the Los Alamos Ranch School, it has nothing to do with the Boy Scouts of America. LARS is the reason Los Alamos National Laboratory exists.	7/31/2021 9:30 AM
59	It's part of our history, I see no controversy	7/30/2021 6:51 PM
60	Possibly	7/30/2021 6:26 PM

APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey

61	For me, at least, it is off-putting	7/30/2021 5:17 PM
62	Not for me, do not know about others. I have 3 sons that are Eagle Scouts.	7/30/2021 5:08 PM
63	No, it is context appropriate despite current controversies	7/30/2021 2:15 PM
64	I should think there would be controversy due it's depiction (shirtless boy; gay-possible identity that BSA is trying to suppress), inaccuracies (Ranch School boys), landscape location. It brings up questions about the author/artist.	7/30/2021 11:02 AM
65	It would be a constant reminder if rape, sexual assault, abuse, and would bring up PTSD in many people.	7/30/2021 1:12 AM
66	If you mean rampant pedophilia within the organization and members of our community, including myself, being disturbed that our government would place this in our publicly owned space, then yes, it would create controversy. Nubile flesh for the pedophiles and a monument to their conquests might be controversial.	7/30/2021 12:12 AM
67	Controversy means people talk to each other. Start the conversation. The Boy Scouts were real. They were history. They were a big part of original Los Alamos. Life moves on. The painting is a beautiful piece. Pair it with another if you like to keep the conversation balanced.	7/29/2021 11:43 PM
68	Only if you are dumb enough to select it.	7/29/2021 8:29 PM
69	Possibly, especially considering the enormous cost of the work	7/29/2021 9:35 AM
70	Probably, but the Boy Scouts are a part of our history here in Los Alamos. I don't love the piece, but would support it.	7/28/2021 8:26 PM
71	These are Ranch School Boys.	7/28/2021 8:10 PM
72	Maybe. Seems to me it's more about the history, and I'm not a huge fan of BSA	7/28/2021 8:06 PM
73	It's part of the history of our area. The organization has come under scrutiny recently but what Boy Scouts stands for in general is admirable. I don't think an entire organization should be villainized by a few bad actors (which are present in other organizations, companies around the world as well).	7/28/2021 7:05 PM
74	And why is one of them not wearing a shirt?	7/28/2021 1:55 PM
75	This piece should not be in the Los Alamos county collection	7/28/2021 1:38 PM
76	I believe there may be some controversy but Troop 22 is an important part of Los Alamos history and should be recognized for all of the positive contributions they have made to the community.	7/28/2021 12:24 PM
77	Possibly	7/28/2021 11:32 AM
78	There is no need to glorify an organization with a long history of abuse, racism and promotion of nationalism in a town that already centers such topics in abundance.	7/28/2021 9:31 AM
79	The ties/history of the Boy Scouts to the Ranch School is part of our history. If people have a problem, teach them the history instead of caving to their whimsy.	7/28/2021 9:31 AM

Q11 Do you like the painting titled "Boys of Pajarito Plateau"? (refer to picture above)

Answered: 285 Skipped: 7



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	62.46%	178
No	37.54%	107
TOTAL		285

Q12 Any additional comments you would like to provide about "Boys of Pajarito Plateau", by Jeff Segler

Answered: 172 Skipped: 120

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	TOO EXPENSIVE	8/19/2021 9:33 PM
2	Beautiful painting, but too much to pay using public funds. Let Segler sell it to a private collector.	8/19/2021 8:45 AM
3	Nope	8/19/2021 5:56 AM
4	Maybe a bit pricey -- but a good piece.	8/18/2021 1:34 PM
5	I appreciate its large size and being an oil painting. I'm sure it's interesting to look at.	8/16/2021 2:50 PM
6	It's extremely expensive. It should only be purchased if it will be displayed in a high traffic area. Please do not spend this much money on something that will go in a stairwell!	8/16/2021 9:55 AM
7	I think this piece is not an appropriate County aquisition.	8/14/2021 4:37 PM
8	Absolutely skip this one. Yuck and perverse given the seedy history of molestation	8/11/2021 3:04 PM
9	Thank you for asking me for my opinion.	8/11/2021 1:43 PM
10	Please do not buy this.	8/8/2021 7:47 PM
11	Controversy can arise about anything at any time. We should not allow this to cause us to attempt to erase historical facts. Events have happened. People have come and gone. Taking down or removing structures from our sight does not make things go away. We need reminders for future generations to not repeat mistakes and to honor achievements.	8/8/2021 12:38 PM
12	na	8/8/2021 11:37 AM
13	The boys who attended the Boys Ranch School were Boys Scouts. That is enough information to go ahead and purchase this historically accurate painting.	8/7/2021 10:48 PM
14	A great piece depicting los Alamos and Los Alamos history	8/7/2021 9:23 PM
15	Too expensive	8/7/2021 8:36 PM
16	It's just too much- we should be using these funds to invest in making Los Alamos more livable and affordable to young families instead of seeing them go, and tax their tax dollars, to Santa Fe and espanola	8/7/2021 1:04 PM
17	It's showing a part of LA history and it's a great painting although kind of expensive.	8/6/2021 10:47 PM
18	Perhaps it is time to balance the Los Alamos public art collection with some more contemporary work.	8/6/2021 9:36 PM
19	It's a rather skillful piece of art, but does it align with the budget set aside for Art in Public Places or would it diminish another line item if it were purchased.	8/6/2021 9:31 PM
20	The County should say "No!" to representations that celebrate Boy Scouts, and the victimization of these young men.	8/4/2021 11:12 PM
21	The work is really nicely done. Unfortunately, the subject matter has had it's heyday and the turn of events has been unfavorable due to actions and responses or lack thereof. The price is prohibitive if any other reason is needed to reject this painting.	8/4/2021 10:25 PM
22	This painting captures the rugged nature of the plateau along with its vastness in all its majesty. It also shows the strength and spirit of adventure of the boys who explore and care for the land around them.	8/4/2021 7:40 PM
23	Personally, I don't like the painting much, it's not my style. But, outside of that, it's nicely	8/4/2021 1:21 PM

APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey

done, it fits into the charge of Art in Public Places and Mr. Segler has been waiting for years to get this resolved and presented publicly. I think this needs to be in Fuller Lodge and be done with. I do think the cost is crazy, but again, without knowing how much is in the fund...

