Los Alamos County Pedestrian Master Plan

County Council Session

July 15, 2025



Attachment A





WILSON

&COMPANY



Overview of the Pedestrian Master Plan's purpose:

To guide the development of pedestrian infrastructure and create a comprehensive roadmap for safe, accessible walking environments.

WILSON

Los Alamos Townsite and White Rock Town Center, with clear geographic boundaries defined for analysis

Vision Zero and Safe Systems Approach: To reduce pedestrian-related injuries and fatalities through systematic design improvements that accommodate human mistakes

Key Focus Areas:



Attachment A

27-year update: First comprehensive update since the 1998 Pedestrian Transportation Plan

WHITE ROCK FOWN CENTER

3

Vision:

A walkable community where residents and visitors can walk with confidence, safety, and accessibility.

Goals:

WILSON







Comprehensive Engagement Process

- •Public survey (September 5 October 14, 2024)
- •T-Board meeting presentations (9/5/24, 2/6/25, 3/6/25)
- •Council Presentation (4/8/25)
- •Email and phone feedback collection
- •Mapping exercises to identify barriers

Key Themes from the Public:





Network Overview



Los Alamos County has a **well-established pedestrian network with connected sidewalks and pedestrianfriendly crossings**, however, there can always be improvements to safety and accessibility

Key Infrastructure Challenges

WILSON

- Sidewalk widths: 85% of sidewalks (86.45 miles) are less than 5 feet wide, impacting accessibility and ADA compliance
- ADA compliance: Overall curb ramp compliance increased by 10% since 2017, reaching 40.81%, but gaps remain in some areas
- Geographic constraints: Mesa and canyon topography has created well-defined communities but limits
 expansion options



2018-2022 Crash Summary

770 Total crashes countywide

(154 avg. crashes per year)

Key Safety Concerns

8 Pedestrian-involved crashes (1.6 avg. crashes per year)

- Highest pedestrian crash locations: Trinity Drive, Diamond Drive, and key intersections
- 2022 showed increase in pedestrian-involved crashes
- Los Alamos County rates remain below state and national averages but proactive measures essential

Comparison to Standards: While crash rates are lower than New Mexico and US averages, White Rock fatality rate (0.34 per 1,000) exceeds national average, highlighting need for targeted improvements



Three Priority Areas Identified

Downtown Los Alamos

High concentration of pedestrian destinations, mixed traffic volumes, accessibility challenges, NMDOT-owned requiring coordinated improvements

2. Diamond Drive Corridor

Major north-south route with safety concerns

3. White Rock Northern Boundary

Concentrated pedestrian activity area along NM-4 with limited crossing opportunities, NMDOT-owned requiring coordinated improvements



These areas concentrate pedestrian destinations, crash locations, and non-ADA compliant infrastructure, requiring holistic improvement approaches.





in Countermeasure Selection Guide

New 10-step process for identifying and implementing pedestrian improvements for future use

- 1. Determine Objectives
- 2. Collect and Analyze Data
- 3. Engage the Public
- 4. Identify Areas of Concern
- 5. Select Countermeasures (High-Level)
- 6. Determine High-Level Details for Countermeasures
- 7. Apply Prioritization Scoring Method
- 8. Complete Additional Studies
- **9.** Identify Funding and Implementation Opportunities
- 10. Design, Construct, and Monitor Results



WILSO

Senhanced Integration

Better connection with other County documents and programs including:

Mid-Block Crossing Policy

•Open Space & Trails Management Plan (currently being updated)

- •Public Works Design Standards (recently updated)
- •Traffic and Streets Division operations
- •Landscaping Code
- •Neighborhood Traffic Management Program and new automated speed monitoring ordinance

NMDOT Coordination

Clear identification of recommendations on state-owned roads (Trinity Drive NM-502, NM-4) requiring NMDOT approval

Improved Documentation

Higher quality maps, combined map appendix, clearer geographic boundaries for crash data, and living document framework for ongoing updates



Safe Routes to School (SRTS) Enhancement

• Building on successful 1998 program implementation and ongoing management

Supporting Programs

- Ongoing infrastructure maintenance in school zones
- Priority snow removal on school routes
- Safety concern investigation and response
- Coordination with Traffic and Streets Division

Action Item #1

School District Partnership: Encourage the school district to develop a SRTS program that the County can actively participate in, ensuring areas of concern are investigated and addressed.

Since 1998: The County has successfully managed and improved infrastructure within Safe Routes to School areas, including prioritized snow removal, regular safety inspections, and addressing community concerns in SRTS corridors throughout the county. Rather than adding new recommendations, the plan highlights existing improvements that support safer school systems.





Safe Routes to School (SRTS) Enhancement

- Highlighting existing recommendations that support school safety
- Clear identification of school-related projects with 🗢 indicators

| | ID¤ | Improvement · Recommendation¤ | Location¤ | Construction Cost · Estimate ^D | Timeframe¤ | Additional · Requirements¤ |
|---|-------|---|---|--|-------------|--|
| | IMP5¤ | Insert marked crosswalk at northbound approach and Pedestrian Push Buttonsa | Sandia Drive :/ • Orange Street and • Diamond Drivea | \$770/each standard crosswalke \$1,200/each push button installationa | Short-Terma | Requires NMDOT · approvala |
| ۲ | IMP6¤ | Repaint pedestrian crossing · striping and add Leading · pedestrian intervala | Eastbound · approach at · Canyon Road and · Diamond Drivea | \$770/each standard crosswalk↔ \$1,500/ped signal re- timing ¤ | Short-Terma | Engineering · Operational Study & · Requires NMDOT · approval ¤ |

Example of Recommendations table





34 Location-Specific Recommendations

- Planning-level cost estimates
- Implementation timeframes (Short: 0-2 years, Mid: 2-5 years, Long: 5+ years)
- Additional requirements identification
- Safe Routes to School indicators highlighting school-safety benefits

Prioritization Scoring Methodology (100 points total)







Strategic Approaches

- Bundle similar projects for efficiency
- Use local funds to leverage federal grants
- · Phased implementation starting with high-priority, low-cost improvements
- Regular monitoring and priority updates

WIISOT

NMDOT Coordination:

Some recommendations require NMDOT approval. County to serve in supporting/coordinating role for collaborative approach on state-owned corridors.





Short-term Implementation (0-2 years)

- Focus on quick-win safety improvements
- Crosswalk repainting and signage updates
- Speed feedback sign installations
- ADA curb ramp priority upgrades

Long-term Vision (5+ years)

- Major corridor reconstructions
- Comprehensive sidewalk width improvements
- Complete Streets implementations
- Enhanced connectivity to trail systems

Continuous Improvement Framework

- Annual crash data and safety pattern reviews
- Periodic feedback sessions at Transportation Board
- Regular priority adjustments based on:
 - Completed projects
 - New safety concerns
 - Changed conditions
 - Funding availability

Future Engagement Tools

- Standardized questionnaire for ongoing public input
- Countermeasure process guide for systematic improvements
- Post-implementation monitoring protocols





Attachment A

Action Item #2

Public Engagement Platform: Develop a Pedestrian Master Plan webpage with an online form for reporting pedestrian concerns, utilizing site visits or walking audits as needed.

Immediate Actions

- Final presentation of plan: T-Board August 7
- Final plan adoption proposed: Council August 26
- Begin high-priority project development
- Implement monitoring framework

Questions and Discussion

Thank you for your attention to improving pedestrian safety and accessibility in Los Alamos County!





Attachment A