24	It would make a good conversation starter to have hanging in the main hall and would get more viewing access.	8/4/2021 12:41 PM
25	I like a large painting that can be enjoyed even if you're not specifically looking at it.	8/3/2021 8:50 AM
26	No	8/2/2021 8:26 PM
27	I know all three boys depicted in the painting, and where it is hung and it's meaning are so beneficial to all of Scout Troops in Los Alamos.	8/2/2021 4:16 PM
28	This represents an important part of Los Alamos history.	8/2/2021 3:43 PM
29	Please do not spend our Art funding on this ugly and uninspiring piece. It is outrageously priced and so very awkward. Please find a piece of art that enhances its location to purchase instead.	8/2/2021 3:16 PM
30	Looks like the cover of the Boy Scout Handbook. Blech.	8/2/2021 2:11 PM
31	Too much time is being spent contemplating and debating the painting. It's a beautiful representation of a major part of Los Alamos' history. Just hang it up.	8/2/2021 1:12 PM
32	Get it	8/2/2021 1:08 PM
33	Too expensive.	8/2/2021 12:22 PM
34	Price us too high	8/2/2021 5:07 AM
35	This is a beautiful painting that reflects a part of Los Alamos' history that is often overlooked. Troop 22 is still active in Los Alamos. It is the longest running troop west of the Mississippi. There have been no scandals with either of the Boy Scout troops in Los Alamos.	8/2/2021 12:53 AM
36	It is a great painting, but that much money could be used much better!	8/1/2021 11:03 PM
37	Too costly	8/1/2021 10:55 PM
38	Too pricey!	8/1/2021 10:51 PM
39	The painting is definitely too expensive and that would create a lot of controversy for this piece	8/1/2021 10:21 PM
40	If the county is going to choose between two different pieces of art to buy i think it would be more appropriate to buy a local artist work first.	8/1/2021 9:49 PM
41	I like it very much. It is so representative of the history of the town, as well as showcasing the geology, the Chamisa, the authentic colors. The realism of the boys, the horses, and their gear is stunning. Please note that your text states "Boys Scouts." It is "Boy Scouts" before you get a brass plaque made.	8/1/2021 8:55 PM
42	Boy Scouts of America is filing bankruptcy because they had to pay off so many boys' families because those boys were abused in BSA. I don't think that's a good fit for our County. Furthermore, that is way too much money for a piece of public art. That money could be spent supporting programs that help our community.	8/1/2021 6:59 PM
43	Too expensive and Boy Scouts are controversial.	8/1/2021 5:21 PM
44	TOO EXPENSIVE!!!!!!! Public art below 10k please unless it is GIGANTIC and very very special. This is just too expensive.	8/1/2021 5:14 PM
45	None	8/1/2021 4:32 PM
46	I think this work really speaks to the history of Los Alamos	8/1/2021 4:14 PM
47	Just that although it is a good fit, it is too expensive	8/1/2021 3:34 PM
48	Do you pay full price for these things?!?	8/1/2021 3:34 PM
49	This is a bad use of funds .	8/1/2021 3:14 PM
50	This is entirely too expensive for the painting	8/1/2021 2:49 PM

APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey

51	Too expensive, not a local artist, no diversity in an indigenous population region.	8/1/2021 2:20 PM
52	It's an absolutely gorgeous piece. Jeff is very talented and does wonderful work.	8/1/2021 1:54 PM
53	It echoes pictures I have seen of historical photos of young men in this area. It's pretty pricey. Once again I have no idea how art is priced. I assume it is an original and not a print.	8/1/2021 12:30 PM
54	Its beautiful but wow the cost is disproportionate to art of equal quality, representation, and local artistry.	8/1/2021 12:18 PM
55	That price is outrageous!	8/1/2021 11:53 AM
56	It would create controversy, but at that time there was no obvious controversy. My first thought was to find something much less expensive and to also get something about the women of Los Alamos.	8/1/2021 11:51 AM
57	The controversial reputation of the painter is problematic.	8/1/2021 11:43 AM
58	This painting should not be purchased. The Boy Scouts have a long history of abuse and discriminatory practices. While I appreciate their role in Los Alamos history, the organization does not deserve to be idealized in any way, especially not at this price point!	8/1/2021 11:16 AM
59	Too much money for the county to be wasting on art.	8/1/2021 10:42 AM
60	Too expensive. Don't buy it	8/1/2021 10:32 AM
61	Is the price similar to the painter's other works? If so, I approve.	8/1/2021 9:52 AM
62	It is over priced. I think no more than \$10,000.	8/1/2021 9:44 AM
63	I think there are a lot of other things the money could be better spent on. I would prefer something that fosters and highlights a wider array of local artists than spending a large amount on one painting.	8/1/2021 9:43 AM
64	Nice painting	8/1/2021 9:43 AM
65	While I like the painting, and Los Alamos has an early history with scouting, there is so much controversy with BSA, I'd rather a different painting/subject be chosen. Also, I think it's too pricey.	8/1/2021 9:32 AM
66	Maybe place a placard next to it explaining the current controversy and why the painting is historically important	8/1/2021 9:31 AM
67	It is a beautiful piece, very well done and amazing attention to detail. However, 68,000 is a ridiculous price to pay for a painting and I feel that the county could put that money to much better use.	8/1/2021 9:31 AM
68	Way too expensive	8/1/2021 9:16 AM
69	Cost is way too high for the County to be spending on art!	8/1/2021 8:58 AM
70	Seems pretty expensive, but I don't price art.	8/1/2021 8:54 AM
71	Can you spend \$70 k on something else please?! That's a years salary	8/1/2021 8:53 AM
72	Too expensive!	8/1/2021 8:29 AM
73	Do not spend that much money, it is not a prudent use of funds. Get the Sec Sandoval painting. I like this painting well enough but not for the price	8/1/2021 8:21 AM
74	Too costly	8/1/2021 8:11 AM
75	\$68000 is a frivolous use of the county's money. Absolutely outrageous cost.	8/1/2021 8:04 AM
76	I love the artist's talents but the price is outrageous. No way to justify that price.	8/1/2021 8:02 AM
77	I like that it is painted by the national BSA artist.	8/1/2021 7:56 AM
78	This painting celebrates the toxic rugged individualism that continues to oppress indigenous people today. Please do not further the status of Los alamos as a town that is full of itself and ignorant of the colonial damage to the surrounding Pueblos and sovereign nations.	8/1/2021 7:54 AM
79	Too expensive. Way too expensive	8/1/2021 7:44 AM

APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey

80	This was approved many years ago and again just recently. I'm unsure why there are continued surveys and questions about this. Buy the painting. Hang the painting.	8/1/2021 7:29 AM
81	Well you didn't ask about prices. This one is quite expensive.	8/1/2021 7:02 AM
82	I like that it reflects the history of the area. I'm not a fan of BSA but the history of the area is important to recognize.	8/1/2021 7:01 AM
83	Too much money.	8/1/2021 6:45 AM
84	Waste of money	8/1/2021 6:19 AM
85	Way too expensive of a purchase on artwork.	8/1/2021 6:03 AM
86	The price is too high for this piece. You could buy or commission a lot of similar art for this price.	8/1/2021 5:51 AM
87	It just seems like a very expensive piece to purchase for the county. That money could be spent on something else	8/1/2021 5:33 AM
88	Cost way to much	8/1/2021 3:55 AM
89	Too expensive	8/1/2021 2:59 AM
90	Wrong choice, for all the wrong reasons, at the wrong location. Considering the \$ cost, such an amount could be redirected to an all inclusive cultural statement the community can enjoy - outdoors.	8/1/2021 1:11 AM
91	Pricey...	8/1/2021 12:58 AM
92	\$68.5 k for a single painting is extremely expensive, and quite infuriating after the year people had. In the meantime, we can't even watch a movie in town anymore... How about using "art funds" to help attract new tenants for the movie theater, or run it directly, even at reduced capacity? A painting doesn't do much for a town, a movie theater is essential for the access to cultural pieces.	8/1/2021 12:12 AM
93	None of Segler's other paintings are this expensive. That should have been a sign to the Art in Public Places board that Segler is attempting to gouge Los Alamos County.	7/31/2021 11:35 PM
94	Not worth the money	7/31/2021 11:32 PM
95	This discussion has been going on far too long. The decision needs to be made so the APPB can move on.	7/31/2021 11:20 PM
96	No	7/31/2021 11:05 PM
97	Too pricey. Compare it to price of his other paintings.	7/31/2021 10:41 PM
98	It's not a great painting, and not because of the Boy Scouts affiliation. But I was an art history major so I am an admitted snob about art. The composition is stilted, the horse on the left is bordering on looking like a cartoon, and the lack of depth and foreshortening of the background gives an amateurish feel to the whole thing.	7/31/2021 10:37 PM
99	Too pricey. Could be stolen	7/31/2021 10:35 PM
100	It's too much to pay for one painting	7/31/2021 10:35 PM
101	The cost is more than the average teacher's salary in Los Alamos. The price and the connection with BSA is disgusting. Why not fund some youth art programs to study local history and produce public art?	7/31/2021 10:33 PM
102	Beautiful piece, nice size. Cost seems high, but buying it from the BSA seems somewhat problematic	7/31/2021 10:32 PM
103	This piece is ridiculously expensive. Put the money elsewhere. Let some rich dude buy it for their private collection, public institutions should not be spending this kind of money on art.	7/31/2021 10:31 PM
104	There was not a question about cost related to this painting, \$68,500 for a painting is way to expensive.	7/31/2021 10:25 PM
105	Price is too much.	7/31/2021 10:22 PM

APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey

106	It's too expensive despite the relevance to local history. The money would be better spent support children's programs or other community programs that more directly benefit county residents.	7/31/2021 10:14 PM
107	It is pretty but the county should not be spending that much money on a painting, there are much better uses for the funds or could put more art in other places by multiple artists for the same costs	7/31/2021 10:08 PM
108	The price is outrageous for the type of work. Not worth one painting. Supporting more artist with that money would be more logical	7/31/2021 10:06 PM
109	This price seems excessive. I am sorry but Sec Sandoval has been a local and state (if not world) renowned artist. We need to spend 70K on things that are focused on more community involvement. NOT one piece of art that only some will enjoy.	7/31/2021 10:03 PM
110	way too much money for what it is	7/31/2021 9:58 PM
111	Don't buy it. We're being gouged with that price. Council are stewards of the county dollars. Spending on this would be a miscarriage of their duty to those who elected them.	7/31/2021 9:57 PM
112	I like the piece very much, but the price is very steep.	7/31/2021 9:54 PM
113	Too expensive at this time.	7/31/2021 9:53 PM
114	Seriously overpriced.	7/31/2021 9:52 PM
115	It is a beautiful painting, and the subject of the painting is perfect for Los Alamos. However, that is a ridiculously high price. I think another piece should be chosen so that those tens of thousands of dollars can go toward promoting small business and adventure tourism in the county.	7/31/2021 9:52 PM
116	See above	7/31/2021 9:51 PM
117	This art does not appeal to me in the least. And it's way too expensive.	7/31/2021 9:49 PM
118	This painting is WAY too expensive	7/31/2021 9:48 PM
119	Paying that much for a simple painting of Boy Scouts in a canyon is a waste of tax payer money and gives all efforts for "public art" a bad name.	7/31/2021 9:46 PM
120	I like the painting but I think the county is not being responsible to consider a painting of this price. In addition they obviously (by previous questions) think the artist and relation to Boy Scouts may be an issue for some. I would propose to search for other paintings with same theme.	7/31/2021 9:45 PM
121	\$68k is outrageous!! Shouldn't the county spend our tax dollars more wisely?	7/31/2021 9:43 PM
122	No	7/31/2021 9:41 PM
123	The price seems too high for public funds, given the possibility of controversy, and the choice to place the painting in a place that the public cannot usually have access to see.	7/31/2021 9:37 PM
124	NA	7/31/2021 9:36 PM
125	Incredible piece likely to gain in value as well.	7/31/2021 7:15 PM
126	This has great detail and a great fit for the community.	7/31/2021 6:54 PM
127	I am not sure how this will all unfold, but our tax money should NOT be spent on an overpriced, mediocre, inappropriate painting that has absolutely nothing to do with the history of Los Alamos County.	7/31/2021 6:02 PM
128	Just give it up. Stay away anything that deals with Scouts.	7/31/2021 4:47 PM
129	I really love this painting, it reminds me of the ranch school boys and that period of Los Alamos' history. It belongs in Fuller Lodge.	7/31/2021 4:04 PM
130	excellent artwork by a famous artist who lives and works in Los Alamos. This is part of our history, not about current politics about BSA. Get the painting and hang it in Fuller Lodge.	7/31/2021 3:08 PM
131	It is extremely expensive and I do not support the county paying for such an extravagant art piece.	7/31/2021 12:48 PM

APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey

132	The Boy Scouts were an honorable society in those days, what has gone on lately shouldn't have anything to do with it!	7/31/2021 12:22 PM
133	Additional significance is that it was painted by the current National Artist for the Boy Scouts of America, only the third ever. Norman Rockwell held the position for the 1st 60 years of the BSA, Joseph Csatari for the next 40 years and now Jeff Segler.	7/31/2021 9:30 AM
134	That's a lot of tax money to pay for a painting. Why not commission a local artist to do one for \$5k	7/31/2021 6:30 AM
135	Price is very high	7/30/2021 10:42 PM
136	too expensive ..use local artists	7/30/2021 8:32 PM
137	None	7/30/2021 6:57 PM
138	That's a lot of money!	7/30/2021 6:26 PM
139	If they were remembrances of actual people who lived here.	7/30/2021 6:21 PM
140	No	7/30/2021 5:45 PM
141	Skilled work. Wish the center of interest boy had a shirt on. Deflects interest from rest of painting.	7/30/2021 5:08 PM
142	No	7/30/2021 4:25 PM
143	Price = no	7/30/2021 2:15 PM
144	Beautiful painting-love the boys . So realistic- love their expressions! The colors he used are wonderful!!	7/30/2021 11:44 AM
145	The Council made a huge mistake. Rescind your decision, or simply reject this from consideration.	7/30/2021 11:02 AM
146	No	7/30/2021 7:53 AM
147	Stop romanticizing child abuse and abusive institutions. It's way way too expensive and outdated. We need to move on to more intelligent art pieces.	7/30/2021 1:12 AM
148	Jeff should know better. Shame on anyone who thinks otherwise.	7/30/2021 12:12 AM
149	I wish the county would actually spend money on the boys in our county.	7/30/2021 12:07 AM
150	An original Jeff Segler of our special place. What a great piece.	7/29/2021 11:43 PM
151	This is a beautiful painting that deserves the attention it was ment for.	7/29/2021 10:59 PM
152	It is a beautiful painting.	7/29/2021 10:38 PM
153	Absolutely should not be part of our collection, also why do you mention the cost of the other piece but not this one which is at least 500% higher?	7/29/2021 8:29 PM
154	Seems extremely expensive, but it is very nice and appropriate for Los Alamos	7/29/2021 1:36 PM
155	It's unfortunate that this work, representing an historical aspect of Los Alamos, is so expensive and not actually created by a local artist. Any potential controversy with BSA aside, that money would better serve supporting local artists.	7/29/2021 9:35 AM
156	The purchase price seems grossly disproportionate to the archive pricing listed for other Jeff Segler works. I am opposed to purchase of this work AT THIS PRICE. Leaving aside price, the photos give the impression of a good work and great subject matter.	7/28/2021 11:39 PM
157	It reminds me of Texas rednecks, not something our town should be affiliating with.	7/28/2021 10:16 PM
158	This another boring painting and it is way over priced. Really, I think you can find something better.	7/28/2021 9:50 PM
159	Too much money!	7/28/2021 9:46 PM
160	See my comments above.	7/28/2021 9:29 PM
161	Beautiful Painting. Much more appropriate than the painting of Maroon Bells, CO that is	7/28/2021 8:10 PM

APP Potential Public Art Acquisition Survey

	hanging in the Lodge.	
162	What about something that doesn't feature more white men?	7/28/2021 8:06 PM
163	No.	7/28/2021 7:07 PM
164	Did not ask about cost in-this survey. Very expensive and artist painted it and now is expecting County to buy.	7/28/2021 3:42 PM
165	I personally think the painting is tacky. I wouldnt buy for my house and certainly wouldn't purchase to display in Los ALamos.	7/28/2021 3:42 PM
166	Do not buy that painting. Do not take it, even if it is donated.	7/28/2021 1:55 PM
167	This piece SHOULD NOT BE in the public art collection.	7/28/2021 1:38 PM
168	Boy scouts are an important part of the history of Los Alamos.	7/28/2021 11:32 AM
169	It is SO expensive!!!! Why so much? The Sec Sandoval painting is smaller, yes, but not that much smaller, and is WAY less expensive? What market is the artist basing his price off of? I am an artist, and I am all for valuing art and paying what it's worth. I am in full support of paying market price. But this isn't Santa Fe. That is a crazy price! Personally, I think Sec Sandoval's painting is too inexpensive (under market value), and this is WAY over. Now, if it was painted in the 1920s, then I would agree, but this is a new painting, and needs to be priced appropriately.	7/28/2021 11:10 AM
170	Boys of Troop 22.	7/28/2021 11:05 AM
171	I wish the one scout had a shirt painted on ...	7/28/2021 9:31 AM
172	The cost of this painting is staggering. I think the county has better use for those funds than to sink it into a painting. In many parts of the country, you can buy a house for that amount of money.	7/28/2021 9:17 AM

The Art in Public Places Board is conducting a survey for 2 potential pieces of art: "Golf Course", by Secundino Sandoval

"Boys of Pajarito Plateau", by Jeff Segler

<https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/FPNX2VI>

Please take a moment to give us your feedback. If you aren't able to, you can also visit the APP Tent that will be placed at the July 30th Los Alamos Summer Concert.

Los Alamos County Community Services Department

Government Organization

[Learn More](#)

6,943

People Reached



View Promotion

2020

[36 Comments](#)[13 Shares](#)

Los Alamos County Community Services Department

[Like](#)


[Comment](#)[Share](#)

[Comments](#)

[All Comments](#)

2,551

Engagements



- [Coleen Meyer](#) "Golf Course" more closely reflects LA, then and now. · [2w](#)
- [Judith McKenzie](#) I would love you to choose the Sec Sandoval picture! He is so much a part of our community and who would not love a Sec Sandoval painting? · [2w](#)

- [Lisa Gonzales](#) [Judith McKenzie](#) I completely agree with you! · [2w](#)
- [Brenda Kelley](#) The survey itself has some weirdness. I couldn't tell why some of the "OK" buttons were there such as the two beneath each image of the paintings. It might be better organized to have a question per screen and an "OK" or "Next" button in order to advance to the next question. · [2w](#)
- [Tina Weil Moore](#) Dustin Cummins brought up the point that the Segler painting questions were not about cost but the placement of the painting. The survey mentions the initial suggestion was above the lobby fireplace at Fuller Lodge, the Council wants to place it somewhere else outside of Fuller Lodge, and there is a possible second location in the stairwell/landing in Fuller Lodge. Was there some issue with the initial placement? · [1w](#)
 - [Brenda Kelley](#) [Tina Weil Moore](#) My take was that if it's too prominently placed and the purchase is potentially viewed as insensitive due to the Boy Scouts inappropriate contact or molestations, it could trigger a visitor in the building or leave the impression of approval by the Board.

As a Lifetime Scout and a Troop parent, Den Mother and Assistant Scoutmaster duty that was cut short with high-risk leukemia in our Wolf Scout, I would like The Scouts by virtue of being the topic of the work and formally associated through the painter, to face any accountability for inappropriate contact types of harm, learn a lesson as much as teach one and commit to a harm-free outcome. · [2d](#)
- [Erin Tatge](#) This survey is missing some really important background information- what is the mission of the board and how do these paintings fit in to that? What else might the money be used for? What is the county council's role in deciding display location? I've seen comments implying both artists have connections to the region- what are they? Would acquiring the more expensive painting prevent other purchases for the year? For several years? · [2w](#)

[Los Alamos County Community Services Department](#)[Erin Tatge](#) Artist connections: Mr. Sandoval is a Los Alamos resident. Mr. Segler is a local artist located in Santa Fe, and is the National Artist for the Boys Scouts of America.

The Art in Places Board is responsible for recommending to Council the acquisition and maintenance of all works of art in the Los Alamos County Public Art Collection. There are approx. 172 pieces within the collection. · [2w](#)

- [Erin Tatge](#) Is there a more specific scope to "all works of art?" For example, is there supposed to be a focus on diverse art, local artists, art reflective of the community, art appropriate to a specific display location, or just art somebody likes? It seems like this survey can't get a lot out of the results other than "does the respondent like it?" Including purchase price with no context isn't helpful.
- I say this as a big fan of art in public places, and of supporting artists in their work. I have no problem with money being spent on art and think it improves the community in a variety of ways. But this survey was really frustrating. Questions like "Do you think "Golf Course" would be a good fit within the Los Alamos County Art Collection?" can't be answered without context. · [2w](#)
- [Erin Tatge](#) There's no need to respond to me in this thread, just something that would be helpful in future surveys if you're interested in informed responses. · [2w](#)
 - [Erin Tatge](#) Writing good surveys is really hard. · [2w](#)
 - [Karen O'Keefe Doebling](#) from the county's website about boards and commissions: "To encourage the integration of art into the architecture of municipal structures, increase the general public awareness of art, to visually enhance the community, to capture a part of our history for future generations, to promote the establishment of a collection of art with the works of artists who live or work, or who have lived or worked in Los Alamos County having a priority. The Art in Public Places fund is created for the express purposes of acquiring,

installing and maintaining county-owned works of art and for the administrative support, cataloging, display and use attendant to the County's ownership of the works of art. The account shall include one percent of the budget of any capital improvement project and one-half of one percent of the budget of any such road project." · [2w](#)

- [Erin Tatge](#) [Karen O'Keefe Doebling](#) I'd love to see them include that in future surveys! 😊 I'm sure I could get all my questions answered by emailing the board members, or the county council, or looking on the county website, or visiting every public place where there is art... my point is that this information should be included if they want informed responses. Asking people to take a survey and also expecting them to do all the background research themselves is naive. · [2w](#)
- [Devon Noel Lee](#) [Erin Tatge](#) from what I've gathered, any county building project must include a percentage of that budget toward purchasing art. Thus this board has a lot of \$\$ to spend. 🙄 Just a little factoid that I found interesting. · [3d](#)
- [Erin Tatge](#) [Devon Noel Lee](#) my point is that it should be in the survey. · [2d](#)
- [Devon Noel Lee](#) [Erin Tatge](#) agreed. · [2d](#)
- [Christy Mechel Patterson](#) Serious question here. Why does "Boys of the Pajarito Plateau" cost \$68,000? What about it makes it cost so much? · [1w](#)
 - [Brenda Kelley](#) [Christy Mechel Patterson](#) When an artist becomes well known or is awarded a position with broad recognition, their work will command higher prices. · [1w](#)
 - [Tina Weil Moore](#) [Christy Mechel Patterson](#), a lot of money to hang in a stairwell. 🙄 · [1w](#)
 - [Liz May Martineau](#) [Tina Weil Moore](#) Which is why the main part of Fuller Lodge is the best location. The "stairwell" is a large open stairway. If it goes in there, it would be replacing a painting of Maroon Bells, CO. I much prefer a NM painting. · [1w](#)
 - [Tina Weil Moore](#) [Liz May Martineau](#), the survey wasn't worded well. Are you talking about the original stairs to the second floor? It sounded like the stairs to the Historical Society offices, which made me support above the fireplace in the "new" lobby area. · [1w](#)
 - [Liz May Martineau](#) [Tina Weil Moore](#) They are talking about the stairs to the offices. I, personally, think the stairs to the 2nd floor are nice, because it will lead interested people to the exhibits upstairs. There are numerous fireplaces where it could hang too. I can think of 4 different fireplaces. · [1w](#)
 - [Tina Weil Moore](#) [Liz May Martineau](#), agreed. Hanging the painting either above a fireplace, which naturally draws the eye, or in the landing up to the second floor (where the chair is) where it would also entice visitors up to see the recreated rooms would be great. · [1w](#)
- [Stephanie Haaser](#) The scope from the boards makes it sound like the intent is to use the funds in connection with the capital improvement projects. Are there specific improvement projects directly associated with the locations where these artworks are to be placed?

I thought there was at the golf course, is there a capital improvement project at Fuller Lodge? · [2w](#)

[Los Alamos County Community Services Department](#) [Stephanie Haaser](#) 1% of any capital improvement project & .5% of road improvements fund the Art in Public Places program. Art does not have to be placed at the capital improvement project itself, but we do try if appropriate. However, any art purchased with Art in Public Places funds must reside on Public (Los Alamos County) land/property.

So, Los Alamos Golf Course is a County facility and so is Fuller Lodge.

I hope that answers your question. · [2w](#)

- [Stephanie Haaser](#) [Los Alamos County Community Services Department](#), sort of -- I was curious if Fuller Lodge has a current capitol improvement project. · [2w](#)

[Los Alamos County Community Services Department](#) [Stephanie Haaser](#) It does not. · [2w](#)

- [Liz May Martineau](#) [Stephanie Haaser](#) I would add that, as an old building, it regularly has updates, preservation, etc. I think the next project is coming up this Fall. · [2w](#)
- [Dustin Cummins](#) \$68,000 for a painting of Boy Scouts???? · [2w](#)
 - [Tasha Hammond](#) Dustin Cummins Agreed! I love art as much as the next person, but put that money to good use helping our small businesses or promoting tourism. · [2w](#)
 - [Celina Wentworth Morgan](#) Dustin Cummins putting the \$68K aside, the boys of Pajarito plateau aren't just scouts, they are the ranch school boys. All ranch school boys had to complete the Boy Scout first class rank before they could graduate. It was the ranch school that chartered Troop 22, a Troop which has been continuously chartered for over 100 years now, and is the third oldest continuously chartered Troop in the US. So not just Boy Scouts, but actually a deep part of the history of Los Alamos, Fuller Lodge and bathtub row. I just thought you might enjoy the importance of the history. · [2w](#)
 - [Lisa Gonzales](#) [Celina Wentworth Morgan](#) Do you know if any of the ranch school boys ever stayed in Los Alamos or came back to give to our community? The ranch school is part of Los Alamos history but there is much more that is often overlooked or appreciated. Sec Sandoval has been a part of this community for far longer. My direct ancestors have also been a large part of the history as they were original homesteaders, and we are still here. My point is I would much rather see art from a local contributing member of Los Alamos. · [2w](#)
 - [Celina Wentworth Morgan](#) [Lisa Gonzales](#) Los Alamos has a history that is greater than any one group, and I feel that all these groups are of value. I'm not attempting to argue which piece of art should be favored but was hoping to draw attention to the history associated with the boys of Pajarito plateau painting, since it is so much more than just Boy Scouts.

As far as preferences, I'm not sure the country survey has put these two into competition? It seems like they are considering both and not either or. But maybe I'm wrong? · [2w](#)

[Los Alamos County Community Services Department](#) [Celina Wentworth Morgan](#) You are correct; this is not an Either/Or survey. This is an information and opinion gathering survey. · [2w](#)

- [Dustin Cummins](#) [Celina Wentworth Morgan](#) I totally agree that the Boys School was a great part of Los Alamos history and should be commemorated. However, the survey for the \$2,400 painting was concerned with the price, while the \$68,000 painting survey was concerned most with where in Fuller Lodge to put the painting. But if the money is allocated and needs to be spent... · [2w](#)
- [Karen Knoerr Wray](#) [Dustin Cummins](#) thank you for pointing out the price issue. · [2w](#)



County of Los Alamos

BCC Meeting Minutes

Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

1000 Central Avenue
Los Alamos, NM 87544

Kenyon (Father Theophan) Mackey, Chair; Jennifer Baker, Vice-Chair; Abigayle Cutting-Smith; and Alissa Grissom, Member's

Thursday, September 23, 2021

5:30 PM

Meeting will be conducted remotely.

Meeting will be conducted remotely.

You are invited to a Zoom webinar.

When: Sep 23, 2021 05:30 PM Mountain Time (US and Canada)

Topic: APP Board Meeting

Please click the link below to join the webinar:

<https://us06web.zoom.us/j/85401782397>

Or One tap mobile :

US: +12532158782,,85401782397# or +13462487799,,85401782397#

Or Telephone:

Dial(for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location):

US: +1 253 215 8782 or +1 346 248 7799 or +1 720 707 2699 or +1 301 715 8592 or +1 312

626 6799 or +1 646 558 8656

Webinar ID: 854 0178 2397

International numbers available: <https://us06web.zoom.us/j/85401782397>

1. **CALL TO ORDER**

Present 4 - Board Member Baker, Board Member Mackey, Board Member Cutting-Smith and Board Member Grissom

2. **PUBLIC COMMENT**

No public comment.

3. **BUSINESS**

- A. [14856-21](#) Approval of Minutes from the July 22, 2021 Meeting

Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

Attachments: [A - July 22, 2021 Meeting Minutes](#)

Minutes approved.

accepted

B. [14857-21](#) Leisure Lagoon Potential Art

Presenters: Theophan Mackey

Member Mackey updated the Board on the art for the Leisure Lagoon. Katherine Hudspeth updated the Board on some art specifics the artist gave for the Leisure Lagoon. The board will need to get public comment. The Board wants to select artist, Amanda Gaffe. Approved 4-0.

accepted

C. [14858-21](#) "Golf Course" by Secundino Sandoval

Presenters: Katherine Hudspeth

Attachments: [C - Facebook Comments](#)
[B - Public Comments](#)
[A - "Golf Course"](#)

Katherine Hudspeth updated the Board on the public comment taken for "Golf Course". It was overall positive feedback for the piece. Discussion was had. Approved 4-0.

accepted

D. [14859-21](#) "Boys of Pajarito Plateau"

Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

Attachments: [B - Facebook Comments](#)
[A - Public Comments](#)

Member Mackey updated the Board on the public comment on the "Boys of Pajarito" art piece. The comments were very mixed. Discussion was had. Baker moved not to purchase the Segler painting, "Boys of Pajarito". Baker moved that Art in Public Places Board not purchase the art, the Jeff Seglger painting the "Boys of Pajarito Plateau". Approved 3-0.

approved as amended

E. [14862-21](#) Industrial Machine Maintenance

Presenters: Katherine Hudspeth

Katherine Hudspeth updated the Board on the "Industrial Machine" maintenance. It was under a service agreement that expired in 2015. A new agreement was discussed. Discussion was had. Approved 4-0.

accepted

F. [14895-21](#) Indoor Art Plaques

Presenters: Katherine Hudspeth and Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

Katherine Hudspeth updated the Board on the indoor plaques on existing art and what her plan is on replacing and adding plaques. Discussion was had. A cost of \$1,000 for the plaques was discussed. Approved 4-0.

accepted



County of Los Alamos

1000 Central Avenue
Los Alamos, NM 87544

BCC Meeting Minutes

Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

*Catherine Ozment, Chair; Jennifer Baker, Vice-Chair; Peter Carson; Abigayle Cutting-Smith;
and Kenyon (Father Theophan) Mackey, Members*

Thursday, November 19, 2020

5:30 PM

Due to COVID-19 Concerns, the meeting
will be conducted remotely.

Please click the link below to join the webinar:

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89122703550>

Or iPhone one-tap :

US: +12532158782,,89122703550# or +13462487799,,89122703550#

Or Telephone:

Dial(for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location):

US: +1 253 215 8782 or +1 346 248 7799 or +1 669 900 6833 or +1 301 715 8592 or
+1 312 626 6799 or +1 929 205 6099

Webinar ID: 891 2270 3550

International numbers available: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89122703550>

1. CALL TO ORDER

Time - 1:33

Present 4 - Board Member Carson, Board Member Baker, Board Member
Ozment and Board Member Cutting-Smith

Absent 1 - Board Member Mackey

2. PUBLIC COMMENT

Mark Rayburn of Los Alamos and runs Rayburn Design and Pajarito Press made a public comment regarding the Jeff Siegler "Boys of Pajarito Plateau" project and it's history. He gave a chronology on facts about this piece. He is in support of the project and was disturbed by the negative vote on the project. He is willing to help find alternative locations.

Jeff Siegler made public comment on suggestions of possible alternate locations for the "Boys of Pajarito Plateau" painting. He received information from the Historical Society that the Historical Museum is not a part of Fuller Lodge and would like to be considered. Also suggested was the Beta House and Oppenheimer House as they are not a part of Fuller Lodge. Katherine Hudspeth clarified that the Oppenheimer House and Beta House were not public property and belong to the Historical Society. However, the Historical Museum is county owned property and so considered public property. She will get this information to Member Carson.

3. BUSINESS

A. [13524-20](#) Approval of Minutes from the October 22, 2020 Meeting

Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

Attachments: [A - October 22, 2020 Meeting Minutes](#)

Meeting Minutes for the October 22, 2020 meeting were approved 4-0.
accepted

B. [13525-20](#) "The Boys of Pajarito Plateau"

Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

Member Ozment updated the board on the status of the project that Members Carson and Mackey were leads on per last meeting. Member Carson stated that he has looked into alternative locations outside of Fuller Lodge, but was limited to what he could access because of COVID restrictions. He checked the Municipal Building's first and second floors, and the lobby area of the Justice Center, but could not access the library. Member Carson stated that there is difficulty on finding a new location outside of Fuller Lodge. Member Cutting-Smith suggested the Stables, but upon discussion found that there is not a suitable place in that area for the painting. Member Carson suggested that the Rodeo Grounds or Arena could be possible places for the painting, but didn't know if there was common area that was suitable. Member Ozment suggested looking into possible locations in White Rock. Member Baker suggested the White Rock Visitor center as a possible location if they could get in to look. The next course of action would be to identify locations first and get public comment later on both the painting and locations. Council wanted feedback on both the location and painting. This item is put on hold for now because of limited access to locations due to COVID restrictions. Discussion was had on how to proceed. The location cannot be in Fuller Lodge per Council, but they have been unable to find a suitable location at this time. Jeff Siegler made public comment on suggestions of possible locations for the painting (see Public Comments section).

C. [13526-20](#) "First Snow" Placement

Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

Attachments: [A - "First Snow" Potential Locations](#)

Member Baker gave update on status of placement locations for the "First Snow" painting. Discussion was had on locations. There were two locations she found suitable, which were the Community Development lobby or the Municipal Building first floor by room 110. Pros and cons on locations was discussed regarding visibility and surrounding art complimenting this piece. Member Baker recommends the Muni Building first floor next to the painting with the red flowers by room 110. Member Baker made motion to approve the new location of "First Snow" painting in the 1st floor of the Municipal Building by room 110 and order a new plaque for the location not to exceed \$100. Approved 4-0.

accepted

D. [13527-20](#) Pending Items List

Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

No updates were given on the Richard Swenson "Lizard" Dedication at Nature Center, the Don Taylor photograph Dedication at Airport, the Evelyn Rosenberg "Multiverse" Dedication, or the Eric Thelander proposal for Urban Park.

Update was given on the Art Inventory and Maintenance by Katherine Hudspeth. Joe Lindsay who runs Sculpture Smart was out doing maintenance on outside sculptures in the past week. He noted some restorations would need to be made in the next couple of years. The Tranquility piece may need major restoration within the next two years. It is rusting at the bottom because it is in Ashley Pond. Nexus will need restoration because it is fading near the top. It is pending restoration for next summer. The Clowns outside the Mesa Public Library off Central will need a paint restore within the next 2 years. There is nothing that needs attention immediately at this time.

4. **BOARD/STAFF COMMUNICATION**

A. [13541-20](#) Chairman's Report

Presenters: Catherine Ozment

Member Ozment gave update on Deaccessioning Recommendation and the money for the rug restoration/repair approval. They were approved by Council on consent agenda. They are ready to move on those items.

B. [13528-20](#) Kiddie Pool Project and Potential Art

Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

Member Ozment gave an update on the status of the Kiddie Pool Project. Member Mackey is the lead on this. There is no new information at this time.

C. [13532-20](#) New Public Art Location

Presenters: Katherine Hudspeth

Members Carson and Cutting-Smith are the leads on this project. Member Carson looked at North Mesa, but has no recommendations at this point. No other new information was given at this time.

D. [13533-20](#) Cleaning/treatment of Rugs in Fuller Lodge

Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

Attachments: [A - Rug Repair Quote](#)

Katherine Hudspeth gave an update of the rug cleaning in Fuller Lodge. Council has approved to move forward. A service agreement will be needed for insurance coverage purposes. She will work with legal to get this drafted before the cleaning service is contacted to get the rugs. The County will be removing the rugs from the wall for cleaning and put them back up when the cleaning is done.

E. [13534-20](#) Splash Pad Art

Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

Katherine Hudspeth gave an update on the status of the Splash Pad project. She met with Anthony and Carrie on November 4th to review the site physically and discuss any additional details. An agreement was drafted, but signage, which is state regulated, has held up the agreement. Information on the dimensions and quantity, for space, from the state on the signage is needed. Carrie is making small modifications to the sizing in the overall plan because she found out the footings will not be provided by the construction project. The overall design has not changed, just the size would. Once Katherine gets the additional signage information from Anthony she will get the information to Carrie, then the agreement will be modified and resent back to Carrie. The target date to get the agreement sent to Carrie is mid-December.

F. [13535-20](#) Sunflower

Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

Katherine Hudspeth gave an update on the status of the Sunflower project. She met with legal and procurement on November 5th and the restoration work does not fit into the scope of Joe Lindsay's Sculpture Smarts current contract. It falls outside of the current terms and price. Because the piece will become part of the building it will need to be refurbished and put back together by a certified contractor with a certified welder with a GB98 certification in agreement with legal and procurement that an RFP will be need to drafted up and sent out for a contractor to weld the Sunflower to the required specifications for refurbishing and repainting. Steve Huebner is drafting this up. A request for proposal must be drafted and sent out for a contractor to weld, refurbish and repaint the Sunflower sculpture. They will try and work around the current budget restraints from what was approved by Council due to the extra work that needs to be done on this project. Once they get bids back for the RFP they will see if they will need to go back to Council with this. There is no ETA on the RFP at this time.

G. [13536-20](#) Stored Art Update

Presenters: Katherine Hudspeth

Katherine Hudspeth gave an update on stored art maintenance. A survey was sent out regarding "Trail to Santa Fe" and "Untitled". It was advertised via social media from October 30, 2020 to November 13, 2020, and received a total of 67 responses. 77% were in favor of getting rid of "Trail to Santa Fe". 67% were in favor of getting rid of "Untitled". There were some comments from the survey. Some thought "Trail to Santa Fe" could be restored, but it has been looked into and cannot be restored. Some liked the piece "Untitled" and would like it displayed, but the overall comment was for to being removed. She also gave an update on the stored art collection survey and Council recommendations. Council approved to have Mel Pruett's pieces removed from the collection and Katherine is working with procurement to get this done. Next steps were discussed. Put on next month's agenda with survey results with the opportunity to recommend to Council for accessioning or not, separately for each piece.

H. [13537-20](#) Public Art Archives Collection Management System

Presenters: Katherine Hudspeth

Katherine Hudspeth gave an update on this item. It is still pending.

5. **COUNCIL COMMUNICATIONS**

Laison not present and sent no dispatches.

6. PREVIEW OF NEXT MEETING - December 17, 2020

Move Deaccessioning to two separate items.

If request for proposal for the Sunflower needs action, then it will be put into the Business section.


7. ADJOURNMENT

Adjourned at 6:06 pm.

If you are an individual with a disability who is in need of a reader, amplifier, qualified sign language interpreter, or any other form of auxiliary aid or service to attend or participate in the hearing or meeting, please contact the County Human Resources Division at 662-8040 at least one week prior to the meeting or as soon as possible. Public documents, including the agenda and minutes can be provided in various accessible formats. Please contact the personnel in the Community Services Administration Office at 662-8241 if a summary or other type of accessible format is needed.

Q1 Do you support APP making a recommendation to remove "Trail to Santa Fe" from the Art In Public Places Collection?

Answered: 67 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	77.61%	52
No	22.39%	15
TOTAL		67


Q2 If "No", please provide additional feedback.

Answered: 16 Skipped: 51

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Bill Jack's wife is also deceased, but I know their daughter Kim is alive. Since Dody Rodgers was the secretary at Trinity on the Hill, perhaps the office there has information about Bill Jack and Dody's children.	11/5/2020 9:07 PM
2	Try to do some restoration and move it to a new area	11/4/2020 4:21 PM
3	It still looks pretty even if faded... has a uniqueness	11/2/2020 6:21 PM
4	Would be nice if it were re-matted and displayed somewhere safe.	10/30/2020 10:11 PM
5	Beautiful photo. Restore it as best possible & save Los Alamos historical art!	10/30/2020 5:56 PM
6	It was a gift to the county. It is treasured art. Figure out how to restore it!	10/30/2020 5:11 PM
7	Find someone to re-mat it at least, restore it further if possible.	10/30/2020 5:02 PM
8	The board should have funds to preserve it and post it in a more suitable place.	10/30/2020 5:00 PM
9	Why are we taking them out? They don't seem to take up much space and it isn't a big deal to keep them.	10/30/2020 4:18 PM
10	Could be restored. Give to the Historical Society, perhaps.	10/30/2020 4:18 PM
11	Is it possible to scan and restore it?	10/30/2020 3:41 PM
12	none	10/30/2020 3:17 PM
13	Needs to be Seen !	10/30/2020 2:52 PM
14	N/A	10/30/2020 2:49 PM
15	I am deeply disappointed that a tax payer funded program has allowed so many of its works to be treated so badly! Very concerned that there has been no attempt to keep up the art. Will definitely be opposing further funding from the county.	10/30/2020 2:41 PM
16	I think it is an interesting piece, and I like how it captures a sense of motion in a still place.	10/30/2020 2:39 PM

Q3 Do you support APP making a recommendation to remove "Untitled" from the Art In Public Places Collection?

Answered: 67 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	67.16%	45
No	32.84%	22
TOTAL		67

Q4 If "No", please provide additional feedback.

Answered: 20 Skipped: 47

Art in Public Places - Deaccession of Art

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Nice. Very suggestive of the Valley and NM light.	11/8/2020 10:46 PM
2	The reasons stated are bad reasons to remove it.	11/4/2020 4:21 PM
3	I like this abstract piece to be honest. I am curious as to where this piece is placed in Los Alamos currently? Also, what happens to the pieces which cannot be returned to the owner? Is this the John Dorman of " The Rio Grande Painters Promoting Modern Art During the Social Realist 1930s"? https://www.elpalacio.org/2013/12/the-rio-grande-painters/ Thanks leanne.arnold555@gmail.com	11/2/2020 9:14 PM
4	This piece looks beautiful! I'm not sure it matters if all of the information is not available.	11/1/2020 9:12 PM
5	It's beautiful. Why get rid of it just because it's a mystery?	11/1/2020 3:40 PM
6	It's beautiful	11/1/2020 12:11 PM
7	Beautiful and evocative	11/1/2020 6:56 AM
8	Can you make an educated assumption as to how long it's been in the collection? Maybe an old inventory or staff memory? You'll need some solid information to stand on if there end of being legal ramifications down the road for removing this piece. Wait on it and do more research.	11/1/2020 6:20 AM
9	The history is not as important as the artwork, which is unique and beautiful.	10/30/2020 10:11 PM
10	Outrageous to consider ridding yourself of art by a historically important regional artist. John Carroll Dorman (1911/12-?) The youngest of the RGPG, John Carroll Dorman, was the son of Teresa Bakos and her first husband. He was born either in Berlin, Germany, or Cambridge, Massachusetts. Dorman first came to Santa Fe in 1920, with his mother, Teresa, who soon divorced her husband and married Josef Bakos. Dorman graduated from Santa Fe High School and studied at the Santa Fe Art School, the École de Beaux Arts in Paris, and Pomona College. He had a one-man show in San Francisco and lived on and off in Santa Fe from 1928. Dorman was the only nonobjective artist among the RGPG painters. His 1934 Abstract Balance, included in the RGPG traveling exhibition, suggests the influence of Raymond Jonson in its geometric harmonies and soft pastel colors .	10/30/2020 5:56 PM
11	It was given to the county find a place to place it for everyone to see!	10/30/2020 5:11 PM
12	Sounds like APP folks mishandled this one. Is that a reason to get rid of it?	10/30/2020 5:02 PM
13	The board has should display this in a suitable place. The board has sufficient funds to continue research into the origin of this work of art.	10/30/2020 5:00 PM
14	Please state the medium and dimensions of each piece. This piece needs to be appraised by a certified appraiser. I don't understand why APP is wanting to trash pieces. I do understand that the photo might be beyond repair but this piece looks clean, at least in this photo. Give it to me if you are thinking of trashing it! I hate to see someone's vision destroyed.	10/30/2020 4:18 PM
15	From this image there doesn't appear to be anything wrong with it. It's a lovely impressionistic abstract of the main hill view. An exhibition of county-owned art featuring different takes on this view would be a delightful thing for the community to see - and could also include the photograph above.	10/30/2020 4:18 PM
16	I like this one. Display it somewhere!	10/30/2020 3:17 PM
17	It is Beautiful !!	10/30/2020 2:52 PM
18	N/A	10/30/2020 2:49 PM
19	I am concerned that this program is not being managed well. These are our tax dollars. If you have no idea what this cost us, you shouldn't just throw it out! Once again, very concerned with how my tax dollars have been used on this program that doesn't keep records and doesn't handle/maintain the art it does acquire.	10/30/2020 2:41 PM
20	Like the piece. Certainly there is some public place it could be hung.	10/30/2020 11:50 AM



County of Los Alamos

Staff Report

April 25, 2024

Los Alamos, NM 87544
www.losalamosnm.us

Agenda No.: F.

Index (Council Goals):

Presenters: Stephanie Haaser

Legislative File: 18698-24

Title

APP Budget

Body

Staff Liaison, Linda Lindstrom, will update the Board on the current available budget and possible upcoming expenditures.

Attachments

A - APP Budget Report

FY 2025 APP Budget Report

Total Current Budget	\$	<u>616,996.87</u>
-----------------------------	-----------	--------------------------

Current encumbrances

	\$		\$	Contract Total	Contract End Date
AGR23-909 AMANDA JAFFE LEISURE	80,000		\$	100,000	May 1, 2025
AGR21-13 WESTAF - Public Art Achive	2,500		\$	12,500	May 31, 2026
AGR22-63 PCC OUTDOOR SCULPTURE	141,638		\$	200,000	June 14, 2029

	\$	<u>(224,138)</u>
--	-----------	-------------------------

	\$	<u>392,859</u>
--	-----------	-----------------------

Pending commitment:

Call for Art: Golf Course Wal

	\$	<u>(65,000)</u>
--	-----------	------------------------

	\$	<u>327,859</u>
--	-----------	-----------------------

Punch List (current & future costs)

Current Plaque Replacement Costs x2

	\$	<u>(2,000)</u>
--	-----------	-----------------------

	\$	<u>325,859</u>
--	-----------	-----------------------

AGR21-13 WESTAF - Public Art Achive

	\$	<u>(14,000)</u>
--	-----------	------------------------

Nexus Sculpture Repair

Pending

Other Maintenance Costs

Pending

	\$	<u><u>311,859</u></u>
--	-----------	------------------------------

Oppenheimer & PollyAnna

Future estimate



County of Los Alamos

Staff Report

April 25, 2024

Los Alamos, NM 87544
www.losalamosnm.us

Agenda No.: G.

Index (Council Goals):

Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

Legislative File: 18725-24

Title

Call for Art Update and Discussion

Body

Staff Liaison Chelsea Ashcraft will give an update on the call for art for the Golf Course wall with timeline and start discussion to set a special meeting date to review art submissions and select finalist(s).

Recommended Action

I move to approve _____ for the special meeting date to review art submission and select finalist(s).

Attachments

A - Call for Art Timeline for Golf Course Wall

Call for Art Timeline for Golf Club Wall

Date	Activity
4/25/2024	APP Board Meeting
	Discuss date for Special Meeting to determine semi-finalists OR to Review Art work [Perhaps 5/30 or 5/31 or first week in June 2024]
5/17/2024	Deadline for Art submissions
5/23/2024	APP Board Meeting
	Approve Work Plan Presentation so no Golf Course Art agenda items
5/30,31 OR 6/6??	Speical APP Board meeting to determine semi-finalist artists/proposals
6/27/2024	APP Board meeting
	Artist Presentations; Board can ask artists questions
7/25/2024	APP Board meeting
	Make determination of finalist(s)
	<i>(note: only need community input for finalists-not semi-finalists)</i>
	Will need community input at summer concerts- Agenda item for APP to discuss this process and work plan?
8/2/24, 8/9/24??	Summer Concerts to get community input
8/27/2024	Council meeting
	Present winning artist for approval of art
???	Write the contract between artist and County
???	Start on planning the art dedication



County of Los Alamos

Staff Report

April 25, 2024

Los Alamos, NM 87544
www.losalamosnm.us

Agenda No.: H.

Index (Council Goals):

Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

Legislative File: 18720-24

Title

Pending Items List

Body

B&C training

CDD art presentation for White Rock Visitor Center

Ordinance changes

June 18 Presentation to Council



County of Los Alamos

Staff Report

April 25, 2024

Los Alamos, NM 87544
www.losalamosnm.us

Agenda No.: A.

Index (Council Goals):

Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

Legislative File: 18721-24

Title

Chairman's Report

Body

Information shared in addition to items not on the agenda.



County of Los Alamos

Staff Report

April 25, 2024

Los Alamos, NM 87544
www.losalamosnm.us

Agenda No.: B.

Index (Council Goals):

Presenters: Arts in Public Places Advisory Board

Legislative File: 18722-24

Title

Staff Liaison Report

Body

Information shared in addition to items not on the agenda.